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## Introduction

This study examines enrollment patterns through 2010, which will result due to anticipated demographic changes. The purpose of this forecast is for use in program and practice related decision making.

Projections of population, enrollment and participation rates are provided and analyzed for 2010 by city, gender, ethnicity, and age. The focus of this study is the traditional on-campus student enrolled in college courses for credit and excludes enrollment in apprenticeship, quality assurance, and distance education courses.

The methodology included projecting the population of Orange County sub-groups to 2010 (by age, ethnicity, gender, and zip code/city). Projected enrollment participation rates for those population subgroups were applied to the projected population to create projected enrollments for 2010.

- Sub-group participation rates by zip code in 2005 vary widely from those in 2000, and by 2010 each is assumed to stabilize slightly above its 2005 level. Therefore, the 2010 distribution of students between SAC and SCC is assumed to remain similar to the current distribution.
- Reduced 2005 system-wide enrollment participation in California community colleges is assumed to have reached equilibrium, following large state-wide student fee increases. No further enrollment losses due to past fee increases are expected.

These forecasts assume the primary policies and funding levels in place for 2005 remain generally unchanged through 2010. If policy and relative funding levels remain unchanged, and the assumptions noted are realized, the resulting 2010 enrollment patterns will be as described in this report.

Detailed methodology, assumptions, and sensitivity analysis are included in the Addenda.

## Readers' Summary

The population of the total RSCCD service area is projected to increase 4\% between 2005 and 2010, from 687,532 to 718,264. It has increased 5\% from 2000.

- "Traditional," on-campus enrollment (which excludes apprenticeships) will increase from 8,413 in 2005 (an averaged number calculated for "benchmarking") $13 \%$, to 9,517, in 2010. (This assumes that current programs, practices, and facilities remain unchanged.)
- Total credit enrollment will increase to 13,343 (9,517 traditional and 3,826 non-traditional).
- Overall, participation rates for SCC have remained even, a feat given that enrollment in most colleges has declined. The rate has increased for SCC service area residents, from $1.56 \%$ in 2000 to $1.61 \%$ in 2005. Rates declined slightly for residents of the SAC service area (i.e., from Garden Grove) (except for 17 to 21 year olds), as can be expected, and increased very slightly for non-RSCCD residents. Likewise, rates of enrollment of SCC students at SAC have declined.
- Future enrollment increases will be attributable to generally rising participation rates for all groups and a larger population base throughout Orange County, if current trends remain constant. There is capacity for further increasing participation rates beyond that projected in this analysis; one sizeable potential group of enrollees is the 17 to 21 year old Latino resident.
- SCC has relatively low participation rates overall, with the greatest rates being represented by 17 to 21 year olds and those residing in the city of Orange. Anaheim Hills showed a marked increase in participation rates, some of which is most likely due to the opening of the Anaheim Hills Center.
- While the participation rates for Latinos, African Americans and Whites increased, the rates for Asians (especially females) declined notably. Whites have the greatest participation rate.
- $37 \%$ of on-campus enrollment resides in one of the three surrounding community colleges districts, mostly in NOCCD. Tustin Ranch and West Irvine are expected to contribute significantly to SCC's enrollment in the next five years.


## Population Trends

The RSCCD area will see growth of about $4 \%$ in the next five years, down marginally from 5\% between 2000 and 2005. The SAC service area will grow $4 \%$ by 2010 (equal to growth of 2000 to 2005), and the SCC service area will grow $6 \%$ (also equal to the 2000 to 2005 change).

All cities in RSCCD grew over the past five years, and during the next five years are expected to grow at similar rates. The city of Orange grew by $6 \%$, adding 8,575 people since 2000 , and is expected to grow $6 \%$ by 2010. Population increases are greatest numerically in Santa Ana, though the rate of growth there was the lowest of RSCCD cities. Santa Ana grew by 4\% between 2000 and 2005, and is expected to grow another $4 \%$ in the next five years, adding an additional 14,622 people.

Non-RSCCD areas of Orange County grew more rapidly than RSCCD, lead by south Orange County where growth in the past five years totaled $12 \%$. South Orange County is expected to grow $11 \%$ in the next five years, adding 88,111 people. Growth in the Irvine and Tustin areas of the South Orange County CCD is expected to be significant, and contribute to enrollment growth at SAC and SCC.

Asian population grew the fastest in its rate during the past five years in Orange County, increasing 18\%. Latino population growth was almost as rapid, increasing $17 \%$, though the Latino numerical increase of 151,110 people far exceeds that of any other ethnic group. Non-Latino population grew by 50,454 in Orange County since 2000, with Asians increasing by 70,772 and Whites declining by 31,036 .

Growth in the SCC service area zip codes will range from 4\% to $12 \%$ by 2010, with higher growth rates in the city of Orange and the emerging areas eastward towards Silverado Canyon.

Population growth of $3 \%$ to $6 \%$ in each zip code in the SAC service area is expected by 2010, with the exception of 92705 where a $4 \%$ decline is expected (industrial area along west side of 55 freeway, northeast Santa Ana, and the north Tustin (unincorporated) area).



## Enrollment Trends

Total traditional program on-campus enrollment at SCC increased $8 \%$ between 2000 and 2005, reaching 8,413 in 2005 (+588). Enrollment is projected to increase to 9,517 in 2010, an increase of $13 \%$.

## Overall, on-campus enrollment at SCC:

- increased 8\% during the past five years and will increase $13 \%$ during the next five years.
- Latino enrollment increased by 511 since 2000, while non-Latino enrollment increased by 74 (-68 Asians, +9 African-Americans, +77 Whites, and +56 other).
- between 2005 and 2010, is expected to increase for all demographic sub-groups from all geographic areas.


## Students from the SCC service area, age 17 to 21 years, represent a major group of enrollees:

- Enrollment for this group totaled 1,856 in 2005, up $32 \%$ since 2000 and is projected to increase another $15 \%$ to 2,136 by 2010 .
- Latino enrollment increased to 554 in 2005 ( $+52 \%$ ), and is expected to increase to 753 in 2010 (+36\%).
- Enrollment of the 17 to 21 year age group in 2010 from the SCC service area is expected to be $35 \%$ Latino, 7\% Asian, and 48\% White.

