



**Rancho Santiago Community College District
District Council Meeting**

May 3, 2021

1:30 p.m.

Via Zoom

<https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/94972986564>

669-900-6833 / 949 7298 6564

Passcode is required and provided to District Council members in separate email.

Contact Debra Gerard at gerard_debra@rscsd.edu to obtain passcode.

Agenda

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Chancellor's Update | Martinez |
| 2. Approval of Minutes - ACTION
a. March 29, 2021 Meeting | Martinez |
| 3. Reorganizations – ACTION
a. Reorg #1218 – DO/ODEI
b. Reorg #1219 – DO/Fiscal Services
c. Reorg #1224 – DO/HR | Winter |
| 4. Planning Design Manual Revision – ACTION | Perez |
| 5. 2021-2022 District Council Meeting Schedule - INFORMATION | Martinez |
| 6. Committee Reports – INFORMATION
a. Planning & Organizational Effectiveness Committee
b. Human Resources Committee
c. Fiscal Resources Committee
d. Physical Resources Committee
e. Technology Advisory Group | Perez
Winter
O'Connor
O'Connor
Gonzalez |
| 7. Constituent Representative Reports - INFORMATION
a. Academic Senate - SAC
b. Academic Senate – SCC
c. Classified Staff
d. Student Government – SAC
e. Student Government – SCC | Shahbazian
Rutan
Martin
Renteria
Gardner |
| 8. Other | |

Next Meeting: June 7, 2021



Rancho Santiago Community College District District Council Meeting

MINUTES

March 29, 2021

Members:	Marvin Martinez	Present
	Enrique Perez	Absent
	Tracie Green	Absent
	Alistair Winter	Present
	Jean Estevez	Present
	Adam O'Connor	Present
	Marilyn Flores	Present
	Jose Vargas	Present
	Jesse Gonzalez	Present
	Roy Shahbazian	Present
	Craig Rutan	Present
	Monica Zarske	Present
	Michael Taylor	Present
	Susan Hoang	Present
	Sheryl Martin	Present
	Ambar Nakagami	Present
	Zina Edwards	Absent
	Monica Renteria	Present
	Henry Gardner	Present
Guests:		
	Rudy Carrion	

Chancellor Marvin Martinez convened the meeting via Zoom Conference at 1:31 p.m.

1. Chancellor's Update

- a. Chancellor Martinez shared that eligibility for vaccinations is expanding in April and encouraged all to get vaccinated. He stated Orange County is on track to have a large number of residents vaccinated by mid-June. The SAC POD is providing 2,000/day to those in hard hit areas.
- b. Chancellor Martinez reported that it is expected Orange County will move to Orange Tier (50% capacity) soon and Yellow Tier (75% capacity) soon after. It is anticipated most of California will be open by mid-June.

1. Chancellor's Update (continued)

- c. The Chancellor shared news about the executive searches being conducted:
 - i. A hybrid public forum will be held on April 21 for the finalists for SCC President. Final interviews will be held the same day. The names of the finalists will be announced this week
 - ii. The search for President of SAC was terminated because there was not a large enough pool of candidates. The timeline for a new search will be announced soon.
 - iii. Acceptance of applications for the Vice Chancellor of Human Resources closed and the committee is beginning its work.
 - iv. The deadline for applications for the Vice Chancellor Business Operations closes this week.
- d. The Chancellor reported that the total in federal stimulus funds (three rounds) to the district is \$70-75 million. Fifty percent of those funds are to be provided to students and the balance is for institutional support. The district will also receive approximately \$2 million in grants and \$400,000 from the re-enrollment fund to help get students back to the classroom.

2. Approval of Minutes

- a. It was moved by Mr. Rutan, seconded by Ms. Zarske and, by roll call vote, carried unanimously to approve the minutes of the March 1, 2021 meeting with a revision to add the need for further review of I.C in AR 7131 and a return to District Council with a recommendation from Human Resources.

