**RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT** 

website: Fiscal Resources Committee

#### Agenda for November 17, 2021

1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m. Zoom Meeting

#### 1. Welcome

- 2. State/District Budget Update Iris Ingram
  - EdSource Projected K-12 drops in enrollment pose immediate upheaval and decade-long challenge
  - 2020-21 FTES (RECAL) comparison spreadsheet
  - SRP/Rightsizing Savings by location
  - SSC Department of Finance Releases October Finance Bulletin
  - SSC Legislative Analyst Reviews State's Higher Education Spending Plan
- 3. Committee 2021/22 Goals ACTION
- 4. Standing Report from District Council Craig Rutan
- 5. Informational Handouts
  - District-wide expenditure report link: <u>https://intranet.rsccd.edu</u>
  - Vacant Funded Position List as of November 9, 2021
  - Measure "Q" Project Cost Summary as of October 31, 2021
  - Monthly Cash Flow Summary as of October 31, 2021
  - SAC Planning and Budget Committee Agendas and Minutes
  - SCC Budget Committee Agendas and Minutes
  - Districtwide Enrollment Management Workgroup Minutes
- 6. Approval of FRC Minutes October 20, 2021
- 7. Other

Next FRC Committee Meeting: January 19, 2022, 1:30-3:00 pm

The mission of the Rancho Santiago Community College District is to provide quality educational programs and services that address the needs of our diverse students and communities.



## EdSource

HIGHLIGHTING STRATEGIES FOR STUDENT SUCCESS

## Projected K-12 drops in enrollment pose immediate upheaval and decade-long challenge

State forecasts 11.4% fewer students by 2031; LA and Bay Area to be hit hardest

OCTOBER 18, 2021 | JOHN FENSTERWALD



PHOTO BY ALLISON SHELLEY FOR EDUIMAGES

The story was updated Ocf. 18 to note that record one-time federal funding can be used through 2023-24 to mitigate revenue losses.

T he unexpected drop in statewide school enrollment last year of 160,000 students may prove to be a blip, ready to rebound as the coronavirus recedes. Or that one-year 2.6% drop could be an oversize harbinger of what demographers are predicting will be a decade-long enrollment decline in California.

#### **DISTRICTS FORESEE BIG CUTS**

West Contra Costa Unified is among the districts that could face substantial budget reductions as early as next year. Go here for the story.

The fiscal crisis may be a migraine now or a mounting headache later; the answer is not if, but when, according to Michael Fine, the state's respected fiscal worrywart. He said districts would be wise to start planning now, and take action starting next year, to deal with what for many districts will be a substantial loss of revenue from a system that ties funding to the number of kids who show up to class every day.

"Take decisive action, the earlier the better," Fine told district administrators last week. "The depth of pain you will feel relates to how much time you wait to address changing circumstances."

Fine is the CEO of the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team, or FCMAT, a school finance agency tasked with helping school districts to recognize and solve financial troubles before they become swamped by them. He spoke at a webinar sponsored by School Services of California, a Sacramento-based lobbying and consulting company.

The California Department of Finance is projecting an 11.4% decline in statewide enrollment by 2031, a loss of 703,000 students from pre-pandemic 2019-20. Although long-term troubles loom, the impact will not be uniform across regions or even among neighboring districts.

The biggest losers will be in coastal counties. Some smaller counties are expected to grow, some with transplants from Los Angeles and the Bay Area moving inland and to the Sierra foothills.

#### Counties projected to face biggest drops in enrollment by 2031

County





The state is projecting the statewide post-pandemic enrollment decline for the next decade, starting in 2021-22, at 8.7%. Nineteen of the state's 58 counties will lose students at a faster-than-average rate, starting with 20% in Los Angeles, the state's most populous county. Twenty counties, mainly small and rural, are projected to grow nominally. Among those counties, the largest increase is projected for San Joaquin County, by only 6,000 students.

Fine presented state demographic data that underscore the prediction of a decadelong enrollment decline in most districts:

**Slow population growth**: In the 1960s, the population in California grew 26%. Between 2000 and 2010, growth slowed to 15%, then 6.5% between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, there was a net migration loss of 0.5%, signaling that the state's projection for the next decade, a slim 5.2%, may be high.

**Aging population**: In 1970, the median age in California was 28; in 2020 it was 38. In 2030, it will creep up to 40.

**Birth rates**: In the 1950s, the birth rate in California was 25 per 1,000 people; in 2020, it was 12. The previous low of 12.6 was in 1933, during the Great Depression.

**Delayed marriage**: In 2020, for the first time, women's average age of marrying for the first time was over 30.





Covid may have accelerated the enrollment decline in many districts, as families that lost jobs decided to move to other regions or out of state in search of cheaper housing. The pandemic created new challenges for districts, with parents wary of sending young kids to school until they're vaccinated and parents opposed to vaccinations and masks vowing to home-school their children or send them to private schools.

The state won't release final enrollment numbers for this year until early next year, but initial figures from individual districts are dashing hopes of a rapid turnaround in enrollment.

San Francisco Unified has reported another down year, for a two-year loss of 3,500 students, or 6%. Sixty miles south, at the edge of Santa Clara County, Gilroy Unified had expected a rebound from last year's loss of 314 students. Instead, it looks like a drop of an additional 182 students, for a two-year total of about 500 students, slightly less than 5%. Alvaro Meza, the chief business officer for the district, said that after factoring in a steady decline in births and smaller cohorts of students moving through the system, he is projecting enrollment will drop to 8,600 by 2031, a loss of more than 20% from pre-Covid enrollment.

#### **STAY UP-TO-DATE**

Sign-up below to receive breaking news alerts from EdSource by text message.

Phone Number

Subscribe ard message and data rates apply. You will receive about fou

For two years, the Legislature shielded districts from revenue losses due to declines in enrollment and disruptions in attendance as schools went in and out of distance learning. School budgets in 2020-21 and 2021-22 have been funded at prepandemic attendance levels. But lawmakers said districts should return to collecting daily attendance this year, which would affect the revenue they can collect from the state starting in 2022-23.

Fine and others are referring to the impact of the declining enrollments as the "fiscal cliff." They are urging districts to prepare for it through staff reductions and cutting expenses instead of burning their budget reserves. For many districts, the next two years will be a double whammy, coinciding with the end of record one-time state and federal Covid relief aid. For charter schools, which, unlike districts, will not be protected this year from enrollment declines and gyrations in attendance, the cliff looms now.

But district administrators and organizations representing them argue that, in making the decision in July to return to normal, Gov. Gavin Newsom and the Legislature didn't foresee that the pandemic would extend to a third year, with dramatic effects on attendance and enrollment. Districts such as Stockton and Oakland are reporting that a third or more of their students have been chronically absent in the first two months of school. Districts that have built daily attendance to 95% are now seeing a drop in attendance — to 91% in Long Beach and 93% in Gilroy, representing potential losses, if they continue, of millions of dollars in revenue next year.

Districts are also dealing with a potential loss of money from students in independent study if they can't complete paperwork, including contracts signed by parents, and document their daily participation.

"Even though kids are back in school, this is not a normal year," said Edgar Zazueta, senior director of policy and government relations for the Association of California School Administrators. "We're getting hit on a number of fronts."

"There needs to be a stopgap measure, some sort of protection for one more year," said Sara Bachez, chief government relations officer for the California Association of School Business Officers. The worst outcome, she said, would be for districts to start laying off new teachers and staff at the same time that the state is underwriting \$2.8 billion in teacher recruitment programs that offer residencies and mentorships, college scholarships and opportunities for classified workers to get teaching credentials.

"To be desperate for staff and then let them go after training them — that's an unhealthy way of operating business," Bachez said.

Zazueta said he senses a "heightened awareness" among legislators of the predicament districts are facing but also a reluctance to extend the "hold-harmless"

protection for a third additional year. But he is hoping nonetheless for a "soft landing to help with the impact of the decline."

One option worth considering, Fine said, is to base attendance on a three-year rolling average, which would smooth out fluctuations. The state already uses this formula when determining the students' eligibility for additional funding under the Local Control Funding Formula. Tony Wold, West Contra Costa Unified's associate superintendent of business services, suggests establishing a base funding amount covering a district's enrollment, and then providing a bonus to incentivize districts to increase attendance.

It's possible that the fiscal cliff might not be as harsh as feared, at least next year. The phasing in of universal transitional kindergarten for 4-year-olds over the next three years creates an extra year of funded enrollment. State revenues are running ahead of projections, which means more money through Proposition 98, the complex formula that determines how much money goes to schools and community colleges. And the drop in enrollment may not affect the total amount because of the way the formula works when the economy is booming. (Under the Prop. 98's Test 1, which will likely be in effect in 2022-23, total student enrollment is not factored into in the formula determining funding.)

California districts and charter schools also have received record one-time federal Covid relief funding, more than \$20 billion over three years, which can be used through 2023-24. The distributions vary widely, based on the proportions of lowincome children enrolled.

These mitigations may not eliminate a day of reckoning for declines in enrollment, just delay it. That's why Meza is suggesting that the board consider closing another elementary school as part of next year's budget. "It's not my style to kick the can down the road," he said.

But other districts likely will, said Robert McEntire, director of management consulting services for School Services. Along with putting off hard decisions, "the biggest threat to a district in this position (facing an ongoing deficit amid declining enrollment) is a belief the state will bail it out. I can't tell you the number of times I have heard board members say exactly that."

To get more reports like this one, click here to sign up for EdSource's no-cost daily email on latest developments in education.

🧠 Comments

**Comments Policy** 

We welcome your comments. All comments are moderated for civility, relevance and other considerations. Click here for EdSource's Comments Policy.

#### **Tim Taylor**

#### 2 days ago

John....great article....timing was perfect. Gives everyone two months to work on this prior to 2022-23 budget release and more accurate mid year data. Thanks for this one.

