## Santa Ana College <br> STUDENT HOUSING FEASIBILITY STUDY SURVEY UPDATE

December 29, 2020

BRAILSFORD \& DUNLAVEY


## Student Housing Feasibility Analysis PROJECT OBJECTIVES

1. Confirm the strategic objectives of Santa Ana College and establish decisionmaking criteria that supports an inclusive yet efficient planning process
2. Evaluate the proposed housing project with Northgate to determine if goals align with Santa Ana College
3. Develop an affordable student housing plan that achieves Santa Ana College's goals
4. Craft the financial ownership and management structure that provides the most value to the College and its students

## Project Schedule

## FEASIBILITY STUDY TIMELINE

## Student Housing Feasibility Study

Market \& Demand Analysis Financial Analysis \& Recommendations

- Off-Campus Housing Market Analysis
- Survey Launch
-Financial Analysis

Presentation of Findings

Survey + Demand Analysis
Briefing Document + Final Recommendations


## Project Schedule LONG-RANGE OUTLOOK
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## Public-Private Partnerships <br> P3 HOUSING SPECTRUM



## Community College Housing Examples

| Institution | Proximity to Campus | Bed Counts | Amenities | Development / Operation Structure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange Coast College | On-campus | 823 Apartment Beds (Studio/1BR/2BR/4BR) | - 12 Month Leases/Academic Year Leases <br> - Utilities included <br> - Full furnished modern apartment <br> - On-site laundry, social/study lounges, bike storage <br> - Residence life programs and events | - Privately managed with college input on residence life <br> - Tax-Exempt Bond Financed <br> - Provide Financial Assistance to students |
| Cerritos College | "...within walking distance of Cerritos." | 28 Townhome Beds (Seven 3BR 3BH Townhomes) | - Kitchen, laundry, garage <br> - Security and maintenance <br> - Case Manager, Program Assistant and Manager living on-site | - Property secured by the Cerritos Community District general fund <br> - Housing at subsidized rates / no-cost for students from the age of 18-25 facing homelessness until graduation <br> - Partner with Jovenes, Inc., a local non-profit organization, who master leased the units and oversees daily operations |
| Lake Tahoe Community College | 3 miles | 30 Apartment Beds (Five units of 3BR 1.5BH Apartments) | - Free bus <br> - Utilities, internet, snow removal, weekly cleaning service, water, sewer and garbage fees included <br> - Laundry on-site | - Master lease (College signed a 5 -year lease with a local apartment building owner) <br> - College and the property owner manage together |
| Shasta College | On-campus | 126 Traditional Beds (Single/Double Occ) | - Furnished rooms <br> - Common area: kitchen, TV lounge, mailroom, computer workstations, and laundry facilities | - 2 residence halls built in the 60 s; Remodeled in 2007 <br> - College self-financed / self-operated |

## Institutional Framework

PORTFOLIO OF ASSETS MUST BE PRIORITIZED


What is the ideal combination of performance outcomes, capacities, and attributes that must be produced by a specific asset or asset class to drive SAC toward its targeted new reality.


## Institutional Framework <br> CONSTRUCT FUNDAMENTALS PERTINENT TO HOUSING

## What ideal mix of future outcomes, capacities, and attributes must SAC achieve to deliver on its mission \& purpose?

## Outcomes -

Products and Performance Metrics
$>$ Students thrive through completion of their educational goals as transfers to four-year universities or within the local workforce
> Maintain no statistically significant attainment, persistence, or completion gaps among students of varying socioeconomic backgrounds
$>$ Students contribute to the economic and civic vitality of Santa Ana and beyond

## Capacities -

Productive Assets
> Comprehensive financial capacity to make a SAC education accessible to every household in Santa Ana
> A flexible infrastructure of counseling, advising, and guidance to address students' social, emotional, and educational challenges
> Scale and composition of courses and programs that increase program pathway completion and align with employer needs
> Community-wide relationships with community leaders, families, and high school counselors to facilitate outreach and engagement opportunities
> Strategic partnerships with public and private entities to provide workforce opportunities within local business sectors
$>$ Quantity and quality of employees that serve and support students towards their academic goalsBRAILSFORD \& DUNLAVEY / SAC STUDENT HOUSING FEASIBILITY STUDY