3. Approval of Revised Job Description

- a. Chancellor Martinez presented a revised job description for the Chief Advisor for Academic and Diversity Programs for approval. He reported that once the job description is revised it will be taken to the board of trustees for approval and then recruitment will begin for a summer start. Discussion ensued. It was moved by Mr. Winter, seconded by Mr. Taylor and, by roll call vote, carried unanimously to approve the revised job description for the Chief Advisor for Academic and Diversity Programs.

4. Approval of Reorganization

- a. District Administrator Estevez presented a reorg in the Title IX organization. Discussion ensued. It was agreed that this reorg would be withdrawn and brought back after further review.

5. Student Survey Results

- a. Executive Director Research Pham presented the results of the student survey conducted in early March regarding their thoughts on preferred methods of instruction in summer and fall 2021. The survey was conducted to assist in planning the schedules for these terms. Discussion ensued. District Council members received clarification of the data presented.

6. Committee Reports

a. Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee (POEC)

Mr. Shahbazian reported on the March 24, 2021 meeting. Ms. Pham provided additional clarification on the meeting discussion and advised that the Planning Manual will be brought to District Council for review.

b. Human Resources Committee (HRC)

Mr. Winter reported on the March 10, 2021 meeting and noted that the next meeting will be held on April 14, 2021.

c. Fiscal Resources Committee (FRC)

Mr. O'Connor reported FRC had not met since the last District Council meeting and noted that the next meeting will be held on April 21, 2021.

d. Physical Resources Committee (PRC)

Mr. O'Connor reported on the March 3, 2021 and noted that the next meeting will be held on May 5, 2021.

e. Technology Advisory Group (TAG)

Assistant Vice Chancellor Jesse Gonzalez reported on the March 4, 2021 meeting and noted that the next meeting will be held on April 1, 2021.

7. Constituent Representative Reports

a. Academic Senate/SAC: Mr. Roy Shahbazian reported on the activities of the Academic Senate.

b. Academic Senate/SCC: Mr. Rutan reported on the activities of the Academic Senate.

c. CSEA: Ms. Martin reported on activities and efforts by the classified staff.

d. Student Government/SAC: Ms. Monica Renteria reported on the activities of the SAC ASG.

e. Student Government/SCC: Ms. Henry Gardner reported on the activities of the SCC ASG.

8. Next Meeting: The next meeting will be held on Monday, May 3, 2021.

Meeting Adjourned: 2:40 p.m.

Approved: May 3, 2021

**RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
REORGANIZATION REQUEST FORM**

Number # 1218
Assigned by Human Resources

Use this form and the reorganization process to make a permanent personnel change in your program or department. If proposing a new and/or change of position, please attach a cost of position worksheet.

Site/Department/Division: Chancellor's Office

Manager/Supervisor: Marvin Martinez

Position(s) affected:

CURRENT POSITION	PROPOSED POSITION
Interim Chief Advisor for Academic and Diversity Programs	Chief Advisor for Academic and Diversity Programs
	Grade A

Current annual salary/benefits cost \$ 0-funded w/one time allocation Proposed annual salary/benefits cost \$ 255174
Specify budget impact – include exact amounts or the best available estimate and the source of funding:

GENERAL FUNDS RESTRICTED FUNDS

Source of funding (account numbers): 11-0005-660000-51100-1210
(Attach necessary budget change forms)

Reason for reorganization:

Advance efforts to integrate diversity, equity & inclusion into our academic and employment tapestry in support of Board Resolution 20-10.

Will there be duties and/or responsibilities that will no longer be performed/required in this department/division?
No Yes If yes, please explain below.

Does this change affect more than one department/division? No Yes If yes, please explain below.

Please note: You are required to attach both current and proposed organization charts (*highlighting all positions affected, both current and proposed*) with this form.