(m)

(m)

#### **Yvonne Lorraine**

2 days ego

Here's another reason why parents might be pulling their children out of public education, especially families of various religious affiliations. https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=T1kMwj4hTmc

#### RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT 2020-21 FTES (RECALC) ACTUALS COMPARISON TO 2019-20 FTES (RECALC) ACTUALS

FINAL	2	2017-2018			2018-2019			2019-2020			2020-2021			2020-2021			2020-2021	
RG reports as of October 20, 2021	(P3) Actuals with	borrow as of Jul	y 16, 2018	(RECALC)	as of October 25, 2	2019	(RECALC)	as of September 24	4, 2020	(P3) Actua	als as of July 8, 202	21	(RECALC) Ac	tuals as of Octobe	r 20, 2021	Better (Worse) RECALC	2 19/20 vs. RECALC	20/21 Actuals
SUMMER 2020 On or After 7/1/2020	TOTAL	SAC	SCC	TOTAL	SAC	SCC	TOTAL	SAC	SCC	TOTAL	SAC	SCC	TOTAL	SAC	SCC	TOTAL	SAC	SCC
NC CDCP	104.79 354.89	60.04 263.54	44.75 91.35	74.77 359.96	28.24 267.23 0.00	46.53 92.73	150.75 730.14	73.54 563.39	77.21 166.75	250.06 646.48	109.30 526.54	140.76 119.94	247.15 649.43	106.39 529.45	140.76 119.98	96.40 (80.71)	32.85 (33.94)	63.55 (46.77)
CDCP-IS/DE CR	0.00 1,739.30	0.00 1,240.71	0.00 498.59	0.00 350.26	0.00 274.09	0.00 76.17	10.40 1,901.49	0.00 1,360.92	10.40 540.57	115.23 1,853.25	2.15 1,224.84	113.08 628.41	115.19 1,902.24	2.15 1,257.08	113.04 645.16	104.79 0.75	2.15 (103.84)	102.64 104.59
SUMMER TOTALS	2,198.98	1,564.29	634.69	784.99	569.56	215.43	2,792.78	1,997.85	794.93	2,865.02	1,862.83	1,002.19	2,914.01	1,895.07	1,018.94	121.23	(102.78)	224.01
FALL2020	318.43	302.62	15.81	281.37	271.89	9.48	303.02	294.97	8.05	500.26	313.87	186.39	375.27	190.19	185.08	72.25	(104,78)	177.03
NC-IS/DE F	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.05	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CDCP F CDCP-IS/DE F	1,774.90 0.00	1,374.44 0.00	400.46 0.00	1,849.94 0.00	1,449.80 0.00	400.14 0.00	1,881.55 38.54	1,376.12 0.00	505.43 38.54	1,189.64 310.83	926.34 12.18	263.30 298.65	1,314.63 310.62	1,050.02 12.18	264.61 298.44	(566.92) 272.08	(326.10) 12.18	(240.82) 259.90
CR IS, DSCH F	432.18	274.64	157.54	491.42	319.37	172.05	723.02	426.51	296.51	1,155.49	742.23	413.26	1,201.86	777.16	424.70	478.84	350.65	128.19
IS, WSCH DSCH	609.25 312.86	390.47 217.06	218.78 95.80	834.54 258.57	507.30 217.38	327.24 41.19	927.57 259.24	587.94 200.81	339.63 58.43	1,502.30 101.53	1,009.67 73.04	492.63 28.49	1,557.46 101.53	1,047.43 73.04	510.03 28.49	629.89 (157.71)	459.49 (127.77)	170.40 (29.94)
Positive F	1,474.16	1,366.75	107.41	1,448.96	1,343.74	105.22	1,396.83	1,304.52	92.31	1,162.50	1,139.30	23.20	1,162.78	1,139.31	23.47	(234.05)	(165.21)	(68.84)
WSCH TOTAL CR	7,060.85 9,889.30	4,599.31 6,848.23	2,461.54 3,041.07	6,829.19 9,862.68	4,442.46 6,830.25	2,386.73 3,032.43	6,570.22 9,876.88	4,271.14 6,790.92	2,299.08 3,085.96	4,486.34 8,408.16	2,731.66 5,695.90	1,754.68	4,486.29 8,509.92	2,731.61 5,768.55	1,754.68 2,741.37	(2,083.93) (1,366.96)	(1,539.53) (1.022.37)	(544.40) (344.59)
FALL TOTALS	11,982.63	8,525.29	3,457.34	11,993.99	8,551.94	3,442.05	12,099.99	8,462.01	3,637.98	10,408.94	6,948.29	3,460.65	10,510.44	7,020.94	3,489.50	(1,589.55)	(1,441.07)	(148.48)
SPRING2021																		
NC F NC-IS/DE F	663.03	299.30 0.00	363.73 0.00	581.70	292.95 0.00	288.75 0.00	532.31	207.51 0.00	324.80 0.00	327.41 481.33	88.86 350.40	238.55 130.93	260.02 278.86	46.30 214.15	213.72 64.71	(272.29) 278.86	(161.21) 214.15	(111.08) 64.71
CDCP F	2,837.65	1,899.61	938.04	2,288.22	1,453.33	834.89	1,835.68	1,164.42	671.26	757.85	349.42	408.43	827.03	393.96	433.07	(1,008.65)	(770.46)	(238.19)
CDCP-IS/DE F CR	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.64	0.00	20.64	81.65	18.04	63.61	1,890.25	1,425.32	464.93	2,092.50	1,561.34	531.16	2,010.85	1,543.30	467.55
Jan. intersession F IS, DSCH F	789.91	520.10	269.81 189.87	874.97 610.67	574.54 349.08	300.43	859.53 820.88	565.79	293.74 296.46	751.23	486.79	264.44 368.11	782.21 1,307.24	505.93 918.29	276.28	(77.32) 486.36	(59.86) 393.87	(17.46) 92.49
IS, USCH	456.55 696.63	266.68 453.46	189.87 243.17	610.67 856.42	349.08 551.51	261.59 304.91	1,127.20	524.42 758.44	296.46 368.76	1,250.12 1,861.71	882.01 988.64	368.11 873.07	1,307.24	918.29 1,027.77	388.95 893.97	486.36 794.54	269.33	92.49 525.21
DSCH F Positive F	291.73 1,641.82	258.80 1,546.20	32.93 95.62	326.34 1,618.64	276.43 1,555.36	49.91 63.28	248.89 942.83	215.60 891.03	33.29 51.80	119.02 1,093.12	110.35 1,068.85	8.67 24.27	119.46 1,125.73	110.79 1,100.25	8.67 25.48	(129.43) 182.90	(104.81) 209.22	(24.62) (26.32)
WSCH	6,362.84	4,129.31	2,233.53	5,923.83	3,816.29	2,107.54	5,616.31	3,648.03	1,968.28	3,111.89	2,127.41	24.27 984.48	3,130.33	2,153.02	977.31	(2,485.98)	(1,495.01)	(990.97)
TOTAL CR SPRING TOTALS	10,239.48 13,740.16	7,174.55 9,373.46	3,064.93 4,366.70	10,210.87 13,101.43	7,123.21 8.869.49	3,087.66 4,231.94	9,615.64 12,065.28	6,603.31 7,993.28	3,012.33 4,072.00	8,187.09 11.643.93	5,664.05 7.878.05	2,523.04 3,765.88	8,386.71 11,845,12	5,816.05 8.031.80	2,570.66 3,813.32	(1,228.93) (220.16)	(787.26) 38.52	(441.67) (258.68)
SUMMER 2021 On or Before 6/30/202		9,373.40	4,300.70	13,101.43	0,009.49	4,231.34	12,003.20	1,555.20	4,072.00	11,045.55	1,010.00	3,703.00	11,040.12	0,001.00	3,013.32	(220.10)	30.32	(230.00)
NC	6.03	4.37	1.66	2.63	1.35	1.28	2.23 40.46	2.23	0.00	1.45	1.45	0.00	1.46	1.46	0.00	(0.77)	(0.77)	0.00
NC-IS/DE CDCP	14.27 0.00	0.03 0.00	14.24 0.00	13.67 0.00	12.85 0.00	0.82 0.00	0.00	39.01 0.00	1.45 0.00	0.11 30.80	0.00 30.40	0.11 0.40	0.00 30.80	0.00 30.40	0.00 0.40	(40.46) 30.80	(39.01) 30.40	(1.45) 0.40
CDCP-IS/DE CR	0.00 43.55	0.00 32.69	0.00 10.86	0.00 28.82	0.00 19.31	0.00 9.51	0.00 28.24	0.00 23.52	0.00 4.72	0.41 12.24	0.06 12.24	0.35 0.00	1.02 30.89	0.56 21.89	0.46 9.00	1.02 2.65	0.56 (1.63)	0.46 4.28
Borrowed SUMMER TOTALS	1,392.91 1,456.76	942.34 979.43	450.57 477.33	0.00 45.12	0.00	0.00	0.00 70.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 54.31	0.00	0.00 (6.76)	0.00 (10.45)	0.00
	1,430.70	575.43	411.55	40.12	55.51	11.01	10.95	04.70	0.17	43.01	44.13	0.00	04.17	54.51	9.00	(0.70)	(10.43)	5.05
COMBINED NC	1,092.28	666.33	425.95	940.47	594.43	346.04	988.31	578.25	410.06	1,079.18	513.48	565.70	883.90	344.34	539.56	(104.41)	(233.91)	129.50
NC-IS/DE CDCP	14.27 4,967.44	0.03 3,537.59	14.24 1,429.85	13.67 4,498.12	12.85 3,170.36	0.82 1,327.76	40.46 4,447.37	39.01 3,103.93	1.45 1,343.44	481.49 2,624.77	350.40 1,832.70	131.09 792.07	278.86 2,821.89	214.15 2,003.83	64.71 818.06	238.40 (1,625.48)	175.14 (1,100.10)	63.26 (525.38)
CDCP-IS/DE CREDIT	0.00 23,304.54	0.00 16,238.52	0.00 7.066.02	20.64 20,452.63	0.00 14,246.86	20.64 6,205.77	130.59 21,422.25	18.04 14,778.67	112.55 6,643.58	2,316.72 18,460.74	1,439.71 12,597.03	877.01 5,863.71	2,519.33 18.829.76	1,576.23 12,863.57	943.10 5,966.19	2,388.74 (2.592.49)	1,558.19 (1,915,10)	830.55 (677.39)
TOTAL	29,378.53	20,442.47	8,936.06	25,925.53	18,024.50	7,901.03	27,028.98	18,517.90	8,511.08	24,962.90	16,733.32	8,229.58	25,333.74	17,002.12	8,331.62	(1,695.24)	(1,515.78)	(179.46)
	Non-Credit IS/DE	61.00% 0.00%	39.00% 0.00%	Non-Credit IS/DE	63.21% 0.00%	0.00%	Non-Credit IS/DE	58.51% 0.00%	41.49% 0.00%	Non-Credit NC-IS/DE	47.58% 72.77%	52.42% 27.23%	Non-Credit NC-IS/DE	38.96% 76.79%	61.04% 23.21%			
	CDCP NC IS/DE	71.22% 0.00%	28.78% 0.00%	CDCP NC IS/DE	70.48% 0.00%	29.52% 100.00%	CDCP CDCP-IS/DE	69.79% 13.81%	30.21% 86.19%	CDCP CDCP-IS/DE	69.82% 62.14%	30.18% 37.86%	CDCP CDCP-IS/DE	71.01% 62.57%	28.99% 37.43%			
	Credit Credit-Special Admit	69.68% 63.10%	30.32% 36.90%	Credit Credit-Special Admit	69.66% 62.59%		Credit Credit-Special Admit	68.99% 69.18%	31.01% 30.82%	Credit Credit-Special Admit	68.24% 65.36%	31.76% 34.64%	Credit Credit-Special Admit	68.32% 65.61%	31.68% 34.39%			
	Total	69.58%	30.42%		69.52%		Total	68.51%	31.49%	Total	67.03%	32.97%		67.11%	32.89%			
Special Admit	2,196.94	1,386.25	810.69	2,439.54	1,526.80	912.74	688.76	476.47	212.29	633.83	414.29	219.54	643.04	421.92	221.12			
Non-Resident FTES Non-Credit Inmates in Correctional	666.41	500.68	165.73	659.21	466.52	192.69	591.31	421.06	170.25	459.09	335.49	123.60	465.47	340.92	124.55			
Facilites	0.00	0.00	0.00	391.23	183.77	207.46	476.32	235.76	240.56	641.14	286.21	354.93	641.13	286.21	354.92			
NOTE: (C) Easterned an arimony	Changes in Growth	n Compared to 201	6-17 (P3)	Changes in Growth Com	pared to 2017-18 (	P3) with borrow	Changes in Growth	Compared to 2018-	-19 (RECALC)	Changes in Growth C	Compared to 2019-2	0 (RECALC)	Changes in Grow	vth Compared to 20	020-21 (P3)	Changes in Growth C	ompared to 2019-20	(RECALC)