## Attributes -

Brand Characteristics
> A campus population (students, faculty, \& staff) mirroring the demography of the service area
$>$ Inclusive environment that respects and integrates the diverse backgrounds of the community
$>$ SAC creates engaging, civic-minded, innovative, artistic, and productive students who are global citizens
> Primary local source of skilled labor and significant contributor in meeting regional workforce needs
> Reputation within the Santa Ana community as the institution of choice for local students
> Faculty / staff foster a professional mindset that is student success-centered

## Strategic Asset Value

 PLANNING FOR A TARGETED NEW REALITY

Educational
Outcome
Drivers


Enrollment Management Drivers



Financial
Performance Drivers
 strategic goals, and targeted new realty are four interconnected outcome categories.

The categories must be evaluated through a strategic asset value analysis for their importance and current attainment levels.

## SAV Process <br> MIND THE GAP

Results are synthesized into a set of criteria that articulate the attributes that this project at Santa Ana College must achieve related to:

## Quantity \& Location of Student Housing

# Target Markets / Unit Typology / <br> Programmatic Requirements 

Financial Accessibility / Quality
Reconciliation

## Required Financial Performance \& Institutional Will

## Strategic Framework <br> SUMMARY OF FRAME WORK FINDINGS

Quantity \& Location of Student Housing

Target Markets / Unit Typology / Programmatic Requirements

## Financial Accessibility / Quality Reconciliation

Housing is not intended to compete with the local off-campus housing market but instead to provide an affordable on-campus option to as many students as deemed appropriate based on market demand.

Housing must remain affordable and subsidization for some or most students is necessary to ensure those who need housing most are able to utilize it. Additional support may come from traditional financial aid, as well as federal or grant financial assistance. Partnerships with private or not-for-profit entities is likely required.

The general target market of students is identified by those who need it most and would likely need subsidization from SAC or other financial support. Unit and programmatic requirements reflect a product type that is safe, secure, convenient, and affordable. Units will not be highly amenitized or large in size, except to address market rate needs.

Amenities are geared toward helping students excel academically while allowing for organic forms of diverse community to be developed as is experienced on the campus in existing student life facilities.

Housing quality is not intended to be competitive with the market but still should provide adequate accommodations comparable to other local institutions.

Housing is not intended to be primarily for financial gain. If appropriate, a ground leasing opportunity with a private student housing developer should be considered.

Primary goal still remains to make student housing affordable to students while providing strategic resources that intersect with ongoing Guided Pathways efforts.

Housing may need to be funded through larger philanthropic or partnership efforts with local or regional agencies if limited internal resources are available.

## Student Survey



## Survey Overview

TOTAL RESPONSES
Total Responses: 1,615 (out of 14,298 emailed) Total Participation Rate: 11.3\%


Email population consisted of:

- All credit-based,
- Bachelor degree students
- Online students
- Students within \& outside of District boundaries
- International students
- Out-of-state students


## Survey Overview <br> GENERAL PROFILE

## Educational Goals



## Survey Analysis <br> MARKET RATE ANALYSIS



OCC Studio
\$1,850/month
*Including utilities


Average Off-campus Studio \$1,408/month *Not including utilities


Tested rate for proposed studio \$1,500/Month

## Survey Analysis <br> COST OF LIVING



## Survey Analysis

RESPONDENTS WHO ARE RENTING

Among all students in the survey 54\% of respondents are renting


## Survey Results

## "MARKET RATE" GROUP - CURRENT LIVING SITUATION

182 paying more than $\$ 1,200$ on rent every month, $46(25 \%)$ of them indicated "very likely" or "somewhat likely" to live in the proposed studio.
Income
Rent

Average Total Monthly Personal Cost of Living


$48 \%$ of them have spouse or child(ren)
$87 \%$ of them are currently living with family
(parents, relatives, child(ren) or spouse/partner)

Housing
Financial Support


2\% Reported receiving housing financial support currently
$\$ 200$ HFS per month