Submitted by (*District Cabinet Member*): _____ Date: _____

SIGNATURES AND/OR REVIEW DATES	
Human Resources (<i>Signature/Date</i>): 	Business Operations & Fiscal Services (<i>Signature/Date</i>):  <small>Adam O'Connor (Apr 20, 2021 14:26 PDT)</small>
	Resource Development (<i>Signature/Date – Only for Restricted Funds</i>):
COLLEGE POSITIONS	DISTRICT POSITIONS
President's Council Approval (<i>Signature/Date</i>):	Chancellor's Cabinet Approval (<i>Signature/Date</i>):  <small>Marvin Martinez (Apr 26, 2021 14:12 PDT)</small>
Chancellor's Cabinet Approval (<i>Signature/Date</i>):	Chancellor's Council Approval (<i>Signature/Date</i>):
CSEA (<i>Signature/Date</i>):	CSEA (<i>Signature/Date</i>):

Office of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

Chancellor
Marvin Martinez



Chief Advisor for Academic and
Diversity Programs

RSCCD

2020-2021 Cost of Position

COST OF NEW POSITION - ACADEMIC CONTRACT & ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATORS

POSITION TITLE	Chief Advisor for Academic and Diversity Programs	
CLASS & STEP		ANNUAL COST
Grade A Step 6		\$ 192,067.50

SALARY RELATED TAX/BENEFITS	BENEFIT RATE	BENEFIT COST	
STRS	16.150%	31,018.90	
MEDICARE	1.450%	2,784.98	
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.050%	96.03	
WORKERS COMP	1.500%	2,881.01	
ACTIVE RET. INS. COST	1.100%	2,112.74	
TOTAL TAX & BENEFIT COST	20.250%	\$ 38,893.66	\$ 38,893.66
TOTAL SALARY & BENEFIT COST			\$ 230,961.16

FRINGE BENEFITS COST	BENEFIT RATE	BENEFIT COST	
FRINGE BENEFITS (FARSCCD only)		1,750.00	
MEDICARE	1.450%	25.38	
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.050%	0.88	
WORKERS COMP	1.500%	26.25	
ACTIVE RET. INS. COST	1.100%	19.25	
TOTAL FRINGE BENEFIT COST	4.100%	\$ 1,821.76	\$ 1,821.76

INSURANCE BENEFITS			
LIFE INSURANCE (ANNUAL OR \$50,000 minimum)			
(Annual Life Insurance X \$0.075/1000 X 12 Months) \$	192,067.50	172.86	
MEDICAL INSURANCE (see below)		22,218.75	
TOTAL INSURANCE COST		22,391.61	\$ 22,391.61

TOTAL COST OF POSITION	\$ 255,174.53
-------------------------------	----------------------

BENEFITS = \$ 63,107.03

BENEFIT COST AS A PERCENT OF CONTRACT = 32.86%

ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATORS (including Fringe amount)	Max	40,345.56	22,218.75	Average
FARSCCD 12 MONTH	Max	36,140.88	23,300.54	Average
FARSCCD 10 MONTH	Max	36,140.88	21,055.93	Average

NOTE: WHEN CALCULATING A VACANT POSITION USE AVERAGE COST FOR H&W

RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
REORGANIZATION REQUEST FORM

Number # 1219
Assigned by Human Resources

Use this form and the reorganization process to make a permanent personnel change in your program or department. If proposing a new and/or change of position, please attach a cost of position worksheet.

Site/Department/Division: Accounting Dept - District Office

Manager/Supervisor: Erika Almaraz

Position(s) affected:

CURRENT POSITION	PROPOSED POSITION
Payroll Specialist - \$95,870.87 (Francis)	Senior Account Clerk (1 position) - \$103,500.91 FT/12M
Intermediate Account Clerk - \$95,126.57 (Tynes)	

Current annual salary/benefits cost \$ \$190,997.44 Proposed annual salary/benefits cost \$ \$103,500.91
Specify budget impact – include exact amounts or the best available estimate and the source of funding:

GENERAL FUNDS RESTRICTED FUNDS

Source of funding (account numbers): 11-0000-672000-54212/54213/54215-2130 / 54211-5100 (\$87,497)
(Attach necessary budget change forms)

Reason for reorganization:

Please see attachment.

Will there be duties and/or responsibilities that will no longer be performed/required in this department/division?

No Yes If yes, please explain below.

Does this change affect more than one department/division? No Yes If yes, please explain below.

The reorg affects the Payroll, Accounting and AP Departments. All departments are within the same division.

Please note: You are required to attach both current and proposed organization charts (highlighting all positions affected, both current and proposed) with this form.