For students residing within RSCCD, enrollment increased 6\%, but is expected to increase by $12 \%$ during the next five years.

- Enrollment at SCC was unchanged since 2000 for students living in the SAC service area, but grew by $9 \%$ for those living in the SCC service area between 2000 and 2005.
- Enrollment from within RSCCD increased since 2000 for all ethnic groups except Asians.
o Large increases in Latino enrollment are noted from 2000 to 2005, and from 2005 to 2010.
o Asian enrollment decreased by $8 \%$ between 2000 and 2005 for those from RSCCD.
o Enrollment increased 5\% for females and 7\% for males from RSCCD between 2000 and 2005.
- For 17 to 21 year olds residing within the entire RSCCD service area, enrollment rose $30 \%$ (+567), but declined 11\% (-295) for older students from RSCCD between 2000 and 2005.
o The increase for Latinos age 17 to 21 was due to higher participation rates, which increased from $2.1 \%$ to $2.9 \%$ for females and from $1.7 \%$ to $2.4 \%$ for males.
o For those 17 to 21 year olds from RSCCD, enrollment of both males and females increased between 2000 and 2005, though the male population base at these ages declined $1,101(-4 \%)$ during this period.
- The $24 \%$ increase in enrollment of students at SCC from Anaheim Hills is partly due to the opening of the Anaheim Hills Center.


What is "participation rate"? The number of students enrolled divided by the relevant population. It can be calculated for sub-groups, such as the number of students enrolled from a particular zip code, divided by the population of that zip code.

Why is it useful? What do we use it for? This rate shows us the proportion of a population enrolled, or "market share." While we know whether total enrollment goes up or goes down, enrollment numbers alone don't tell us whether we are reaching certain segments of our population.


## Enrollment Participation Rates

Participation rates vary widely between demographic subgroups from different locations, and a small number of enrollments from a small area can greatly change enrollment participation rates.

## Overall, for on-campus credit enrollment:

- Participation rates rose significantly at SCC since 2000 for students from Anaheim Hills, but fell modestly from other RSCCD cities, and were stable from other areas of Orange County.
- The South Orange County CCD area demonstrated both increased population and participation rates at SCC, leading to an increased enrollment at SCC from this area of 211 students (+21\%) since 2000.


## Participation rates for those from the SCC service area, age 17

to 21 years, rose between 2000 and 2005. This increase came about as population of this group also increased by $10 \%$ and enrollment increased by $32 \%$. For 17 to 21 year olds from the SCC service area:

- The participation rate rose from $10.6 \%$ in 2000 to $12.6 \%$ in 2005 , and is expected to increase to $12.8 \%$ by 2010.
- Latino participation rates were $9.4 \%$ for females and $6.7 \%$ for males in 2000, and rose significantly to $11.6 \%$ for females and $8.6 \%$ for males in 2005.
- Asian participation rates for 17 to 21 year olds fell 0.7 percentage points for males and 2.4 percentage points for females.
- Participation rates for Whites in the prime college attending ages 17 to 21 years are high at SCC for students from the SCC service area, some 9.5 to 10.0 percentage points higher than comparable figures from SAC.

Enrollment of 17 to 21 year old students at SCC from the SAC service area increased since 2000, even though the population of that age group in the SAC service area decreased.


- Overall, $1.7 \%$ of 17 to 21 year olds from the SAC service area enrolled at SCC in 2005, and $12.6 \%$ of the 17 to 21 year olds from the SCC service area enrolled at SCC in 2005.
- As a comparison, $5.4 \%$ of the 17 to 21 year olds from the SCC service area enrolled at SAC in 2005, and $10.7 \%$ of those from the SAC service area enrolled at SAC.
- SCC participation rates from the SCC service area (from all age groups) rose from $1.56 \%$ in 2000 , to $1.61 \%$ in 2005 , and are expected to rise to $1.73 \%$ in 2010.
- Participation rates at SAC from the SAC service area (for all age groups) were $1.95 \%$ in 2000, $1.78 \%$ in 2005, and are expected to rebound to $1.93 \%$ by 2010.


## For students residing within RSCCD:

- Participation rates of Whites were above those for Asians and Latinos in 2005.
- Participation rates for all ethnic groups except Asians from within the RSCCD service area are expected to continue to increase more rapidly from 2005 to 2010.
- Though we assume Asian enrollment declines will cease for 2005 to 2010, participation rates would decline from $0.50 \%$ in 2005 to about $0.41 \%$ by 2010 if trends of 2000 to 2005 continue. With a continuation of trends, Asian participation would fall into the bottom of the range.


- The forecast for traditional program enrollment in 2010 assumes the decline in state-wide participation rates between 2000 and 2005 is halted. The decline is assumed to be due to increased fees set at the state-level.
- The opening and expansion of SCC produced an initial surge in participation.
- Higher fees and expansion of SCC are expected to have reached equilibrium by 2005, and though participation rates will continue to increase for geographic sub-areas and demographic subgroups, the total overall participation rate is expected to increase modestly during the next five years, to an overall level slightly higher than that in 2005 (which was higher than in 2000).
- Participation rates at SAC from areas in the SCC service area are expected to remain well below the rates seen in 2000.
- The participation rate declines between 2000 and 2005 were most extreme for Asians. Without intervention, it is possible that the rates will continue to decline. If the change in participation rates between 2000 and 2005 continues for each ethnic group, Asians would decline from $10 \%$ of the student population from Orange County in 2005, to $8 \%$ in 2010. And instead of an $8 \%$ increase between 2005 and 2010, enrollment growth of Orange County residents would total less than $2 \%$.
- In the prime college attendance ages (17 to 21 years), SCC is increasing its Latino enrollment, though Latinos will remain fewer in number than Whites through 2010.
- Latino and Whites make-up $83 \%$ of the 2010 enrollments at SCC from the SCC service area, up from $77 \%$ in 2000 at ages 17 to 21.
- With relative balance between Latino and White enrollments, SCC should remain attractive to most ethnic sub-groups, though the decline of Asians is noted to be a potential concern.


## Addendum <br> Enrollment and Population Forecast Methodology

Overall, state-wide enrollment participation rates are lower in 2005 than 2000. Based upon nonquantitative assessment of Orange County, overall 2000 participation rates are most likely to reflect conditions in 2010. Due to the expansion of SCC, the distribution of enrollment between SAC and SCC has changed since 2000. The enrollment forecast for 2010 utilizes 2005 participation rates which are then adjusted upwards by $5 \%$ to reflect the return to overall rates of enrollment seen in 2000.