	Changes in Growth Compared to 2016-17 (P3)		Changes in Growth Compared	d to 2017-18 (P3) with borrow	/	Changes in Growth Compared to 201	8-19 (RECALC)	Changes in Growth Compared	to 2019-20 (RECALC)	Changes in Growth Compared	to 2020-21 (P3)	Changes in Growth Compar	ed to 2019-20 (REC/	ALC)	
NOTE: (F) Factored on primary	Growth Total District %			Growth Total District %			Growth Total District		Growth Total District		Growth Total District		Growth Total District		
terms	(+/ <del>-</del> )	6.76%		(+/-)	-11.75%	Ľ	% (+/-) 4.26%		% (+/-)	7.64%	% (+/-) 1.4	9%	% (+/-)	-6.27%	
	Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	6.99%	6.25%	Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	-11.83% -11.58	8%	Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus 2.74%	7.72%	Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	9.64% -3.31%	Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus 1.0	1% 1.24%	Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	-8.19%	-2.11%
	Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	8.72%		Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	-12.27% -12.17		Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus 3.73%	7.05%	Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus -1	4.76% -11.74%	Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus 2.1		Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	-12.96%	-10.20%
	Non-Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	0.77%		Non-Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	-10.14% -9.38		Non-Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus -1.02%	10.16%	Non-Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus 1	0.62% 26.69%	Non-Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus 0.0		Non-Credit Growth Total % (+/-) by Campus	10.68%	26.66%

 $\bigcirc$ 

SRP/Rights	izing Savings	by Location		
SRP Savings Reconciliation		FD 11 O	nly	
6	SAC	SCC	DO	Total
FY 2021/22				
Back out	6,589,518	4,000,599	3,662,281	14,252,398
Cost of Backfill/Right Sizing Request	(3,454,197)	(2,087,040)	(2,697,831)	(8,239,068)
Subtotal Savings Exc SRP Cost	3,135,321	1,913,559	964,450	6,013,330
Est SRP 1 Cost	(604,113)	(338,121)	(274,213)	(1,216,447)
Est SRP 2 Cost	(262,327)	(202,063)	(203,849)	(668,238)
Total Savings After Cost	2,268,882	1,373,375	486,388	4,128,645
		FD 11 O	nly	
Current SRP/Vacant positions	SAC	SCC	DO	Total
Administrator	11.72	5.00	10.51	27.22
Classified	38.92	20.24	10.00	69.16
Faculty	23.00	13.75	-	36.75
	73.64	38.99	20.51	133.13
Proposed SRP & Vacant replacements/New	SAC	SCC	DO	Total
Administrator	14.22	6.00	9.85	30.07
Classified	34.69	18.34	6.00	59.03
Faculty	1.00	1.00	-	2.00
	49.91	25.34	15.85	91.09
Percentage Filled	67.77%	64.99%	77.30%	68.42%

#### Click Here for COVID-19 Related Resources

## COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE

PUBLIC EDUCATION'S POINT OF REFERENCE FOR MAKING EDUCATED DECISIONS

## Department of Finance Releases October Finance Bulletin

**BY ROBERT MCENTIRE, EDD** Copyright 2021 School Services of California, Inc. posted October 25, 2021

September 2021 General Fund revenues beat estimates in the 2021–22 State Budget by \$5.6 billion, or 40.4%. Across all revenue categories, state revenue is 27.5% (\$9.145 billion) above forecast year-to-date. As we march toward the Governor's January Budget proposal, the Administration is going to have a lot of options. The October Finance Bulletin summarizes the state's revenue performance for the first three months of the fiscal year and includes the most recent forecasts and economic factors.

Leading news centered on the comparative growth of the United States and California economies, noting that according to the Annual Bureau of Economic Analysis, updated data—as illustrated in the table below—shows that California real gross domestic product (GDP) returned to pre-pandemic levels (fourth quarter of 2019) in the first quarter of 2021, while the U.S. economy is a full quarter behind, not reaching that milestone until the second quarter of 2021. U.S. real GDP grew at 6.7% in the second quarter of 2021 (on a seasonally adjusted annualized rate [SAAR]), following the first quarter growth of 6.3%. Similarly, California grew at 8.1% in the second quarter, following 11.7% in the first quarter. Interestingly, California's first quarter results were revised upward from 6.3%. The chart below illustrates the old adage: as goes the nation, so goes California—but on steroids.



Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

The U.S. unemployment rate fell to 4.8% in September from 5.2% in August. Driving the gains was an increase of civilian employment by 526,000 combined with 183,000 fewer people in the labor force. Comparing the most recent September 2021 jobs data to February 2020, there were 5.1 million fewer people employed, with 3.1 million fewer in the labor force, and 2 million more unemployed. California's unemployment rate remains unchanged at 7.5% in September. Employment increased by 43,000 with 31,000 more people entering the labor force, leaving only 13,000 fewer people unemployed (published numbers do not add perfectly due to rounding of state data). Examining California's data from September 2021 to that of February 2020, California employs 993,000 fewer people, with 420,000 fewer people in the labor force, and 574,000 more people unemployed.

California state revenues continue robust growth with the big three taxes of personal income tax (PIT), sales and use taxes (SUT), and corporate tax (CT) all above forecast for the month and year. For the month of September, PIT exceeded expectations by \$3.994 billion (42.1%), while SUT and CT beat expectations by \$365 million (16.6%) and \$1.12 billion (62.8%), respectively. Examination of PIT shows September payroll tax withholding receipts outperforming estimates by \$1.667 billion, while other (payroll related) cash receipts beat the forecast by \$2.433 billion. PIT refunds exceeded estimates by \$34 million. Fiscal year-to-date (July-September), PIT is 29.7% (\$6.557 billion) above estimates, while SUT and CT are 15.5% (\$1.035 billion) and 52.9% (\$1.449 billion) above forecast, respectively.

10:13:31 AM



#### **Economic Update**

Personal income in California increased by 4.2 percent year-over-year in the second quarter of 2021, following an increase of 16 percent in the first quarter. U.S. personal income also slowed in the second quarter of 2021, growing at 1.1 percent following 16.1 percent in the first quarter. For both the U.S. and California, personal income components were similar with total wages and salaries and proprietors' income the largest drivers of personal income growth, which offset decreases in transfer receipts.

California real GDP grew by 8.1 percent on a seasonally adjusted annualized rate (SAAR) basis in the second quarter of 2021, following growth of 11.7 percent (revised up



Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis

from 6.3 percent) in the first quarter of 2021. U.S. real GDP grew at 6.7 percent in the second quarter of 2021 after growing 6.3 percent in the first quarter of 2021. The annual Bureau of Economic Analysis updates indicate that California real GDP returned to pre-pandemic (fourth quarter of 2019) levels in the first quarter of 2021 while the U.S. returned in the second quarter of 2021.