## Potential Support Needed of

 \$0/mo.to afford living in a market rate single occupancy unit $(\$ 1,500)$

## Survey Results

"MARKET RATE" GROUP - DEMAND ANALYSIS

Maximum Potential Student Demand

| Age | Enrollment | Capture Rate | Maximum Potential <br> Demand | Occupancy <br> Coverage Ratio | Recommended <br> Capture Rate | Recommended <br> Program |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or under | 5,517 | $1 \%$ | 77 | 1.50 | $1 \%$ | 51 |
| $20-25$ | 7,836 | $2 \%$ | 160 | 1.20 | $2 \%$ | 133 |
| $26-29$ | 3,174 | $4 \%$ | 134 | 1.30 | $3 \%$ | 103 |
| $30-39$ | 4,797 | $2 \%$ | 80 | 1.50 | $1 \%$ | 53 |
| $40-49$ | 2,978 | $0 \%$ | 10 | 1.50 | $0 \%$ | 7 |
| 50 and older | 1,678 | $0 \%$ | 0 | 1.60 | $0 \%$ | 0 |
| TOTAL | 25,980 | $2 \%$ | 460 |  | $1 \%$ | 347 |

Student Housing Demand Reconciliation

| Age | Studio | 1-bed/1-bath | 2-bed/1-bath | 4-Bed/2-bath | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or under | 16 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 51 | Among students who can |
| $20-25$ | 48 | 45 | 40 | 0 | 133 | pay "market-rate" prices, |
| $26-29$ | 42 | 31 | 23 | 8 | 95 | there is demand of |
| $30-39$ | 20 | 7 | 27 | 0 | 53 |  |
| $40-49$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | $350-450$ BedS |
| 50 and older | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 132 | 82 | 125 | 8 | 347 |  |

Note: Demand Analysis utilizes data from students who indicated they pay $\$ 1,200$ or more for rent; students also indicated they are single, nonmarried/partnered, \& without child(ren); students under the age of 18 years old were not presented the survey- BRAILSFORD \& DUNLAVEY / SAC STUDENT HOUSING FEASIBILITY STUDY

## Survey Results

## "BELOW MARKET" GROUP - AFFORDABILITY

191 paying between $\$ 765$ to $\$ 1,200$ on rent per month

92\% Living on Personal Income
\$1,546 Avg. Monthly Income

## Average Total Monthly Personal Cost of Living


Affordability
on Rent

## Potential Support Needed of \$400-\$500/mo.

to afford living in a market rate single occupancy unit $(\$ 1,500)$

## Survey Results

## "VERY BELOW MARKET" GROUP - AFFORDABILITY

502 paying less than $\$ 765$ on rent per month

Income Rent

$35 \%$ Signed a lease/sublease agreement and responsible for paying rent 65\% Living in a place owned by family where they contribute rent

79\% Living on Personal Income
\$1,123 Avg. Monthly Income

Average Total Monthly Personal Cost of Living


## Affordability on Rent

Housing
Financial
Support

Weighted average maximum rent they could afford monthly \$751 monthly personal cost of living (including utilities)


6\% Reported receiving housing financial support currently
\$726 Avg. HFS per month
(Subsidize, FAFSA, Housing voucher, Section 8)

## Potential Support Needed of

## \$700-\$800/mo.

to afford living in a market rate single occupancy unit $(\$ 1,500)$BRAILSFORD\& DUNLAVEY / sAC STUDENT housing FEASIBILITY Study

## Survey Results

## HOUSING CHALLENGE GROUP - AFFORDABILITY

46 students were homeless or have an unstable housing situation (at the time of the survey)
Income
Rent

$\quad$| (e.g., I do not pay rent, I do not have a lease or sublease, I am in a couch-surfing, or other sheltered housing situation) |
| :--- |



Avg. Monthly Income


## Affordability on Rent

Weighted average maximum rent they could afford monthly
\$672 monthly personal cost of living (including utilities)

## Potential Support Needed of


to afford living in a market rate single occupancy unit $(\$ 1,500)$

## Survey Results

## HOUSING CHALLENGE GROUP - HOUSING CHALLENGE

What kind of help you would like to receive from Santa Ana College when facing housing challenges?