Population and enrollment forecasts at SCC (and SAC) are by ethnic-group, age-group, and gender for traditional "on-campus" credit program. Traditional "on-campus" programs exclude Apprenticeship, Fire Academy, Contract Management, Criminal Justice Academy, Quality Assurance, TV, and Business Seminars. Enrollment in the CED and non-traditional programs can vary widely between years due to budget considerations, which are tied to funding mechanisms established by the California legislature. Though computed for this study, CED and non-traditional program enrollments are more volatile, and only summary totals are presented.

Enrollment is based on an average of three semesters (two fall and one spring). For Fall 2005, the data is preliminary, and based on $7^{\text {th }}$ week information rather than end-of-term data as for other semesters. Data for SAC and SCC may be added together, but the resulting counts will reflect duplicated counts for students attending both campus locations.

The non-Orange County resident enrollment is "forecast" based upon most recent enrollment count data at the college level. Counts are used because there is no clear appropriate population base to use to compute enrollment participation rates - state, nation, or world. The 2010 forecast of total enrollment includes this non-Orange County resident category, which is set to be equal to the 2005 enrollment (i.e. assumes that the enrollment will not change between 2005 and 2010 for the nonOrange County residents).

The population estimates for 2005 and forecasts for 2010 use the 2000 Census data for Orange County as a starting point. For each demographic sub-group for each ZIP code area, the population at each single year of age in the year 2000 was "aged" to 2010 using Orange County "census survival rates". The population under 10 years of age was estimated for 2010 using women in 2010 of reproductive age and the child to women of reproductive age ratios from 2000. This preserves the age-gender-ethnic distribution of the sub-groups, properly aged, to 2010.

First, total 2010 population for each Orange County city is forecast, based upon the 2000 to 2005 population and growth rates published by the State of California and the U.S. Census Bureau. The initial forecast 2010 population by age, gender and ethnicity at the ZIP code level is then adjusted based on total city population estimates for 2010, which forced the sum of ZIP code areas to equal the forecast population of each city (and county). The California Department of Finance population forecasts for 2010 for Orange County were used to determine the ethnic distribution. The summed ZIP code population sub-groups were further adjusted to be equal to the ethnic distribution for Orange County. The two forced adjustment target procedures, for total population by city and ethnic distribution of Orange County, were repeated iteratively until the resulting total population in each Orange County city and the summed ethnic distribution for Orange County were within $0.1 \%$ or 10 people of the target figures. For 2005, the population of each sub-group is the average of the Census population in 2000 five years younger, and the 2010 forecast population five years older.


Non-traditional program enrollment is concentrated in the Apprenticeship program at SCC (with additional enrollment in Business Seminars, Quality Assurance, and Distance Education, and occasional notations of Police and Fire Academies). Participation rates were computed for nontraditional enrollment and the CED (Adult Continuing Education) in a manner similar to the traditional program. These rates were projected to 2010, and applied to the projected population sub-groups to produce the 2010 forecast of enrollment for these programs.

Enrollment in the CED and non-traditional programs is subject to wide variation from year to year, and frequently depends upon contracts with other public agencies and departments. The forecast enrollment figures are produced using many assumptions concerning the budget and priorities set at SCC, RSCCD, California, and the federal government. They are primarily intended to supplement the traditional program enrollment forecast, and to provide a complete enrollment forecast for the full SCC program.

Non-traditional program enrollment rose 642 between 2000 and 2005 at SCC, and is expected to increase from 3,734 to 3,826 during the next five years. Three quarters of the students in nontraditional programs are from outside Orange County, as non-traditional programs attract students from a wide area. Most of the non-Orange County students were from neighboring counties in California.

For the Orange area CED, $64 \%$ of the students enrolled in 2000, and $67 \%$ of those enrolled in 2005 were from within RSCCD. In 2005, $17 \%$ were unknown or from outside of Orange County. The increase in unknown is believed to be due to the expansion of specific programs, such as Effective Parenting, GED Test Preparation, Health/First Aid, and Job Development where records may frequently not include address information due to the off-site location and short duration of the courses. The decline in non-Orange County enrollments may partially reflect the increase in unknown address.

CED enrollment decreased by 2,517 between 2000 and 2005, but is anticipated to recover 778 students to reach 6,460 by 2010 ( $+14 \%$ ).

Table 1
Santiago Canyon College Traditional On-Campus Credit Program Enrollment by Residence Location
All Ages, All Ethnicity, All Genders

| Residence <br> Location | Population |  |  | SCC Enrollment |  |  | Participation Rate |  |  | Percent Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2000 to 2005 | 2005 to 2010 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Census } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | Estimated 2005 | Forecast 2010 |  |  |  | 2000 | 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Enrollment | Population | Enrollment | Population |
| Anaheim Hills | 55,895 | 58,520 | 61,154 | 653 | 807 | 923 | 1.17\% | 1.38\% | 1.51\% | 24\% | 5\% | 14\% | 5\% |
| Garden Grove* | 94,122 | 98,436 | 102,819 | 243 | 199 | 223 | 0.26\% | 0.20\% | 0.22\% | -18\% | 5\% | 12\% | 4\% |
| Orange | 135,385 | 143,960 | 152,528 | 2,307 | 2,446 | 2,774 | 1.70\% | 1.70\% | 1.82\% | 6\% | 6\% | 13\% | 6\% |
| Santa Ana | 363,938 | 378,403 | 393,025 | 1,211 | 1,248 | 1,324 | 0.33\% | 0.33\% | 0.34\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Silverado Area | 1,839 | 2,069 | 2,326 | 38 | 31 | 37 | 2.07\% | 1.50\% | 1.59\% | -18\% | 13\% | 19\% | 12\% |
| Villa Park | 5,932 | 6,144 | 6,412 | 105 | 100 | 116 | 1.77\% | 1.63\% | 1.81\% | -5\% | 4\% | 16\% | 4\% |
| Total RSCCD | 657,111 | 687,532 | 718,264 | 4,557 | 4,831 | 5,397 | 0.69\% | 0.70\% | 0.75\% | 6\% | 5\% | 12\% | 4\% |
| Coast CCD | 625,294 | 659,976 | 695,362 | 383 | 346 | 375 | 0.06\% | 0.05\% | 0.05\% | -10\% | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% |
| North OC CCD | 819,536 | 868,492 | 917,531 | 1,309 | 1,452 | 1,692 | 0.16\% | 0.17\% | 0.18\% | 11\% | 6\% | 17\% | 6\% |
| South OC CCD | 750,467 | 837,972 | 926,083 | 987 | 1,198 | 1,467 | 0.13\% | 0.14\% | 0.16\% | 21\% | 12\% | 22\% | 11\% |
| OC Total | 2,852,408 | 3,053,972 | 3,257,240 | 7,236 | 7,827 | 8,931 | 0.25\% | 0.26\% | 0.27\% | 8\% | 7\% | 14\% | 7\% |
| Non-OC Resident | (1) |  |  | 576 | 584 | 584 |  |  |  | 1\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Unknown Residence |  |  |  | 13 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | -85\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Total SCC Head Count |  |  |  | 7,825 | 8,413 | 9,517 |  |  |  | 8\% |  | 13\% |  |