#### LABOR MARKET CONDITIONS

- The U.S. unemployment rate fell 0.4 percentage point to 4.8 percent in September 2021, with civilian employment increasing by 526,000 and 183,000 fewer people in the labor force. There were 5.1 million fewer employed, 3.1 million fewer persons in the labor force, and 2 million more unemployed in September 2021 than in February 2020. The U.S. added 194,000 nonfarm jobs in September 2021, with eight of the eleven major industry sectors gaining jobs. Government, other services, and educational and health services lost jobs. As of September 2021, the U.S. has recovered 77.8 percent of the 22.4 million jobs lost in March and April 2020.
- California unemployment rate remained unchanged at 7.5 percent in September 2021. California civilian employment increased by 43,000 in September 2021 with 31,000 more people entering the labor force and 13,000 fewer unemployed. There were 993,000 fewer employed, 420,000 fewer people in the labor force, and 574,000 more unemployed in September 2021 than in February 2020. After adding 47,400 nonfarm jobs, California has now recovered 63.5 percent of the 2.7 million jobs lost in March and April 2020. Nine sectors added jobs: leisure and hospitality (23,300), professional and business services (6,900), manufacturing (5,700), information (5,000), government (3,100), educational and health services (2,600), trade, transportation, and utilities (1,900), construction (1,400), and financial activities (1,300). Other services (-3,700) and mining and logging (-100) lost jobs.

#### BUILDING ACTIVITY & REAL ESTATE

- California permitted approximately 123,000 housing units (57,000 multi-family units and 65,000 single-family units) on a SAAR basis in August 2021. This was up 1.9 percent from 120,000 units in July 2021 and up 24.5 percent from the 98,000 units permitted in August 2020. Year-to-date through August 2021, California permitted 123,000 units on average, compared to 101,000 units in the same period in 2020 and 108,000 units in the same period in 2019.
- The statewide median price of existing single-family homes decreased to \$808,890 in September 2021, the sixth consecutive month above \$800,000. This was down 2.3 percent from August 2021 and up 13.5 percent from September 2020. Sales of existing single-family homes in California totaled 438,190 units (SAAR) in September 2021, up 5.6 percent from August 2021 and down 10.5 percent from September 2020.

#### MONTHLY CASH REPORT

Preliminary General Fund agency cash receipts for the first three months of the 2021-22 fiscal year were \$9.145 billion above the 2021-22 Budget Act forecast of \$33.235 billion. Cash receipts for the month of September were \$5.617 billion above the forecast of \$13.893 billion. Preliminary General Fund agency cash receipts for the entire 2020-21 fiscal year were \$4.783 billion above the 2021-22 Budget Act forecast of \$201.775 billion, or 2.4 percent above forecast. When this prior fiscal year-end amount is combined with the current fiscal year-to-date total, preliminary General Fund agency cash receipts are \$13.928 billion above the 2021-22 Budget Act forecast.

- Personal income tax cash receipts to the General Fund for the first three months of the fiscal year were \$6.557 billion above the forecast of \$22.088 billion. Cash receipts for September were \$3.994 billion above the forecast of \$9.486 billion. Withholding receipts were \$1.667 billion above the forecast of \$5.683 billion. Other cash receipts were \$2.433 billion above the forecast of \$4.507 billion. Even though California does not have a September estimated payment due, taxpayers often match the federal estimated payment schedule. Refunds issued in September were \$34 million above the expected \$534 million. Proposition 63 requires that 1.76 percent of total monthly personal income tax collections be transferred to the Mental Health Services Fund (MHSF). The amount transferred to the MHSF in September was \$72 million higher than the forecast of \$170 million.
- Sales and use tax cash receipts for the first three months of the fiscal year were \$1.035 billion above the forecast of \$6.679 billion. Cash receipts for September were \$365 million above the month's forecast of \$2.203 billion. September cash receipts include the second prepayment for third quarter taxable sales.
- Corporation tax cash receipts for the first three months of the fiscal year were \$1.449 billion above the forecast of \$2.738 billion. Cash receipts for September were \$1.12 billion above the month's forecast of \$1.782 billion. Estimated payments were \$709 million above the forecast of \$1.609 billion, and other payments were \$342 million above the \$351 million forecast. Total refunds for the month were \$68 million lower than the forecast of \$178 million.
- Insurance tax cash receipts for the first three months of the fiscal year were \$23 million above the forecast of \$801 million. Insurance tax cash receipts for September were \$41 million above the forecast of \$144 million. Cash receipts from the alcoholic beverage, tobacco taxes, and pooled money interest were \$35 million above the forecast for the first three months of the fiscal year, and were \$14 million above the forecast of \$45 million for September. "Other" cash receipts were \$45 million above the forecast for the first three months of the forecast of \$45 million above the forecast for the first three months of the forecast of \$233 million for the month.

			(D	ondio mi ivi	mons	·)			
	SEPTEMBI	ER 2021					2021-22 YEAI	R-TO-DATE	
				Percent					Percent
Revenue Source	Forecast	Actual	Change	Change		Forecast	Actual	Change	Change
Personal Income	\$9,486	\$13,480	\$3,994	42.1%		\$22,088	\$28,645	\$6,557	29.7%
Sales & Use	2,203	2,568	365	16.6%		6,679	7,714	1,035	15.5%
Corporation	1,782	2,902	1,120	62.8%		2,738	4,187	1,449	52.9%
Insurance	144	184	41	28.2%		801	824	23	2.9%
Estate	0	0	0	0.0%		0	0	0	0.0%
Pooled Money Interest	9	15	6	72.2%		19	39	19	100.0%
Alcoholic Beverages	33	38	6	18.0%		102	116	15	14.6%
Tobacco	4	6	2	61.1%		15	16	0	2.4%
Other	233	316	83	35.7%		793	838	45	5.7%
Total	\$13,893	\$19,510	\$5,617	40.4%	I	\$33,235	\$42,380	\$9,145	27.5%

#### 2021-22 Comparison of Actual and Forecast Agency General Fund Revenues (Dollars in Millions)

This is an agency cash report and the data may differ from the Controller's report to the extent that cash received by agencies has not yet been reported to the Controller.

Totals may not add due to rounding. The forecast is from the 2021 Budget Act.

#### Click Here for COVID-19 Related Resources

## 6

## COMMUNITY COLLEGE UPDATE

PUBLIC EDUCATION'S POINT OF REFERENCE FOR MAKING EDUCATED DECISIONS

## Legislative Analyst Reviews State's Higher Education Spending Plan

BY PATTI F. HERRERA. EDD Copyright 2021 School Services of California, Inc. posted October 29, 2021

Each year, the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) summarizes the current year Budget Act, which it recently released for the higher education portion of its <u>2021-22 California Spending Plan</u>. The task this year was no small feat given the historic funding levels and the myriad of new categorical programs established as a result.

The most notable (and breathtaking) aspect of the 2021 Education Budget is the sheer size of the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee during an unprecedented global pandemic that halted the California economy in the spring of 2020 as residents fled indoors.



Across the 2020-21 and 2021-22 fiscal years, the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee spiked by nearly \$23 billion from the 2020 Budget Act, which had assumed that the health crisis would cause education funding to drop by over \$10 billion from the 2019-20 levels. This unexpected turnaround allowed Governor Gavin Newsom and lawmakers to restore community college payments to their normal apportionment schedules while investing in new initiatives designed to address basic needs and advance educational equity.

#### Community College Spending up 14%

The LAO notes that community college funding levels increased by 14.0% from 2019–20, bringing its share of the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee in 2021–22 to \$10.6 billion. Of this amount, the Enacted State Budget uses \$3.4 billion to buy down all deferrals and invest in a combination of one-time and ongoing programs. The LAO analysis captures community college's major spending buckets:

#### Ongoing/Core Spending (\$758 Million)

- \$371 million: Student Centered Funding Formula 5.07% cost-of-living adjustment (COLA)
- \$100 million: Full-time faculty hiring
- \$24 million: Enrollment growth (0.5%)
- \$31 million: 4.07% COLA for select categorical programs

https://www.sscal.com/publicat...

10:50:04 AM

#### • \$42 million: Strong Workforce Program

Also included in ongoing investments are funding for programs to improve educational outcomes for underserved students (\$27 million), the Student Equity and Achievement Program (\$24 million), and funding for basic needs and mental health services (\$30 million each).

#### One-Time Spending (\$2.6 Billion)

The lion's share of new funding for community colleges is spread across an array of one-time initiatives, most of which is used to eliminate deferrals (\$1.5 billion) and deferred maintenance projects (\$511 million). Of the remaining funding, \$335 million is spent on:

- Strategies to enroll and retain students (\$120 million)
- Zero-textbook-cost degrees (\$115 million)
- Addressing student housing and food needs (\$100 million)

While the summary and the look back are important for community college leaders, particularly since it is no small feat to condense the significant number of new or expanded categorical programs that were created in the Enacted State Budget, they most eagerly await the LAO's perennial *Fiscal Outlook* report, providing a useful fiscal forecast for the state and public education.

The *Fiscal Outlook* is published in late November. We will provide a timely summary of the LAO's California forecast when it's available.

#### **Fiscal Resources Committee**

#### 2021-2022 Goals

- 1. Review, evaluate and update the Budget Allocation Model (BAM) based on the Student Centered Funding formula (SCFF).
- 2. Review and update the Planning Design Manual for the Resource Allocation section.
- 3. Develop assumptions for the Tentative and Adopted Budgets.
- 4. Develop District Budget Calendar.