Submitted by (District Cabinet Member): [Signature] Date: 3/30/21

SIGNATURES AND/OR REVIEW DATES	
Human Resources (Signature/Date): <u>[Signature]</u> 3/30/2021	Business Operations & Fiscal Services (Signature/Date): <u>[Signature]</u> 3/30/21
	Resource Development (Signature/Date – Only for Restricted Funds)
COLLEGE POSITIONS	DISTRICT POSITIONS
President's Council Approval (Signature/Date):	Chancellor's Cabinet Approval (Signature/Date): EG
Chancellor's Cabinet Approval (Signature/Date):	Chancellor's Council Approval (Signature/Date):
CSEA (Signature/Date):	CSEA (Signature/Date):

Reason for reorganization:

I would like to propose eliminating the vacant Payroll Specialist position in the Payroll Department, and the Intermediate Account Clerk position in the Accounts Payable Department, and creating 1 Senior Account Clerk position that would support multiple Fiscal Services Departments including Accounting, Payroll, and Accounts Payable.

The Payroll Department does not have a need to fill the full-time Payroll Specialist vacancy. There are currently 2 Payroll Specialists and 2 Senior Payroll Specialists in the department. Since the Payroll Specialist position became vacant in May 2020, work in the department has been performed with current staffing levels without needing overtime. As the Payroll Department continues to re-evaluate department processes, we expect process improvements to create new efficiencies for payroll staff (e.g. reducing manual input).


The Accounts Payable Department also no longer has a need for a full-time Intermediate Account Clerk. Over the last year, process improvements have created significant efficiencies in the department. For example, electronic document retention has significantly reduced paper filing as well as the time it takes to prepare checks for internal audit review and to mail out checks. The new check printing schedule which has shifted from daily to twice a week has also reduced the need for clerical tasks associated with check runs. The amount of checks that are mailed out will also be reduced in the near future as the department works on setting up an electronic payment process. Currently, the duties of the Intermediate Account Clerk position have been reduced to at most 5-6 hours per week. The Intermediate Account Clerk in the department has actually been working out of class as a Senior Account Clerk since September 2020.

While the division no longer has a need for the Intermediate Account Clerk position, there is a need for more senior-level clerical work to be done in the division. A Senior Account Clerk shared between Fiscal Services Departments is needed to:

- Assist the Payroll Department process EDD forms and Verification of Employment forms;
- Assist the Accounts Payable Department process vendor payments, employee reimbursements, and student disbursements;
- Assist the Accounting Department post cash receipts, publication chargebacks, and other accounting entries; and
- Assist with other senior-level clerical tasks.


**Rancho Santiago Community College District
BUSINESS OPERATIONS AND FISCAL SERVICES
FISCAL SERVICES**

Current Org Chart



**Rancho Santiago Community College District
BUSINESS OPERATIONS AND FISCAL SERVICES
FISCAL SERVICES**

Proposed Org Chart



RSCCD

2020-2021 Cost of Position

COST OF NEW POSITION - CLASSIFIED CONTRACT

POSITION TITLE	COST GRADE & STEP	MONTHLY RATE	NO OF MONTHS	ANNUAL COST
	Sr. Account Clerk Grade 10 & Step 3	\$ 5,230.520	12	\$ 62,766.24

SALARY RELATED TAX/BENEFITS	BENEFIT RATE	BENEFIT COST	
PERS	20.700%	12,992.61	
SOCIAL SECURITY	6.200%	3,891.51	
MEDICARE	1.450%	910.11	
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.050%	31.38	
WORKERS COMP	1.500%	941.49	
ACTIVE RET. INS. COST	1.100%	690.43	
TOTAL TAX & BENEFIT COST	31.000%	\$ 19,457.53	\$ 19,457.53
TOTAL SALARY & BENEFIT COST			\$ 82,223.77

FRINGE BENEFITS COST	BENEFIT RATE	BENEFIT COST	
FRINGE BENEFITS (CSEA only)		1,500.00	
SOCIAL SECURITY	6.200%	93.00	
MEDICARE	1.450%	21.75	
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.050%	0.75	
WORKERS COMP	1.500%	22.50	
ACTIVE RET. INS. COST	1.100%	16.50	
TOTAL FRINGE BENEFIT COST	10.300%	\$ 1,654.50	\$ 1,654.50