* Includes two of four Garden Grove ZIP code areas (92840 and 92843), though only about half of each are within the official RSCCD boundaries.

Note: For this study, RSCCD boundaries follow ZIP code areas. Anaheim Hills (92707) includes areas north of the Santa Ana River (not in RSCCD officially) and 92708. Garden Grove ZIP Codes 92840 and 92843 are included in this study, but only about half of these areas are in the official RSCCD boundaries. The portion of Santa Ana ZIP code area 92704 west of Euclid Avenue is not in the official RSCCD boundaries, but included in RSCCD for this study. RSCCD population totaled 586,003 for 2000 (Census), and differs from that shown here due to differences in sub-area detail available (ZIP code areas versus Census Block for 2000).

1) Population of non-Orange County residents has no real meaning for participation rate calculation, and could be the population of adjacent counties, all counties in California, all of the United States, or the entire world, as students come from a wide geographic area which includes other countries. For this study, the head-count of students from out-side of Orange County in 2010 is assumed to be unchanged from the count in 2005.

Table 2
Santiago Canyon College Traditional On-Campus Credit Program Enrollment
By Ethnicity

| Student |  | Population |  |  | SCC Enrollment |  |  | Participation Rate |  |  | Percent Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2000 to 2005 | 2005 to 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residence <br> Location | Ethnicity |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Census } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Enrollment | Population | Enrollment | Population |
| RSCCD | Asian | 80,563 | 87,068 | 93,705 | 474 | 435 | 501 | 0.59\% | 0.50\% | 0.53\% | -8\% | 8\% | 15\% | 8\% |
|  | Black | 8,433 | 8,346 | 8,440 | 66 | 69 | 85 | 0.78\% | 0.83\% | 1.00\% | 5\% | -1\% | 22\% | 1\% |
|  | Latino | 351,506 | 390,182 | 428,918 | 1,347 | 1,607 | 2,013 | 0.38\% | 0.41\% | 0.47\% | 19\% | 11\% | 25\% | 10\% |
|  | Other | 14,233 | 14,229 | 14,130 | 517 | 556 | 533 | 3.63\% | 3.91\% | 3.77\% | 7\% | 0\% | -4\% | -1\% |
|  | White | 202,376 | 187,707 | 173,071 | 2,153 | 2,165 | 2,267 | 1.06\% | 1.15\% | 1.31\% | 1\% | -7\% | 5\% | -8\% |
| Non- <br> RSCCD <br> in Orange <br> County | Asian | 304,274 | 368,541 | 433,239 | 350 | 330 | 394 | 0.11\% | 0.09\% | 0.09\% | -6\% | 21\% | 19\% | 18\% |
|  | Black | 34,284 | 39,060 | 44,332 | 51 | 62 | 77 | 0.15\% | 0.16\% | 0.17\% | 22\% | 14\% | 25\% | 13\% |
|  | Latino | 524,860 | 637,294 | 750,181 | 604 | 794 | 1,005 | 0.12\% | 0.12\% | 0.13\% | 31\% | 21\% | 27\% | 18\% |
|  | Other | 71,232 | 77,265 | 82,994 | 317 | 354 | 429 | 0.45\% | 0.46\% | 0.52\% | 12\% | 8\% | 21\% | 7\% |
|  | White | 1,260,647 | 1,244,280 | 1,228,230 | 1,357 | 1,456 | 1,632 | 0.11\% | 0.12\% | 0.13\% | 7\% | -1\% | 12\% | -1\% |
| Total Orange County | Asian | 384,837 | 455,609 | 526,944 | 824 | 765 | 895 | 0.21\% | 0.17\% | 0.17\% | -7\% | 18\% | 17\% | 16\% |
|  | Black | 42,717 | 47,406 | 52,772 | 117 | 131 | 162 | 0.27\% | 0.28\% | 0.31\% | 12\% | 11\% | 23\% | 11\% |
|  | Latino | 876,366 | 1,027,476 | 1,179,099 | 1,951 | 2,400 | 3018 | 0.22\% | 0.23\% | 0.26\% | 23\% | 17\% | 26\% | 15\% |
|  | Other | 85,465 | 91,494 | 97,124 | 835 | 910 | 962 | 0.98\% | 0.99\% | 0.99\% | 9\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% |
|  | White | 1,463,023 | 1,431,987 | 1,401,301 | 3,510 | 3,621 | 3899 | 0.24\% | 0.25\% | 0.28\% | 3\% | -2\% | 8\% | -2\% |
| NonOrange County and Unknown | Asian |  |  |  | 53 | 44 |  |  |  |  | -17\% |  |  |  |
|  | Black |  |  |  | 24 | 19 |  |  |  |  | -18\% |  |  |  |
|  | Latino |  |  |  | 155 | 217 |  |  |  |  | 41\% |  |  |  |
|  | Other |  |  |  | 87 | 68 |  |  |  |  | -22\% |  |  |  |
|  | White |  |  |  | 271 | 237 |  |  |  |  | -12\% |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { SCC } \end{aligned}$ | Asian |  |  |  | 877 | 809 |  |  |  |  | -8\% |  |  |  |
|  | Black |  |  |  | 141 | 150 |  |  |  |  | 7\% |  |  |  |
|  | Latino |  |  |  | 2,106 | 2,617 |  |  |  |  | 24\% |  |  |  |
|  | Other |  |  |  | 922 | 978 |  |  |  |  | 6\% |  |  |  |
|  | White |  |  |  | 3,781 | 3,858 |  |  |  |  | 2\% |  |  |  |