#### Vacant Funded Positions for FY2021-22- Projected Annual Salary and Benefits Savings As of November 9, 2021



								2021-22 Estimated	
		Management/ Academic/						Annual Budgeted	Total Unr. Gene
ind		Confidential	EMPLOYEE ID#	Reasons	Site	Notes	Vacant Account	Sal/Ben	Fund by Site
	11	Birk, John	1025630	Retirement	District		11-0000-673000-53110-2110	223,603	
	11	Chief Advisor for Academic & Diversity Programs		NEW AC21-00047	District	NEW AC21-00047. Narges Rabii-Rakin Inteim Assignment 7/1/21-1/31/22	11-0005-660000-51100-1210	197,904	
		Diversity Programs		NEW ACZI-00047	District	Reorg#1230 Eliminated Director, Public	11-0005-000000-51100-1210	157,504	
						Affairs/Publications position and changed			
	11	Chief Communication Officer	REORG#1230	REORG#1230	District	to Chief Communication Officer.	11-0000-671000-52200-2110	217,349	1,212,2
	11	Davis, Stuart	1026270	Resignation	District	Reorg#1228 Elinimated Executive Director	11-0000-678000-54144-2110	255,440	1,212,2
)%-fd 11 )%-fd 12		Director of Grants	REORG#1228	REORG#1228	District	Resource Development and added Director	11-0000-679000-53345-2110-30% 12-????-70%	62,879	
///•10 12		Director or Grants	REORG#1228	REORG#1228	District	Jennifer De La Rosa Interim Assignment	12-1111-70%	02,875	
		Estevez, Jean	2439960	Resignation	District		11-0000-673000-53110-2110	52,902	
	11	Melendez, Joey	2281738	Promotion	District	CL21-00152 Reorg#1228 Elinimated Executive Director	11-0000-710000-54132-2110	202,218	
)%-fd 11 )%-fd 12		Santoyo, Sarah	1026071	Promotion	District	Resource Development and added Director of Grants	11-0000-679000-53345-2110-50% 12-2185-679000-53345-2110-50%	-	
	11	Dominguez, Gary M.	1029208	Retirement	SAC	Fred Ramsey Interim Assignment 7/1/21- 12/31/21	11-0000-601000-15715-1210	100,891	
		Funaoka, Marygrace	1058592	Deceased	SAC		11-0000-080100-15717-1110-60% 11-0000-130500-15717-1110-40%	185,291	
		Gaspar, Mario	2372180	Resignation	SAC	Kobert Ward Interim Assignment //1/21- 12/31/21	11-0000-651000-17400-2110	104,389	
	11	Miller, Rebecca	1028551	Retirement	SAC	Hired Mary Steckler efffective 7-1-2021 Grade "D" Step "5" AC21-00076	11-0000-601000-16100-1210	(27,952)	
						AC21-00161 Marilyn Martinez-Flores			
	11	Rose, Linda	1872844	Retirement	SAC	Interim Assignment 7/1/21-1/2/22. Board Docket May 10,21	11-0000-660000-11100-1210	142,131	
		Catala Caraia D	1028661	Retirement	CEC	Lorena Chavez Interim Assignment 7/1/21-	11-0000-601000-18100-1210-50%	56 105	
	-11	Sotelo, Sergio R.	1028001	Retirement	LEL	6/30/22	11-2490-601000-18100-1210-50%	56,135	
	11	Steckler, Mary	1029650	Promotion	SAC	Contract Turn Interim Animum	11-0000-123010-16640-1110	172,144	
	11	Stowers, Deon	2483416	Probational Dismissal	SAC	Sophanareth Tuon Interim Assignment 7/01/21-12/31/21	11-0000-653000-17200-2110	90,286	
	11	Virgoe, Brad	1055072	Resignation	SAC		11-0000-601000-15712-1210	137,353	
		11500, 5100	1055072	Resignation	5/10			157,555	
						Dalilah Davaloz #1026125 Interim			
	11	Wall, Brenda L.	2460354	Resignation	SAC	Assignment and HR approved FT MGMT benefits (7/1/21-6/30/22) CL20-00039.	11-0000-671000-11500-2110	5,421	
	11	Waterman, Patricia J.	1027281	Retirement	SAC		11-0000-100200-15510-1110 11-0000-601000-25205-1210-86%	160,346	$\prec$
	11	Arteaga, Elizabeth	1027713	Promotion	scc		11-3230-601000-25205-1210-14%	222,725	
	11	Carrera, Cheryl	1027004	Retirement	scc		11-0000-170100-25150-1110	160,346	
	11	Coto, Jennifer	1029536	Change of Assignment	sec	Loretta Jordan Interim Assignment 7/1/21- 6/30/22	11-0000-620000-29100-1210	42,718	
		colo, Jennie	1023330	change of Assignment	Jee	Martin Stringer Interim Assignment 7/1/21-	11-0000-020000-25100-1210	42,710	
						1/2/22. Board Docket June 21, 2021.			
						Marilyn Martinez-Flores serving as Interim Assignment SAC President 7/1/21-1/2/22.	11-0000-601000-25051-1210-95%		
	11	Flores, Marilyn	2041264	Interim Assignment	SCC	Board Docket May 10, 2021	11-0000-684000-25051-1210-5%	276,143	1,252,2
	11	Geissler, Joseph	1027221	Deceased	scc		11-0000-612000-25430-1220	160,346	
	11	Nguyen, Steven	2318451	Resignation	scc	LongTerm sub Cody Piotrowski#2490015 8/16/21-12/11/21	11-0000-190500-25163-1110	131,646	
	11	Vakil, David	1891924	Resignation	scc	Jonanne Armstrong Interim Assignment extended 7/1/21-6/3/22.	11-0000-601000-25305-1210	233,677	
						Interim Assignment Vice President of			
						Student Services effective 8/2/21 plus 5% special assignment additional pay. Interim			
						Assignment SCC President 7/1/21-8/1/21 as			
	11	Vargas Navarro, Jose F.	1026660	Interim Assignment	OEC	President,SCC. Board docket May 10, 2021	0000-684000-28100-1210-5%	24,619 3,590,947	
								2021-22 Estimated	
		Classified	EMPLOYEE ID#	Passans	Site	Netos		Annual Budgeted Sal/Ben	Total Unr. Gene Fund by Site
nd		Andrade Cortes, Jorge L.	2444290	Resignation	Site District	Notes	11-0000-672000-54212-2130	147,644	i una by site
	11	Ayala, Jose A.	1030842	Resignation	District		11-0000-677000-54167-2310-60% 11- 0000-695000-54167-2310-40%	20,909	
		Benjamin, Robert	1335325	Resignation	District		11-0000-677000-54166-2130-60% 11- 0000-695000-54166-2130-40%	98,509	
		Francis, DiemChau T.		Resignation		Reorg#1219 eliminated position Reorg#1193 replaced with Reorg#1224, P/T	11-0000-672000-54215-2130		
		Intermediate Clash	REORCHITCO	REORG#1193	District	Intermediate Clerk changed to F/T	11 0000 672000 50110 2600		545,2
	11	Intermediate Clerk Lee, Patrick	REORG#1193 1416553	Resignation	District	Intermediate Clerk. Hired Darlene Gil	11-0000-673000-53110-2130 11-0000-695000-54166-2310	20,908	
	11 11	Medrano, Miranda M. Nguyen, James V.	1933132 2420063	Termination Probational Dismissal	District District		11-0000-677000-52600-2130 11-0000-653000-53330-2130	115,848 90,235	
		Pita, Lazaro R.	1298807	Resignation	District		11-0000-677000-54167-2310-60% 11 0000-695000-54167-2310-40%	26,356	
		Shipma, Phil L	1209698	Resignation	District		11-0000-695000-54163-2310	24,828	]
	11	Amaton, Jose	1030626	Resignation Retirement	SAC		11-0000-653000-3403-2310 11-0000-653000-17200-2310 11-0000-653000-17200-2130	20,582 87,910	
		Benavides, Ricardo Diaz, Claudia R.	1029648	Retirement Promotion	SAC CEC		11-0000-601000-18100-2130	87,910 99,195	
%-fd 11							11-2250-643000-19300-2130-25% 12- 2250-643000-19300-2130-64% 12-		
%-fd 12	11	Fernandez Gonzalez, Irma Flores, Rodrigo	1030855 1107246	Medical Layoff Promotion	SAC SAC		2090-643000-19300-2130-11% 11-0000-653000-17200-2130	21,358 87,910	
	11	Hayes, Charles F. F/T Instructional Center Technician	1026480	Retirement	SAC	CL20-00021 F/T Instructional Center Technician	11-0000-653000-17200-2130	87,910	
%-fd 12		Reorg#1162	REORG#1162	REORG#1162	SAC	Reorg#1162. CL21-00110	11-0000-619000-15110-2130-86%	77,601	
%-fd 11		Miranda Zamora, Cristina	1339369	Promotion	SAC		11-0000-699000-14121-2130-35% 31- 0000-691000-14121-2130-65%	34,720 87,910	
%-fd 31	_	Molina Valdez, Jorge A.	1030404	Promotion	SAC		11-0000-653000-17200-2130		1,118,

#### Vacant Funded Positions for FY2021-22- Projected Annual Salary and Benefits Savings As of November 9, 2021

	Management/						2021-22 Estimated	
	Academic/						Annual Budgeted	Total Unr. General
Fund	Confidential	EMPLOYEE ID#	Reasons	Site	Notes	Vacant Account	Sal/Ben	Fund by Site
	11 Roman, Alfonso W	1025210	Medical Layoff	SAC		11-0000-655000-17300-2130	110,763	
	11 Shirley, Jacqueline K.	1029199	Retirement	SAC	CL20-1396	11-2410-631000-15310-2130	85,427	
						11-2410-632000-19510-2130-20%		
40%-fd 11						11-0000-632000-19510-2130-20%		
60%-fd 12	Student Services Specialist	REORG#1190	Retirement	SAC	Reorg#1190 (Nguyen, Cang)	12-2416-632000-19510-2130-60%	36,096	
	11 Talamantes, Edgar	2432260	Promotion	SAC	CL21-00055	11-0000-655000-17300-2130	92,953	
						11-0000-620000-19205-2310-30%		
	11 Taylor, Katherine A.	1028961	Retirement	SAC		11-2410-620000-19205-2310-70%	27,760	
	11 Velazquez, Kimberly S.	1627996	Promotion	SAC	CL21-00218	11-2410-631000-15310-2310	26,799	
	Bennett, Lauren A.	1337295	Resignation	SCC		11-0000-620000-29100-2130	87,685	
14%-fd 11						11-0000-649000-28100-2130-14%		
86%-fd 12	Berganza, Leyvi C	1030913	Promotion	OEC		12-2490-649000-28100-2130-86%	15,858	
								597,493
	11 Flores, Jazmine N	1870770	Resignation	scc		11-0000-620000-29100-2130	92,953	,
	11 Gitonga, Kanana	1030388	Retirement	SCC		11-0000-649000-29110-2130	126,965	
	11 Samodumov, Stephan	2221631	Resignation	SCC		11-0000-653000-27200-2310	23,782	
	11 Tran, Kieu-Loan T.	1030029	Promotion	SCC		11-0000-620000-29100-2130	99,195	
							2,261,399	
TOTAL							5,852,346	

#### RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT MEASURE Q Projects Cost Summary 10/31/21 on 11/01/21

			10/51/210	on 11/01/21 FY 20	21-2022			
Special Project Numbers				1120				
Specia Nu	Description	Project Allocation	Total PY Expenditures	Expenditures	Encumbrances	Cumulative Exp & Enc	Project Balance	% Spent
ACTIV	E PROJECTS							
SANTA	ANA COLLEGE							
3035/	Johnson Student Center	59,548,222	57,166,064	810,637	949,761	58,926,461	621,761	99%
3056	Agency Cost	<u> </u>	479,275	-	147,505	626,780		
	Professional Services		6,460,048	85,247	532,335	7,077,629		
	Construction Services		48,168,884	665,756	0	48,834,640		
	Furniture and Equipment		2,057,857	59,634	269,921	2,387,412		
3049	Science Center & Building J Demolition	70,130,861	58,630,167	914,640	3,735,163	63,279,971	6,850,890	90%
	Agency Cost		441,131	-	19,315	460,446		
	Professional Services		9,770,089	11,358	503,272	10,284,720		
	Construction Services		46,529,708	809,768	2,459,218	49,798,694		
	Furniture and Equipment		1,889,239	93,514	753,358	2,736,111		
	TOTAL ACTIVE PROJECTS	129,679,083	115,796,231	1,725,277	4,684,924	122,206,432	7,472,651	94%
CLOSE	D PROJECTS							
3032	Dunlap Hall Renovation	12,620,659	12,620,659	-	-	12,620,659	0	100%
	Agency Cost		559	-		559		
	Professional Services		1,139,116	-	-	1,139,116		
	Construction Services		11,480,984	-	_	11,480,984		
	Furniture and Equipment		-	-	-	-		
3042	Central Plant Infrastructure	57,266,535	57,266,535	-	-	57,266,535	0	100%
	Agency Cost		416,740	-	-	416,740		
	Professional Services		9,593,001	-	-	9,593,001		
	Construction Services		47,216,357	-	-	47,216,357		
	Furniture and Equipment	1	40,437	-	-	40,437		
3043	17th & Bristol Street Parking Lot	198,141	198,141	-	-	198,141	0	100%
	Agency Cost		16,151	-	-	16,151		
	Professional Services		128,994	-	-	128,994		
	Construction Services		52,996	-	-	52,996		
	Furniture and Equipment	T	-	-	-	-		
	TOTAL CLOSED PROJECTS	70,085,335	70,085,334	-	-	70,085,334	0	100%
	GRAND TOTAL ALL PROJECTS	199,764,418	185,881,565	1,725,277	4,684,924	192,291,766	7,472,651	96%

#### SOURCE OF FUNDS

ORIGINAL Bond Proceeds	198,000,000
ACTUAL Bond Proceeds Recon Adjust.	(1,614,579)
Interest Earned	2,993,115
Interest/Expense (FY20/21)	385,881
Totals	199,764,418



#### Rancho Santiago Community College FD 11/13 Combined -- Unrestricted General Fund Cash Flow Summary FY 2021-22, 2020-21, 2019-20 YTD Actuals- October 31, 2021

						FY 2021/2	20202					
-	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual
Beginning Fund Balance	\$46,370,067	\$48,061,192	\$35,559,967	\$41,167,238	\$27,747,845	\$27,747,845	\$27,747,845	\$27,747,845	\$27,747,845	\$27,747,845	\$27,747,845	\$27,747,845
- Total Revenues	11,455,882	2,902,909	21,992,122	2,339,149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Expenditures	9,764,757	15,404,133	16,384,851	15,758,542	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change in Fund Balance	1,691,125	(12,501,224)	5,607,271	(13,419,393)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ending Fund Balance	48,061,192	35,559,967	41,167,238	27,747,845	27,747,845	27,747,845	27,747,845	27,747,845	27,747,845	27,747,845	27,747,845	27,747,845
-	FY 2020/20201											
-	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual
Beginning Fund Balance	\$38,043,629	\$37,890,520	\$21,377,062	\$29,621,168	\$20,972,596	\$18,331,844	\$40,829,056	\$35,611,009	\$21,137,122	\$19,535,152	\$23,813,198	\$15,243,357
- Total Revenues	9,803,314	(1,484,159)	24,214,797	7,145,358	15,876,235	37,159,108	7,568,219	1,329,565	13,748,589	19,224,264	5,986,870	58,955,542
Total Expenditures	9,956,422	15,029,299	15,970,692	15,793,930	18,516,988	14,661,896	12,786,266	15,803,453	15,350,560	14,946,217	14,556,711	27,828,832
Change in Fund Balance	(153,109)	(16,513,458)	8,244,105	(8,648,571)	(2,640,753)	22,497,212	(5,218,047)	(14,473,888)	(1,601,970)	4,278,047	(8,569,841)	31,126,710
Ending Fund Balance =	37,890,520	21,377,062	29,621,168	20,972,596	18,331,844	40,829,056	35,611,009	21,137,122	19,535,152	23,813,198	15,243,357	46,370,067
						FY 2019/	2020					
_	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual
Beginning Fund Balance	\$38,759,045	\$46,756,827	\$39,862,144	\$42,643,395	\$31,406,449	\$32,285,576	\$51,748,699	\$45,395,701	\$27,255,963	\$27,628,258	\$31,992,321	\$23,555,194
- Total Revenues	18,530,608	6,957,617	17,893,333	6,103,920	18,289,460	35,095,906	8,486,077	1,438,315	15,146,041	20,661,983	7,845,575	41,652,047
Total Expenditures	10,532,826	13,852,300	15,112,081	17,340,866	17,410,333	15,632,783	14,839,075	19,578,053	14,773,746	16,297,921	16,282,702	27,163,612
Change in Fund Balance	7,997,782	(6,894,683)	2,781,251	(11,236,947)	879,127	19,463,123	(6,352,998)	(18,139,738)	372,295	4,364,063	(8,437,127)	14,488,435
Ending Fund Balance	46,756,827	39,862,144	42,643,395	31,406,449	32,285,576	51,748,699	45,395,701	27,255,963	27,628,258	31,992,321	23,555,194	38,043,629





Building the future through quality education

RANCHO SANTIAGO 2323 North Broadway • Santa Ana, CA 92706 -1640 • (714) 480-7300 • www.rsccd.edu

Santa Ana College • Santiago Canyon College

#### DISTRICTWIDE ENROLLMENT MANAGEMENT WORKGROUP (DEMW) MEETING

#### **Action Items**

October 1, 2021 12:00pm-1:30pm - via zoom

Present:

Enrique Perez, Cristina Gheorghe, Jorge Forero, Jesse Gonzalez, Dr. Vaniethia Hubbard, Dr. James Kennedy, Mary Law, Dr. Jeff Lamb, Thao Nguyen, Nga Pham, Craig Rutan, Sarah Santoyo, John Steffens, Martin Stringer, Jose F. Vargas and Aaron Voelcker Guest: Mr. Tyler Nguyen Patricia S. Duenez present as note taker.

Mr. Perez called the meeting to order at 12:06pm.

I. Welcome

Mr. Perez provided welcoming remarks.

#### II. \*Action Items – August 5, 2021 – Informational

This item provided as informational.

#### III. Demonstration PowerBi Dashboard Based on RG540 Report

• Census Reporting Enrollment with FTE Comparison

-Ms. Pham introduced Mr. Tyler Nguyen who shared screen of PowerBi enrollment tool and provided overview of comparison by terms.

-Comparison of Census FTES and FTES actuals was discussed.

-Refresh process for report is currently manual; Mr. Voelcker will follow-up on auto refresh work being done; will include Ms. Pham on Tuesday meeting.

-Mr. Gonzalez updated group that he's working with VP's on PowerBi Targeting application to add Targets to report.

-More can be built having regular and Researcher users in mind.

-What are we trying to glean with revisions to this credit enrollment and FTES

Comparison by Term? What are we trying to accomplish? What do we want the audience to do with it?

-Ms. Pham suggested meeting with Dept. Chairs and connect on information needed for schedule building.

-Mr. Gonzalez reported on intention to migrate the RG reports to PowerBi.

-Mr. Nguyen shared screen and provided overview of Credit Census Enrollment (Resident & Nonresident) by Division; same data as previous slide but different presentation.

-Being mindful of and importance was made to putting data into context.

-Mr. Nguyen shared screen and provided overview of Credit Enrollment and Section by Term report and Credit Resident FTES and Section by Term report.

-Dr. Lamb provided chat comment: 'I think that adding a toggle/choice to see RES and NRES might be better than showing so many bars on the graphs (in particular the second chart). The same would be true for seeing Census V Actual. Seeing both can be

distracting.'

-Mr. Tyler noted input and suggestions made by members.

-Ms. Gheorghe provided clarification that the 540 does not provide FTEF information but 541 does; waiting for ITS to provide access.

#### IV. Review of Intersession Impacts on Spring Enrollment

-Ms. Pham shared screed of 'Comparison of CCCD enrollment n calendar 09 30 21' file. -Mr. Rutan spoke about if intersession has had the desired impact for colleges. -It was mentioned if other colleges have modified their dates due to work our district has done?