63\%

Providing information of available units within students' affordability in the surrounding area $61 \%$

Building exclusive student housing to Santa Ana College students
50\%

BRAILSFORD \& DUNLAVEY / SAC StUdENT housing FEASIBILITY study

## Survey Results

PREFERENCE FOR SERVICES/FEATURES

| Market Rate Group | "Below Market" Group | "Very Below Market" Group | Housing Challenge Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $63 \%$ Flexible lease/rental terms | $63 \%$ Flexible lease/rental terms | $61 \%$ Flexible lease/rental terms | $59 \%$ Flexible lease/rental terms |
| $54 \%$ Tutoring Center | $57 \%$ Safety and security features | $61 \%$ Safety and security features | $52 \%$ Food pantry |
| $48 \%$ Safety and security features | $49 \%$ Food pantry | $51 \%$ Food pantry | $46 \%$ Safety and security features |
| $41 \%$ Physical health assistance | $44 \%$ Tutoring Center | $45 \%$ Maintenance service | $39 \%$ Tutoring center |
| $39 \%$ Maintenance service | $40 \%$ Maintenance service | $41 \%$ Tutoring center | $39 \%$ Mental health assistance |
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## Survey Results

PREFERENCE FOR AMENITIES

| Market Rate Group | "Below Market" Group | "Very Below Market" Group | Housing Challenge Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67\% High-speed | 73\% | 72\% High-speed | $65 \%$ Computer lab with printing \& copying |
| 61\% Computer lab with printing \& copying | 63\% Computer lab with printing copying | 64\% <br> Computer lab with printing copying | 59\% High-speed intern |
| 54\% <br> Controlled/secured access to the building | 61\% | 55\% Fitness/recreation area(s) in/near the housing facility | Fitness/recreation area(s) in/near the housing facility |
| 46\% <br> Fitness/recreation area(s) in/near the housing facility | 59 | 59\% Convenient laundry faciliti | 46\% Conve |
| 43\% Convenient laundry facilities | 40\% <br> Fitness/recreation area(s) in/near the housing facility | 40\% Dedicated parking lot | 41\% <br> Convenience or small grocery store |

"It will be nice to have an inclusive student
housing, so I don't have to worry about
looking for laundry shop or printing service

in the neighborhood late at night." $\quad$| Computer lab with printing \& copying |
| :---: |
| Fitness/recreation area(s) |
| Convenient laundry facilities |BRAILSFORD\& DUNLAVEY / SAC Student housing FEASIbILITY study

## Survey Results

PREFERENCE FOR AMENITIES

Do you have a personal vehicle for which you will need a parking space to be available at where you live?

Would you be willing to live somewhere that did not offer parking because alternative transportation was available nearby?
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## Survey Results

SUMMARY
"Market Rate"
$11 \%$
of the email population
$(14,298)$
$\$ 1,702$
Avg. Monthly Income

$\$ 1,662$ Avg. Monthly Cost of Living $^{72 \%}$| 72 |
| :---: |
| of the PT respondents willing |
| to change to FT |
| if student housing is available |
| 350 $=450$ |
| Demand for Market-Rate |
| Student Housing |


| "Below Market" |
| :---: |
| $12 \%$ |
| of the email population |
| $(14,298)$ |
| $\$ 1,546$ |
| Avg. Monthly Income |
| $\$ 1,076$ |
| Avg. Monthly Cost of Living |
| $61 \%$ |
| of the PT respondents willing |
| to change to FT |
| if student housing is available |
| \$400 = \$500/mo. |
| Potential Support Needed |

## "Very Below Market" <br> 31\%

of the email population $(14,298)$
\$1,123
Avg. Monthly Income
\$717
Avg. Monthly Cost of Living

## 60\%

of the PT respondents willing to change to FT if student housing is available
\$700-\$800/mo.
Potential Support Needed

## "Housing Challenge" <br> 3\%

of the email population $(14,298)$
\$788
Avg. Monthly Income

## 75\%

of the PT respondents willing
to change to FT if student housing is available
\$900-1,000/mo.
Potential Support Needed

## Northgate Proposal

## LOCATION \& ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPT

 \$1,500/bed/month

## Northgate Proposal <br> DISTRICT-RUN PROJECT

, The District can act as owner and developer of the project
, All of the control, risks, and costs associated remain with the District, just as any campus project
, Project cost places required rents outside of market feasibility and therefore financing
, A feasible project could still occur through:

- Fundraising / Direct Funding - District would still assume all control, risks, costs, and any returns
- Alternative Funding and Project Delivery - District would transfer some aspects of control and risks for reduced costs and returns