Table 3
Santiago Canyon College Traditional On-Campus Credit Program Enrollment
By Age

| Student |  | Population |  |  | SCC Enrollment |  |  | Participation Rate |  |  | Percent Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2000 to 2005 | 2005 to 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residence <br> Location | Age |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Census } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | 2005 | Forecast $2010$ | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Enrollment | Population | Enrollment | Population |
| RSCCD | 0 to 16 | 191,966 | 194,392 | 188,336 | 42 | 45 | 42 | 0.02\% | 0.02\% | 0.02\% | 7\% | 1\% | -7\% | -3\% |
|  | 17 to 21 | 51,579 | 51,850 | 58,180 | 1,919 | 2,486 | 2,827 | 3.72\% | 4.79\% | 4.86\% | 30\% | 1\% | 14\% | 12\% |
|  | 22 to 29 | 88,371 | 85,698 | 88,413 | 1,077 | 1,090 | 1,254 | 1.22\% | 1.27\% | 1.42\% | 1\% | -3\% | 15\% | 3\% |
|  | 30 to 39 | 113,596 | 113,435 | 110,732 | 606 | 440 | 424 | 0.53\% | 0.39\% | 0.38\% | -27\% | 0\% | -4\% | -2\% |
|  | 40 to 49 | 86,244 | 97,745 | 105,011 | 487 | 385 | 396 | 0.56\% | 0.39\% | 0.38\% | -21\% | 13\% | 3\% | 7\% |
|  | 50 plus | 125,355 | 144,412 | 167,592 | 426 | 386 | 455 | 0.34\% | 0.27\% | 0.27\% | -9\% | 15\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| Non- <br> RSCCD <br> in Orange <br> County | 0 to 16 | 538,276 | 559,929 | 541,964 | 8 | 15 | 15 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 87\% | 4\% | -2\% | -3\% |
|  | 17 to 21 | 141,398 | 157,639 | 185,740 | 1,055 | 1,436 | 1,755 | 0.75\% | 0.91\% | 0.94\% | 36\% | 11\% | 22\% | 18\% |
|  | 22 to 29 | 250,380 | 244,784 | 272,087 | 738 | 746 | 907 | 0.29\% | 0.30\% | 0.33\% | 1\% | -2\% | 22\% | 11\% |
|  | 30 to 39 | 378,698 | 366,431 | 344,875 | 425 | 386 | 375 | 0.11\% | 0.11\% | 0.11\% | -9\% | -3\% | -3\% | -6\% |
|  | 40 to 49 | 335,441 | 386,139 | 404,621 | 282 | 239 | 261 | 0.08\% | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | -15\% | 15\% | 9\% | 5\% |
|  | 50 plus | 551,104 | 651,518 | 789,689 | 170 | 173 | 225 | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 2\% | 18\% | 30\% | 21\% |
| Total Orange County | 0 to 16 | 730,242 | 754,321 | 730,300 | 50 | 60 | 57 | 0.01\% | 0.01\% | 0.01\% | 20\% | 3\% | -6\% | -3\% |
|  | 17 to 21 | 192,977 | 209,489 | 243,920 | 2,974 | 3,922 | 4,582 | 1.54\% | 1.87\% | 1.88\% | 32\% | 9\% | 17\% | 16\% |
|  | 22 to 29 | 338,751 | 330,482 | 360,500 | 1,815 | 1,837 | 2,161 | 0.54\% | 0.56\% | 0.60\% | 1\% | -2\% | 18\% | 9\% |
|  | 30 to 39 | 492,294 | 479,866 | 455,607 | 1,031 | 826 | 800 | 0.21\% | 0.17\% | 0.18\% | -20\% | -3\% | -3\% | -5\% |
|  | 40 to 49 | 421,685 | 483,884 | 509,632 | 769 | 623 | 657 | 0.18\% | 0.13\% | 0.13\% | -19\% | 15\% | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | 50 plus | 676,459 | 795,930 | 957,281 | 596 | 559 | 680 | 0.09\% | 0.07\% | 0.07\% | -6\% | 18\% | 22\% | 20\% |
| Non- <br> Orange <br> County <br> and <br> Unknown | 0 to 16 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  | 17 to 21 |  |  |  | 133 | 174 |  |  |  |  | 31\% |  |  |  |
|  | 22 to 29 |  |  |  | 151 | 189 |  |  |  |  | 25\% |  |  |  |
|  | 30 to 39 |  |  |  | 146 | 113 |  |  |  |  | -23\% |  |  |  |
|  | 40 to 49 |  |  |  | 103 | 76 |  |  |  |  | -26\% |  |  |  |
|  | 50 plus |  |  |  | 55 | 32 |  |  |  |  | -42\% |  |  |  |

Table 4

## Santiago Canyon College Traditional On-Campus Credit Program Enrollment

 By Gender| Student |  | Population |  |  | SAC Enrollment |  |  | Participation Rate |  |  | Percent Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2000 to 2005 | 2005 to 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residence <br> Location | Gender |  |  |  | Census 2000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Enrollment | Population | Enrollment | Population |
| RSCCD | Female | 322,534 | 339,036 | 355,739 | 2,469 | 2,602 | 2,904 | 0.77\% | 0.77\% | 0.82\% | 5\% | 5\% | 12\% | 5\% |
|  | Male | 334,577 | 348,496 | 362,525 | 2,089 | 2,230 | 2,495 | 0.62\% | 0.64\% | 0.69\% | 7\% | 4\% | 12\% | 4\% |
| Non-RSCCD <br> in Orange <br> County | Female | 1,110,798 | 1,203,864 | 1,297,617 | 1,365 | 1,449 | 1,716 | 0.12\% | 0.12\% | 0.13\% | 6\% | 8\% | 18\% | 8\% |
|  | Male | 1,084,499 | 1,162,576 | 1,241,359 | 1,314 | 1,547 | 1,821 | 0.12\% | 0.13\% | 0.15\% | 18\% | 7\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Total Orange County | Female | 1,433,332 | 1,542,900 | 1,653,356 | 3,834 | 4,051 | 4,620 | 0.27\% | 0.26\% | 0.28\% | 6\% | 8\% | 14\% | 7\% |
|  | Male | 1,419,076 | 1,511,072 | 1,603,884 | 3,403 | 3,777 | 4,316 | 0.24\% | 0.25\% | 0.27\% | 11\% | 6\% | 14\% | 6\% |
| Non-Orange County and Unknown | Female |  |  |  | 253 | 251 |  |  |  |  | -1\% |  |  |  |
|  | Male |  |  |  | 336 | 335 |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |

Table 5
Santiago Canyon College Traditional On-Campus Credit Program Enrollment by ZIP Code in the RSCCD Service Area All Ages, All Ethnicity, All Genders

| Student Residence |  | Population |  |  | SCC Enrollment |  |  | Participation Rate |  |  | Percent Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2000 t | 2005 | 2005 to |  |  |  | 2010 |
| City | ZIP Code |  |  |  | Census 2000 | Estimated 2005 | Forecast 2010 |  |  |  | 2000 | 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Enrollment | Population | Enrollment | Population |
| Garden Grove | 92840 | 50,636 | 52,186 | 53,771 | 142 | 119 | 133 | 0.28\% | 0.23\% | 0.25\% | -16\% | 3\% | 11\% | 3\% |
| Garden Grove | 92843 | 43,486 | 46,250 | 49,048 | 102 | 80 | 91 | 0.23\% | 0.17\% | 0.18\% | -21\% | 6\% | 13\% | 6\% |
| Santa Ana | 92701 | 58,151 | 62,116 | 66,126 | 136 | 119 | 135 | 0.23\% | 0.19\% | 0.20\% | -13\% | 7\% | 14\% | 6\% |
| Santa Ana | 92703 | 70,003 | 73,642 | 77,304 | 125 | 123 | 135 | 0.18\% | 0.17\% | 0.17\% | -2\% | 5\% | 10\% | 5\% |
| Santa Ana | 92704 | 91,176 | 94,944 | 98,707 | 155 | 128 | 138 | 0.17\% | 0.14\% | 0.14\% | -17\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| Santa Ana | 92705 | 44,110 | 42,476 | 40,911 | 500 | 612 | 625 | 1.13\% | 1.44\% | 1.53\% | 22\% | -4\% | 2\% | -4\% |
| Santa Ana | 92706 | 37,871 | 39,138 | 40,416 | 157 | 130 | 143 | 0.41\% | 0.33\% | 0.35\% | -17\% | 3\% | 10\% | 3\% |
| Santa Ana | 92707 | 62,627 | 66,087 | 69,561 | 138 | 136 | 148 | 0.22\% | 0.21\% | 0.21\% | -1\% | 6\% | 9\% | 5\% |
| SAC Service A |  | 458,060 | 476,839 | 495,844 | 1,454 | 1,448 | 1,548 | 0.32\% | 0.30\% | 0.31\% | 0\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| Anaheim Hills | 92807 | 36,283 | 37,603 | 38,934 | 472 | 525 | 571 | 1.30\% | 1.40\% | 1.47\% | 11\% | 4\% | 9\% | 4\% |
| Anaheim Hills | 92808 | 19,612 | 20,917 | 22,220 | 181 | 282 | 352 | 0.92\% | 1.35\% | 1.58\% | 56\% | 7\% | 25\% | 6\% |
| Orange | 92865 | 18,039 | 18,731 | 19,438 | 249 | 298 | 341 | 1.38\% | 1.59\% | 1.75\% | 20\% | 4\% | 14\% | 4\% |
| Orange | 92866 | 15,380 | 16,210 | 17,037 | 249 | 211 | 235 | 1.62\% | 1.30\% | 1.38\% | -15\% | 5\% | 11\% | 5\% |
| Orange | 92867 | 40,914 | 43,328 | 45,757 | 665 | 734 | 844 | 1.63\% | 1.69\% | 1.84\% | 10\% | 6\% | 15\% | 6\% |
| Orange | 92868 | 23,152 | 25,477 | 27,798 | 197 | 222 | 236 | 0.85\% | 0.87\% | 0.85\% | 13\% | 10\% | 7\% | 9\% |
| Orange | 92869 | 37,900 | 40,214 | 42,498 | 947 | 981 | 1,115 | 2.50\% | 2.44\% | 2.62\% | 4\% | 6\% | 14\% | 6\% |
| Silverado Area | 92676 | 1,839 | 2,069 | 2,326 | 38 | 31 | 37 | 2.05\% | 1.50\% | 1.61\% | -18\% | 13\% | 21\% | 12\% |
| Villa Park | 92861 | 5,932 | 6,144 | 6,412 | 105 | 100 | 116 | 1.77\% | 1.63\% | 1.81\% | -5\% | 4\% | 16\% | 4\% |
| SCC Service Area |  | 199,051 | 210,693 | 222,420 | 3,103 | 3,384 | 3,848 | 1.56\% | 1.61\% | 1.73\% | 9\% | 6\% | 14\% | 6\% |
| RSCCD Service Area |  | 657,111 | 687,532 | 718,264 | 4,557 | 4,832 | 5,395 | 0.69\% | 0.70\% | 0.75\% | 6\% | 5\% | 12\% | 4\% |