INSURANCE BENEFITS			
LIFE INSURANCE (ANNUAL OR \$50,000 minimum)			
(Annual Life Insurance X \$0.075/1000 X 12 Months)	\$ 62,766.24	56.49	
MEDICAL INSURANCE (see below)		19,566.15	
TOTAL INSURANCE COST		19,622.64	\$ 19,622.64

TOTAL COST OF POSITION	\$ 103,500.91
-------------------------------	----------------------

BENEFITS =	\$ 40,734.67
BENEFIT COST AS A PERCENT OF CONTRACT =	64.90%

Admn., Superv/Mang. & Conf. (including Fringe amount)	Max	40,345.56	22,218.75
CSEA	Max	35,228.16	19,566.15

Signature: Elvia Garcia

Email: Garcia_Elvia@rscdd.edu

Signature:

Email: gerard_debra@rscdd.edu

Signature:

Email: winter_alistair@rscdd.edu

Rancho Santiago Community College District
CALIFORNIA SCHOOL EMPLOYEE'S ASSOCIATION
PERMANENT CONTRACT ANNUAL SALARY SCHEDULE
Effective: July 1, 2020

GRADE	STEP 1	STEP 2	STEP 3	STEP 4	STEP 5	STEP 6
1	\$35,737.36	\$37,559.02	\$39,439.95	\$41,424.53	\$43,497.99	\$45,689.92
2	\$36,759.27	\$38,580.94	\$40,521.10	\$42,564.91	\$44,712.43	\$46,978.41
3	\$37,796.00	\$39,632.49	\$41,661.50	\$43,779.38	\$45,986.12	\$48,296.53
4	\$39,040.06	\$40,965.41	\$43,009.23	\$45,186.35	\$47,467.14	\$49,836.81
5	\$40,313.75	\$42,342.76	\$44,460.64	\$46,682.19	\$49,022.23	\$51,480.75
6	\$41,735.54	\$43,853.42	\$46,075.00	\$48,385.39	\$50,829.13	\$53,361.67
7	\$43,423.92	\$45,615.87	\$47,896.67	\$50,325.54	\$52,872.92	\$55,494.34
8	\$45,186.35	\$47,467.14	\$49,836.81	\$52,384.20	\$55,005.63	\$57,760.34
9	\$47,082.09	\$49,466.55	\$51,969.49	\$54,590.91	\$57,316.02	\$60,189.24
10	\$49,214.74	\$51,702.91	\$54,294.73	\$57,005.01	\$59,833.78	\$62,825.48
11	\$51,406.72	\$53,968.89	\$56,664.36	\$59,537.58	\$62,529.26	\$65,654.25
12	\$54,102.19	\$56,856.91	\$59,700.49	\$62,677.38	\$65,861.59	\$69,119.89
13	\$56,945.78	\$59,774.54	\$62,766.24	\$65,950.48	\$69,238.35	\$72,733.60
14	\$60,011.50	\$63,018.02	\$66,187.43	\$69,490.13	\$73,015.00	\$76,673.15
15	\$63,388.27	\$66,542.89	\$69,890.01	\$73,355.65	\$77,073.05	\$80,908.89
16	\$67,253.77	\$70,630.54	\$74,125.76	\$77,857.97	\$81,767.91	\$85,840.74
17	\$71,237.75	\$74,807.04	\$78,554.06	\$82,493.62	\$86,640.50	\$91,009.56
18	\$75,621.60	\$79,427.88	\$83,426.68	\$87,603.18	\$92,001.86	\$96,593.06
19	\$80,597.90	\$84,626.30	\$88,891.67	\$93,364.41	\$98,014.85	\$102,946.69
20	\$86,092.53	\$90,402.33	\$94,919.49	\$99,643.98	\$104,694.30	\$109,907.57
21	\$92,046.29	\$96,652.30	\$101,510.09	\$106,619.68	\$111,906.96	\$117,520.08
22	\$99,880.94	\$104,857.24	\$110,114.91	\$115,653.98	\$121,415.20	\$127,502.24