District-Run Project Assumptions

| Project Size (GSF) | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Residential Area (GSF) | 9,800 |
| Total Bed Count | 30 |
| Revenue Generating Beds | 27 |
| Non-Revenue Beds (RAVRD) | 3 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Type 5/Wood Frame Construction |  |
| Hard Cost (2020) | $\$ 425$ |
| Soft Cost (\%) | $40.0 \%$ |
| Total Project Cost (2020) | $\$ 9.7 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Total Project Cost (2027) | $\$ 11.9 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Escalation / Year to Mid-Point | $3.50 \%$ |
| DSA Approval | Yes |
| Prevaiing Wage | Yes |
| Parking On-site Included | No |
| Parking Leased Off-site Included | No |

(GSF)
rating Beds
Non-Revenue Beds (RA/RD) 3

Type 5/Wood Frame Construction
Hard Cost (2020)
\$425
Soft Cost (\%)
$\$ 9.7$ M
Tal Project Cost (2020)
$\$ 11.9$ M
3.50\%

- Yes

Parking On-site Included No
Parking Leased Off-site Included

## Northgate Proposal - Alternative Funding \& Delivery

| Preliminary Project Assumptions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Project GSF | 16,300 sq ft. |
| Total Residential GSF | 9,800 sq ft. (60\% of Total GSF) |
| Total Bed Count | 30 |
| Revenue-Generating Beds | Studio - Single (27 bds) |
| Non-Revenue-Generating Beds | Studio - Single (3 RA/RD bds) |
| Occupancy | 95\% |
| Lease Term | 12 months |
| Development Budget (2027 Opening) | \$9.9M |
| Yr 1 Bed Revenue + Other Revenue | \$618k |
| Yr 1 Operating Costs | \$106K |
| Yr 1 Debt Service | \$426k |
| Yr 1 Cashflow (1.20x DCR) | \$86k |


| Other General Project \& Financing Assumptions |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Type 5 / Wood Frame Construction |  |
| Hard Cost (2020) | $\$ 325$ |
| Soft Cost (\%) | $35.5 \%$ |
| Total Project Cost (2020) | $\$ 8.8$ M |
| Total Project Cost (2027) | $\$ 9.9$ M |
| Escalation / Year to Mid-Point | $3.50 \%$ |
| DSA Approval | Yes |
| Prevailing Wage | Yes |
| Parking On-site Included | No |
| Parking Leased Off-site Included | No |
|  |  |
| Total Project Equity (30\%) | $\$ 2,988,000$ |
| Required Equity Return | $8 \%$ |
| Total Financed Project Budget (70\%) | $\$ 6,973,000$ |
| Debt Term | 35 Years |
| Interest Rate | $5.00 \%$ |
| Debt Payment | $\$ 425,900$ |
|  |  |
| Operations | $4 \%$ |
| Management Fee | $\$ 2,800$ |
| OpEx / Bed | $\$ 5.24$ |
| OpEx / SF |  |
| Project Returns | $\$ 4,638,000$ |
| NPV: Developer Cash Flow (Year 10) | $13.80 \%$ |
| Developer IRR (Year 10) | $\$ 162,184$ |
| NPV: College Return (Year 10) | $\$ 212,550$ |
| Cumulative College Return (Year 10) |  |
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## Drivers for Success

STUDENT \& INSTITUTIONAL PRIORITIES

The following drivers were established as priorities by students and campus stakeholders to be considered during the planning stages of any future student housing project at Santa Ana College.
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## Student Housing Subsidy Matrix

YEAR 1 SUBSIDY SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS (NORTHGATE PROJECT)

| \$700 | \$51K | \$102K | \$153K | \$204K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$600 | \$55K | \$110K | \$165K | \$220K |
| \$500 | \$59K | \$118K | \$177K | \$236K |
| \$400 | \$63K | \$126K | \$190K | \$253K |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25\% } \\ \text { (7 Beds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { (14 Beds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \% \\ \text { (20 Beds) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 100\% } \\ \text { (27 Beds) } \end{gathered}$ |

Percentage of Revenue-Generating Beds Subsidized (N=27 Beds)

## Drivers for Success northgate project

Although the Northgate project is a unique opportunity and demand is present to fill beds, the project does not meet some of the fundamental drivers for success, such as proximity to campus and access to critical resources that students prioritized within this Study.


## Thank you.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT US AT B DCONNECT.COM