Table 6
Santiago Canyon College Traditional On-Campus Credit Program Enrollment by Residence Location, Gender and Ethnicity Age 17 to 21 Years

| CCD | Ethnic | Gender | Enrollment |  |  | Population |  |  | Participation Rate |  |  | Change 2000-2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Population | Enrollment | Participation Rate |
| Rancho <br> Santiago | Asian | Female | 80 | 69 | 74 | 2,660 | 3,162 | 3,007 | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 13.0\% | -7.3\% | -18.0\% |
|  |  | Male | 93 | 100 | 115 | 3,047 | 3,147 | 3,299 | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 3.5\% | 8.3\% | 23.3\% | 13.9\% |
|  | African- <br> American | Female | 10 | 14 | 25 | 238 | 246 | 319 | 4.2\% | 5.8\% | 7.7\% | 34.0\% | 146.8\% | 84.2\% |
|  |  | Male | 15 | 14 | 14 | 335 | 305 | 326 | 4.5\% | 4.6\% | 4.4\% | -2.7\% | -4.7\% | -2.1\% |
|  | Latino | Female | 316 | 455 | 611 | 14,940 | 15,849 | 18,868 | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 3.2\% | 26.3\% | 93.5\% | 53.2\% |
|  |  | Male | 314 | 408 | 527 | 18,431 | 17,216 | 20,263 | 1.7\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 9.9\% | 67.6\% | 52.4\% |
|  | Other | Female | 116 | 135 | 115 | 612 | 533 | 625 | 18.9\% | 25.3\% | 18.5\% | 2.1\% | -0.1\% | -2.2\% |
|  |  | Male | 105 | 143 | 114 | 608 | 609 | 588 | 17.2\% | 23.5\% | 19.5\% | -3.3\% | 9.3\% | 13.0\% |
|  | White | Female | 423 | 557 | 594 | 5,197 | 5,229 | 5,267 | 8.1\% | 10.7\% | 11.3\% | 1.3\% | 40.2\% | 38.3\% |
|  |  | Male | 447 | 590 | 639 | 5,511 | 5,554 | 5,618 | 8.1\% | 10.6\% | 11.4\% | 1.9\% | 42.8\% | 40.1\% |
| Coast | Asian | Female | 16 | 20 | 22 | 3,308 | 3,865 | 4,215 | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 27.4\% | 39.0\% | 9.1\% |
|  |  | Male | 12 | 13 | 15 | 3,445 | 4,049 | 4,514 | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 31.0\% | 22.2\% | -6.8\% |
|  | African- <br> American | Female* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 177 | 204 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.3\% |  |  |
|  |  | Male* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 206 | 220 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% |  |  |
|  | Latino | Female | 8 | 13 | 16 | 4,224 | 4,709 | 5,926 | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 40.3\% | 94.5\% | 38.6\% |
|  |  | Male | 9 | 9 | 11 | 5,092 | 5,119 | 6,335 | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 24.4\% | 23.0\% | -1.2\% |
|  | Other | Female | 4 | 3 | 4 | 750 | 877 | 857 | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 14.3\% | 5.0\% | -8.1\% |
|  |  | Male | 5 | 4 | 4 | 748 | 851 | 916 | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 22.5\% | -3.7\% | -21.4\% |
|  | White | Female | 15 | 15 | 18 | 8,703 | 9,015 | 10,529 | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 21.0\% | 20.6\% | -0.3\% |
|  |  | Male | 13 | 13 | 15 | 9,091 | 9,779 | 10,846 | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 19.3\% | 14.6\% | -4.0\% |

Table 6 (Continued)
Santiago Canyon College Traditional On-Campus Credit Program Enrolment by Residence Location, Gender and Ethnicity Age 17 to 21 Years

| CCD | Ethnic | Gender | Enrollment |  |  | Population |  |  | Participation Rate |  |  | Change 2000-2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Population | Enrollment | Participation Rate |
| North <br> Orange <br> County | Asian | Female | 31 | 18 | 20 | 3,946 | 4,649 | 4,824 | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 22.3\% | -34.5\% | -46.5\% |
|  |  | Male | 21 | 36 | 39 | 3,982 | 4,890 | 5,274 | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 32.4\% | 87.8\% | 41.8\% |
|  | African- | Female | 3 | 4 | 6 | 705 | 717 | 1,051 | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 49.1\% | 125.0\% | 50.9\% |
|  | Americ | Male | 8 | 6 | 10 | 756 | 831 | 1,209 | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 59.9\% | 24.8\% | -22.0\% |
|  | atino | Female | 65 | 93 | 122 | 12,679 | 14,160 | 18,045 | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 42.3\% | 88.2\% | 32.2\% |
|  |  | Male | 64 | 108 | 140 | 14,049 | 15,445 | 19,077 | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 35.8\% | 120.1\% | 62.1\% |
|  | Other | Female | 20 | 38 | 48 | 1,030 | 1,106 | 1,253 | 1.9\% | 3.4\% | 3.9\% | 21.7\% | 145.4\% | 101.7\% |
|  |  | Male | 36 | 54 | 67 | 1,027 | 1,111 | 1,283 | 3.5\% | 4.9\% | 5.2\% | 24.9\% | 88.0\% | 50.4\% |
|  | White | Female | 178 | 206 | 223 | 10,391 | 10,303 | 10,317 | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | -0.7\% | 25.6\% | 26.5\% |
|  |  | Male | 211 | 242 | 262 | 10,370 | 10,949 | 10,815 | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% | 4.3\% | 24.2\% | 19.1\% |
| South <br> Orange <br> County | Asian | Female | 12 | 19 | 30 | 5,031 | 3,530 | 4,665 | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | -7.3\% | 153.7\% | 173.6\% |
|  |  | Male | 11 | 22 | 31 | 4,628 | 3,960 | 4,769 | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 3.0\% | 170.4\% | 162.4\% |
|  | African- <br> American | Female | 1 | 3 | 7 | 395 | 375 | 506 | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 28.1\% | 400.6\% | 290.8\% |
|  |  | Male | 2 | 7 | 9 | 419 | 412 | 582 | 0.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 38.9\% | 295.7\% | 184.9\% |
|  | Latino | Female | 43 | 91 | 123 | 4,351 | 5,549 | 7,514 | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 72.7\% | 185.7\% | 65.4\% |
|  |  | Male | 38 | 70 | 89 | 4,882 | 6,248 | 7,940 | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 62.6\% | 131.9\% | 42.6\% |
|  | Other | Female | 27 | 29 | 38 | 1,080 | 1,227 | 1,636 | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 51.5\% | 42.2\% | -6.1\% |
|  |  | Male | 23 | 29 | 37 | 1,107 | 1,246 | 1,650 | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 49.1\% | 61.9\% | 8.6\% |
|  | White | Female | 84 | 134 | 174 | 12,135 | 15,667 | 18,855 | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 55.4\% | 108.1\% | 33.9\% |
|  |  | Male | 97 | 138 | 174 | 12,695 | 16,617 | 19,913 | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 56.9\% | 78.7\% | 13.9\% |
| Total Orange County |  |  | 2,974 | 3,922 | 4,582 | 192,977 | 209,489 | 243,920 | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 26.4\% | 54.1\% | 21.9\% |

*For 2000, average of Fall 1999, Spring 2000 and Fall 2000 enrollment from Coast CCD for African-American males and females was zero.