-This item will be placed on next meeting's agenda earlier on agenda to allow more time for discussion.

#### V. \*COVID-19 n Vaccination Mandate Survey-Impact on Spring 2022

-Ms. Pham shared screen of SAC & SCC survey responses received so far for credit students. -Survey has not closed, waiting on noncredit as well.

-Ms. Pham will send updated results on Tuesday next week to VP's present.

#### VI. Report on Summer & Fall Targets

• SAC: Dr. Lamb shared screen of SAC Enrollment Monitoring 09/23/21 file; showing some decline of full-term courses; some lag in remote live; late start courses doing very well; GRT Weeks demand courses which include English.

• SCC: Martin Stringer shared screen of current FTES and forthcoming class offerings; current 14.5% deficient for year; GRT Weeks courses fill rate going well; hoping to add 18 sections to winter intersession.

-Ms. Law reported 25,000 mail pieces marketing GRT Weeks/accelerated classes to arrive in homes week of October  $4^{th}$ .

-Dr. Kennedy reported on noncredit; 1500 FTES for fall; growth over last fall, marketing and outreach increasing for fall; exceeded headcount; expected to hit Fall targets.

#### VII. Other

Next meeting is scheduled for Friday, November 5, 2021

Mr. Perez adjourned the meeting at 1:18pm

\*item attached

Purpose of workgroup: to discuss strategic enrollment management related topics and issues from a districtwide perspective and learn how to better leverage resources districtwide to help our enrollment.



#### Orange County Community College District Winter Intersession and Spring Semester Start Dates 2009-2010 through 2021-2022

		Santiago CD	Coas	st CCD		Orange ty CCD		h Orange nty CCD
2009-10	-	02/08/10	01/04/10	01/30/10	01/04/10	01/19/10	-	01/11/10
2010-11	-	01/24/11	01/03/11	01/31/11	01/03/11	01/19/11	-	01/10/11
2011-12	-	01/23/12	01/03/12	01/30/12	01/03/12	01/23/12	-	01/09/12
2012-13	-	01/28/13	01/02/13	01/28/13	01/02/13	02/04/13	-	01/22/13
2013-14	01/06/14	02/10/14	01/02/14	01/27/14	01/12/14	01/27/14	-	01/21/14
2014-15	01/05/15	02/09/15	01/05/15	01/31/15	01/05/16	01/26/15	-	01/20/15
2015-16	01/04/16	02/08/16	01/04/16	01/30/16	-	02/01/16	1	01/19/16
2016-17	01/09/17	02/13/17	01/03/17	01/30/17	-	01/30/17	1	01/17/17
2017-18	01/02/18	02/05/18	01/02/18	01/29/18	-	01/29/18	1	01/16/18
2018-19	01/07/19	02/11/19	01/02/19	01/28/19	-	01/28/19	1	01/14/19
2019-20	01/06/20	02/10/20	01/02/20	01/27/20	01/02/20	01/27/20	-	01/13/20
2020-21	01/04/21	02/08/21	01/04/21	01/30/21	01/02/21	01/25/21	-	01/19/21
2021-22	01/03/22	02/07/22	01/03/22	01/31/22	01/03/22	01/24/22	-	01/18/22



### Districtwide Enrollment Management Workgroup October 1, 2021, Agenda Item IV Review of Intersession Impacts on Spring Enrollment

Semester 
Spring 
Winter Intersession 
Total Census Enrollment 50K 47,369 47,202 48,773 46,473 46,639 46,641 46,127 46,028 45,991 44,907 4.165 43,534 4,291 39,305 40K 30K 47,369 46.473 44,907 44,608 43,534 43,748 41,965 42,208 41,737 41,828 41,459 20K 34,535 10K 0K 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20 2020-21

#### Santa Ana College Intersession and Spring Enrollments (excluding Academies), 2009-10 through 2020-21

Santa Ana College Intersession and Spring Enrollments (including Academies), 2009-10 through 2020-21



Santiago Canyon College Intersession and Spring Enrollments (excluding Apprenticeships), 2009-10 through 2020-21



Santiago Canyon College Intersession and Spring Enrollments (including Apprenticeships), 2009-10 through 2020-21



Semester 
Spring 
Winter Intersession 
Total Census Enrollment

## Q1 What types of classes do you plan to enroll in during Spring 2022?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-person classes only	14.02%	164
A mix between online and in-person classes	48.21%	564
Online classes only	29.06%	340
I haven't decided if I plan to enroll in Spring 2022	6.67%	78
I do not plan to enroll in Spring 2022	2.05%	24
TOTAL		1,170

## Q2 Are you fully vaccinated against the COVID-19 virus?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	74.62%	873
No	18.55%	217
Decline to state	6.84%	80
TOTAL	1,	,170

# Q3 How would a requirement to be vaccinated (also called a vaccine mandate) for all SAC students taking in-person classes affect your decision to enroll in Spring 2022?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A mandate would have a positive effect on my decision to enroll	56.50%	661
A mandate would have a negative effect on my decision to enroll	24.27%	284
I am not sure	19.23%	225
TOTAL		1,170

### Q4 If a vaccine mandate was required for all SAC students taking inperson classes, what type of classes would you choose for Spring 2022?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-person classes only	19.83%	232
A mix between online and in-person classes	45.64%	534
Online classes only	24.44%	286
I would not enroll at SAC in Spring 2022	10.09%	118
TOTAL		1,170

## Q5 If a vaccine mandate was required for all SAC students taking inperson classes, would you get vaccinated?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	70.34% 823
No	18.55% 217
I am not sure	5.90% 69
Decline to state	5.21% 61
TOTAL	1,170

## Q6 If you would like to be included in the SAC Bookstore gift card drawings, please enter your email address:

Answered: 882 Skipped: 288

## Q1 What types of classes do you plan to enroll in during Spring 2022?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-person classes only	20.58%	128
A mix between online and in-person classes	50.00%	311
Online classes only	21.06%	131
I haven't decided if I plan to enroll in Spring 2022	4.66%	29
I do not plan to enroll in Spring 2022	3.70%	23
TOTAL		622

## Q2 Are you fully vaccinated against the COVID-19 virus?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	64.31%	400
No	26.69%	166
Decline to state	9.00%	56
TOTAL		622

# Q3 How would a requirement to be vaccinated (also called a vaccine mandate) for all SCC students taking in-person classes affect your decision to enroll in Spring 2022?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A mandate would have a positive effect on my decision to enroll	50.96%	317
A mandate would have a negative effect on my decision to enroll	36.01%	224
I am not sure	13.02%	81
TOTAL		622

### Q4 If a vaccine mandate was required for all SCC students taking inperson classes, what type of classes would you choose for Spring 2022?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-person classes only	20.10%	125
A mix between online and in-person classes	38.91%	242
Online classes only	25.56%	159
I would not enroll at SAC in Spring 2022	15.43%	96
TOTAL		622

## Q5 If a vaccine mandate was required for all SCC students taking inperson classes, would you get vaccinated?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	58.84%	366
No	27.33%	170
I am not sure	6.75%	42
Decline to state	7.07%	44
TOTAL		622

## Q6 If you would like to be included in the SCC Bookstore gift card drawings, please enter your email address:

Answered: 404 Skipped: 218

#### SAC Enrollment Monitoring 9/23/2021

2021	2021-2022 FTES COMPARED TO TARGETS				
Summer	TARGETS	ACTUALS	DIFF.	% DIFF	
NC	90.00		-90.00	-100.00%	
CDCP	710.00	847.00	137.00	19.30%	
CDCP-IS/DE			0.00		
NCR Total	800.00	847.00	47.00	5.88%	
CR IS, DSCH	650.00	629.41	-20.59	-3.17%	
CR DSCH	250.00	209.62	-40.38	-16.15%	
CR Positive	200.00	227.53	27.53	13.77%	
CR Total	1,100.00	1,066.56	-33.44	-3.04%	
TOTAL	1,900.00	1,913.56	13.56	0.71%	

Fall				
NC	228.00	40.00	-188.00	-82.46%
CDCP	1,560.00	941.00	-619.00	-39.68%
CDCP-IS/DE			0.00	
NCR Total	1,788.00	981.00	-807.00	-45.13%
CR IS, DSCH	740.00	869.88	129.88	17.55%
CR IS, WSCH	1,050.00	1,037.90	-12.10	-1.15%
CR DSCH	75.00	112.62	37.62	50.16%
CR Positive	1,200.00	21.02	-1,178.98	-98.25%
CR WSCH	3,000.00	2,304.42	-695.58	-23.19%
CR Total	6,065.00	4,345.84	-1,719.16	-28.35%
TOTAL	7,853.00	5,326.84	-2,526.16	-32.17%

Intersession				
IS, DSCH	390.00	490.00	100.00	25.64%
DSCH	100.00		-100.00	-100.00%
Positive			0.00	
CR Total	490.00	490.00	0.00	0.00%

Spring				
NC	225.00		-225.00	-100.00%
CDCP	1,585.00	1,810.00	225.00	14.20%
CDCP-IS/DE			0.00	#DIV/0!
NCR Total	1,810.00		0.00	0.00%
CR-IS, DSCH	900.00		-900.00	-100.00%
CR IS, WSCH	1,050.00		-1,050.00	-100.00%
CR DSCH	165.00		-165.00	-100.00%
CR Positive	1,200.00		-1,200.00	-100.00%
CR WSCH	2,500.00	5,815.00	3,315.00	132.60%
CR Total	5,815.00	5,815.00	0.00	0.00%
TOTAL	7,625.00	7,625.00	0.00	0.00%

#### 2021-22 FTES COMPARED TO 2020-21-20 FTES Moment in time DIFF. 2020-21 2021-22 % DIFF To-Date To-Date 109.30 0.00 -109.30 -100.00% 569.00 847.00 278.00 48.86% 2.15 0.00 -2.15 680.45 847.00 166.55 24.48% 682.50 629.41 -53.09 -7.78% 322.08 209.62 -112.46 -34.92% 9.57% 207.66 227.53 19.87 1,212.24 1,066.56 -145.68 -12.02% 1,892.69 1,913.56 23.02 1.22%

0.00	40.00	40.00		
323.39	941.00	617.61	190.98%	
	0.00	0.00		
323.39	981.00	657.61	203.35%	
773.00	869.88	96.88	12.53%	
997.10	1,037.90	40.80	4.09%	
66.24	112.62	46.38	70.02%	
94.16	21.02	-73.14	-77.68%	
2,735.04	2,304.42	-430.62	-15.74%	
4,665.54	4,345.84	-319.70	-6.85%	
4,988.93	5,326.84	337.91	6.77%	
	490.00	490.00	#DIV/0!	
	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!	
	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
0.00	490.00	490.00	#DIV/0!	