Table 7

## Santiago Canyon College Traditional Credit Program Enrollment <br> by Service Area of Residence, Gender and Ethnicity <br> Age 17 to 21 Years

| CCD | Ethnic | Gender | Population |  |  | Enrollment |  |  | Participation Rate |  |  | Change 2000-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Population | Enrollment |
| SAC Service Area | Asian | Female | 1,988 | 2,235 | 2,078 | 30 | 23 | 23 | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 12.4\% | -23.3\% |
|  |  | Male | 2,245 | 2,210 | 2,244 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | -1.6\% | 4.8\% |
|  | African- <br> Amer. | Female | 146 | 161 | 183 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2.1\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 10.3\% | -66.7\% |
|  |  | Male* | 188 | 170 | 205 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 2.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | -9.6\% | 25.0\% |
|  | Latino | Female | 13,015 | 13,300 | 15,450 | 136 | 160 | 197 | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 2.2\% | 17.6\% |
|  |  | Male | 15,663 | 14,211 | 16,609 | 129 | 149 | 188 | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | -9.3\% | 15.5\% |
|  | Other | Female | 338 | 308 | 332 | 24 | 28 | 20 | 7.1\% | 9.1\% | 6.0\% | -8.9\% | 16.7\% |
|  |  | Male | 365 | 371 | 326 | 18 | 26 | 23 | 4.9\% | 7.0\% | 7.1\% | 1.6\% | 44.4\% |
|  | White | Female | 2,068 | 1,994 | 1,934 | 67 | 106 | 102 | 3.2\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | -3.6\% | 58.2\% |
|  |  | Male | 2,211 | 2,140 | 2,092 | 77 | 109 | 107 | 3.5\% | 5.1\% | 5.1\% | -3.2\% | 41.6\% |
|  | sub-total |  | 38,227 | 37,100 | 41,453 | 509 | 629 | 692 | 1.3\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | -2.9\% | 23.6\% |
| SCC Service Area <br> Area | Asian | Female | 672 | 927 | 929 | 50 | 46 | 51 | 7.4\% | 5.0\% | 5.5\% | 37.9\% | -8.0\% |
|  |  | Male | 802 | 937 | 1,055 | 72 | 78 | 91 | 9.0\% | 8.3\% | 8.6\% | 16.8\% | 8.3\% |
|  | African- <br> Amer. | Female | 92 | 85 | 136 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 7.6\% | 15.3\% | 16.9\% | -7.6\% | 85.7\% |
|  |  | Male | 147 | 135 | 121 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.6\% | -8.2\% | -18.2\% |
|  | Latino | Female | 1,925 | 2,549 | 3,418 | 180 | 295 | 414 | 9.4\% | 11.6\% | 12.1\% | 32.4\% | 63.9\% |
|  |  | Male | 2,768 | 3,005 | 3,654 | 185 | 259 | 339 | 6.7\% | 8.6\% | 9.3\% | 8.6\% | 40.0\% |
|  | Other | Female | 274 | 225 | 293 | 92 | 107 | 95 | 33.6\% | 47.6\% | 32.4\% | -17.9\% | 16.3\% |
|  |  | Male | 243 | 238 | 262 | 86 | 117 | 91 | 35.4\% | 49.2\% | 34.7\% | -2.1\% | 36.0\% |
|  | White | Female | 3,129 | 3,235 | 3,333 | 356 | 451 | 492 | 11.4\% | 13.9\% | 14.8\% | 3.4\% | 26.7\% |
|  |  | Male | 3,300 | 3,414 | 3,526 | 370 | 481 | 532 | 11.2\% | 14.1\% | 15.1\% | 3.5\% | 30.0\% |
|  | sub-total |  | 13,352 | 14,750 | 16,727 | 1,409 | 1,856 | 2,136 | 10.6\% | 12.6\% | 12.8\% | 10.5\% | 31.7\% |

Table 8
Santiago Canyon College Non-Traditional Enrollment

| Reporting Area | Enrollment |  |  | Percent Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2005 | Forecast 2010 | 2000 to 2005 | 2005 to 2010 |
| RSCCD | 243 | 208 | 224 | -15\% | 8\% |
| Coast CCD | 192 | 203 | 211 | 6\% | 4\% |
| North OC CCD | 298 | 291 | 318 | -2\% | 9\% |
| South OC CCD | 129 | 145 | 176 | 13\% | 21\% |
| Non-Orange County (1) | 2,223 | 2,887 | 2,887 | 30\% | 0\% |
| Unknown | 4 | 0 | 0 | - | 0\% |
| \% Non-Orange County | 72\% | 77\% | 75\% |  |  |
| Total Non-Traditional | 3,092 | 3,734 | 3,826 | 21\% | 2\% |

Table 9
Santiago Canyon College CED Enrollment

| Reporting Area | Enrollment |  |  | Percent Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 to 2005 | 2005 to 2010 |
| RSCCD | 5,267 | 3,807 | 4,432 | -28\% | 16\% |
| Coast CCD | 472 | 102 | 113 | -78\% | 11\% |
| North OC CCD | 1,027 | 557 | 647 | -46\% | 16\% |
| South OC CCD | 1,059 | 259 | 311 | -76\% | 20\% |
| Non-Orange County (2) | 314 | 66 | 66 | -79\% | 0\% |
| Unknown (2) | 60 | 891 | 891 | +1385\% | 0\% |
| \% RSCCD | 64\% | 67\% | 53\% |  |  |
| Total Continuing Education | 8,199 | 5,682 | 6,460 | -31\% | 14\% |

1) The Apprenticeship program expanded for all surrounding areas, as well as other areas of California.
2) Expanded offerings of the Effective Parenting, GED Test Prep., Health/First Aid, Job Development and other courses appears closely related to the increase in unknown/unreported residence locations, for which many students' records lack residence identifiers. The maintenance of student records for these courses may explain most of the changes noted in that non-Orange County residents may have increasingly not reported any residence location, leading to the reversal of trends for these two groups.