0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
1,810.00	1,810.00	#DIV/0!
0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
1,810.00	1,810.00	#DIV/0!
0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
5,815.00	5,815.00	#DIV/0!
5,815.00	5,815.00	#DIV/0!
7,625.00	7,625.00	#DIV/0!
	1,810.00 0.00 1,810.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 5,815.00 5,815.00	1,810.00         1,810.00           0.00         0.00           1,810.00         1,810.00           0.00         0.00           0.00         0.00           0.00         0.00           0.00         0.00           0.00         0.00           0.00         0.00           0.00         5,815.00           5,815.00         5,815.00

RECALC				
2020-21	2021-22	DIFF.	% DIFF	
ACTUALS	To-Date			
109.30	0.00	-109.30	-100.00%	
526.54	847.00	320.46	60.86%	
2.15	0.00	-2.15		
637.99	847.00	209.01	32.76%	
682.48	629.41	-53.07		
323.97	209.62	-114.35		
241.86	227.53	-14.33		
1,248.31	1,066.56	-181.75	-14.56%	
1,886.30	1,913.56	29.41	1.56%	
219.20	40.00	-179.20	-81.75%	
1,023.79	941.00	-82.79	-8.09%	
14.27	0.00	-14.27		
1,257.26	981.00	-276.26	-21.97%	
734.79	869.88	135.09	18.38%	
1,009.77	1,037.90	28.13	2.79%	
71.85	112.62	40.77	56.74%	
1,106.37	21.02	-1,085.35	-98.10%	
2,731.73	2,304.42	-427.31	-15.64%	
5,654.51	4,345.84	-1,308.67	-23.14%	
6,911.77	5,326.84	-1,584.93	-22.93%	
369.11	490.00	120.89	32.75%	
96.23	0.00	-96.23	-100.00%	
15.64	15.64 0.00		0.00%	
480.98	490.00	9.02	1.88%	

2021-22 FTES COMPARED TO 2020-21-20 FTES

192.69	0.00	-192.69	-100.00%
1,429.77	1,810.00	380.23	26.59%
	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
1,622.46	1,810.00	187.54	11.56%
876.04	0.00	-876.04	-100.00%
992.21	0.00	-992.21	-100.00%
108.58	0.00	-108.58	-100.00%
654.09	0.00	-654.09	-100.00%
2,128.19	5,815.00	3,686.81	173.24%
4,759.11	5,815.00	1,055.89	22.19%
6,381.57	7,625.00	1,243.43	19.48%

October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2021: 2305.98 October 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020: 2696. 89 Difference of 390.91 FTES or (14.5%)

	-			
		Actual		
TOTAL	Sections	Enrollment	Average	FTES
F2F				
(LEC)	176	4683	26.6	
F2F				
(LAB)	5	104	20.8	
Online	237	8753	36.9	
Hybrid	34	752	22.1	
RL	82	2060	25.2	
RB	58	1392	24	
TOTALS	592	17744		2305.98

## **Forthcoming Class Offerings**

- 12 Week Offerings: 36 Classes
- 8 Week Offerings: 103 Classes (75.28% enrolled)
- 5 Week Offerings: 6 Classes (50% enrolled)
- 1 Week Offerings: 3 Classes (100% enrolled/Apprenticeship)

#### **Fiscal Resources Committee**

Via Zoom Video Conference Call 1:30 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.

#### Meeting Minutes for October 20, 2021

**FRC Members Present:** Iris Ingram, Morrie Barembaum, Steven Deeley, Noemi Guzman, Bart Hoffman, Jim Isbell, Cristina Morones, William Nguyen, Adam O'Connor, Enrique Perez, Craig Rutan, Arleen Satele, and Vanessa Urbina

FRC Members Absent: Safa Hamid and Yara Hernandez

Alternates/Guests Present: Erika Almaraz, Jason Bui, Kelvin Leeds, Thao Nguyen, Mark Reynoso, Vaniethia Hubbard, Jose Vargas, Kennethia Vega and Barbie Yniguez

- 1. Welcome: Ingram called the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m. via zoom and introductions were made.
- 2. State/District Budget Update
  - SSC Legislature Wraps up the First Year of the 2021-22 Legislative Session
  - SSC BOG to Consider 2022-23 Budget and Legislative Request Next Week
  - SSC BOG Approves 2022-23 Budget and Legislative Request
  - SSC Department of Finance Releases September Finance Bulletin
  - SSC Governor Signs the Postsecondary Education Budget Cleanup Trailer Bill
  - SSC Fourth Quarter Lottery Apportionment for 2020-21

Ingram referenced above handouts for information and further review. She briefly discussed the application process by the District for Student Housing opportunities at both colleges.

3. 2022-23 Draft Budget Calendar - ACTION

Ingram referenced the 2022-23 draft budget calendars previously presented for review and approval. O'Connor noted one edit to update the title of Business Services on both the tentative and adopted draft calendars. It was moved by Bart Hoffman and seconded by William Nguyen to approve the 2022-23 draft budget calendars with edits as noted by O'Connor. With no additional corrections or discussion the motion passed unanimously.

4. Planning Design Review and FRC Accomplishments and Goals - ACTION Ingram referenced the FRC accomplishments and goals. It is was moved by Steven Deeley to approve the Planning Design Review and FRC Accomplishments and Goals as presented. The motion was seconded by Bart Hoffman and passed unanimously.

Procedurally, it was discussed and determined that alternates only vote on behalf of administrative representatives absent and as such do not represent student votes.

Discussion ensued regarding the motion and the need to separate the accomplishments and the goals and potential addition of a new goal related to review of revenue generation, expenditures and efficiencies such as:

• Consideration of districtwide revenue efficiencies; creation of more FTES collectively to obtain more funds from the state. Review of spending patterns and compare with districts of current size. (W Nguyen)

- Review of district expenditures and comparison to districts of similar size to Rancho's current FTES generation. This includes how much is spent on faculty and how much is spent on administration. (Rutan)
- Consideration be given in the evaluation of expenditures to include same size college, same size district, same size budget, noting RSCCD has unique nuances and therefore not include expenses associated with continuing education or apprenticeship programs. (Vargas)
- An appropriate analysis and reviewing similarities. (Ingram)

It was noted that when/if one budget center is more efficient than another, everyone benefits and maybe that is a starting point in making the "pot bigger" for everyone. It was determined the goals would be revised for review, comment and approval at the next meeting.

An inquiry was made about right sizing project being reviewed by FRC. It was confirmed, the colleges' right sizing changes go through college processes whereas changes in personnel at district level process through district council. A report was previously provided to FRC that showed right sizing that was completed by site.

- 5. Salaries & Benefits % of Total Expenditures (Instructional vs. Non-Instructional by Location) O'Connor reviewed the percent of salary/benefits in unrestricted general funds in comparison to total costs for years 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21. The data reflects each budget center and total districtwide. The percentage has progressively increased over the last three fiscal years and moving in the wrong direction. To try to control expenses, two SRPs were offered. For 2021-22 there is a slight decrease and that should continue as SRP positions are filled. Once the budget was adopted, SRP positions were stripped from the budget and will be added back in at actual costs for those positions determined to hire. It is anticipated that it will end up at 89% at the end of the year. This comparison does not include those positions supported by grants and other categorical funds. It is not sustainable to have 90% of the expenses devoted to personnel/salaries, and therefore it is necessary to find ways to reduce such costs. The institutional costs designated as instructional are for retiree health benefits. A discussion on grant funded positions and the remaining burden on the district if the employees do not go away with the grant and the additional costs absorbed by general funds. Grant funding of positions is a temporary fix and not a long-term solution. It is expected that recent changes to the retiree health benefits, will garner savings not only in current costs but also OPEB liability including the actuarial study for next year.
- 6. Standing Report from District Council Craig Rutan Craig Rutan provided a brief report on the actions of District Council including the approval of a new administrative job description for SCC and approval of a reorganization, related to previously approved job description in HR as part of right sizing project at district office.
- 7. Informational Handouts
  - District-wide expenditure report link: <u>https://intranet.rsccd.edu</u>
  - Vacant Funded Position List as of October 12, 2021
  - Measure "Q" Project Cost Summary as of September 30, 2021
  - Monthly Cash Flow Summary as of September 30, 2021
  - SAC Planning and Budget Committee Agendas and Minutes
  - <u>SCC Budget Committee Agendas and Minutes</u>
  - Districtwide Enrollment Management Workgroup Minutes

Information handouts were referenced for further review.

8. Approval of FRC Minutes – September 15, 2021

A motion by Bart Hoffman was seconded by Craig Rutan to approve the minutes of the September 15, 2021 meeting as presented. There were no questions, comments or corrections and the motion passed unanimously.

#### 9. Other

It was confirmed FRC approved the planning design review, FRC accomplishments and current goals. However, the goals will be amended as discussed today to be reviewed, discussed and approved at the next meeting.

#### **Next FRC Committee Meeting:**

The next FRC meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, November 17, 2021, 1:30-3:00 p.m.

It was moved by Morrie Barembaum and seconded by Bart Hoffman to adjourn the meeting at 2:08 p.m. The motion carried unanimously.