Based on 12 Month/Year

Service Recognition:

- 10 Years 2.5%
- 15 Years 5.0%
- 20 Years 7.5%
- 25 Years 10%
- 30 Years 12.50%

Differential Pay:

- Bilingual Requirement 2.5%
- Swing Shift 5%
- Graveyard Shift 7.5%

4.00% Cola

Board Approved: December 9, 2019

RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
REORGANIZATION REQUEST FORM

Number # 1224
 Assigned by Human Resources

Use this form and the reorganization process to make a permanent personnel change in your program or department. If proposing a new and/or change of position, please attach a cost of position worksheet.

Site/Department/Division: District Office, Human Resources

Manager/Supervisor: Alistair Winter / V. Jean Estevez

Position(s) affected:

CURRENT POSITION	PROPOSED POSITION
PT Intermediate Clerk, 19-hour/12-month	FT Intermediate Clerk, 40-hour/12-month

Current annual salary/benefits cost \$ 26,726.40 Proposed annual salary/benefits cost \$ 83,331.99

Specify budget impact – include exact amounts or the best available estimate and the source of funding:

GENERAL FUNDS RESTRICTED FUNDS

Source of funding (account numbers): 11-0000-673000-53110-2130 FUNDED IN 2019-20
 (Attach necessary budget change forms)

Reason for reorganization:

On May 2019, HR was able to hire the District Administrator of Institutional Equity, Compliance & Title IX. In the past year and a half the volume of work in the Title IX office continues to increase. With current workload and no direct staff under the Title IX office, this position will help in meeting the needs of the colleges, students and district in providing Title IX services as the required changes in new legislation, mandatory compliance and investigations.

Will there be duties and/or responsibilities that will no longer be performed/required in this department/division?

No Yes If yes, please explain below.

Does this change affect more than one department/division? No Yes If yes, please explain below.

Please note: You are required to attach both current and proposed organization charts (highlighting all positions affected, both current and proposed) with this form.


Submitted by (District Cabinet Member): Alistair Winter Date: 4/28/2021

SIGNATURES AND/OR REVIEW DATES	
Human Resources (Signature/Date): <u>Alistair Winter</u> <u>4/28/2021</u>	Business Operations & Fiscal Services (Signature/Date): <u>[Signature]</u>
	Resource Development (Signature/Date – Only for Restricted Funds)
COLLEGE POSITIONS	DISTRICT POSITIONS
President's Council Approval (Signature/Date):	Chancellor's Cabinet Approval (Signature/Date):
Chancellor's Cabinet Approval (Signature/Date):	Chancellor's Council Approval (Signature/Date):
CSEA (Signature/Date):	CSEA (Signature/Date):

Rancho Santiago Community College District

CURRENT

HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT




See Separate Org Chart

Rancho Santiago Community College District

PROPOSED

HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT



See Separate Org Chart

RSCCD

2021-2022 Cost of Position

COST OF NEW POSITION - CLASSIFIED 19 HOURS OR LESS and SHORT TERM

POSITION TITLE	PT Intermediate Clerk - Darlene Gil		
GRADE & STEP			ANNUAL COST
Grade 5, Step 1	\$ 20.08	0 HRS/52 WEEKS 991.80	\$ 19,915.34

SALARY RELATED TAX/BENEFITS	BENEFIT RATE	BENEFIT COST	
PARS or PERS (see below)	23.000%	4,580.53	
OASDI	6.200%	1,234.75	
MEDICARE	1.450%	288.77	
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.050%	9.96	
WORKERS COMP	1.500%	298.73	
ACTIVE RET. INS. COST	2.000%	398.31	
TOTAL TAX & BENEFIT COST	34.200%	\$ 6,811.05	\$ 6,811.05
TOTAL SALARY & BENEFIT COST			\$ 26,726.40
TOTAL COST OF POSITION			\$ 26,726.40

BENEFITS =	\$ 6,811.05
BENEFIT COST AS A PERCENT OF CONTRACT =	34.20%

PERS	22.680%	4,516.80
Soc. Sec.	6.200%	1,234.75

Some part-time classified are members of PERS and would then have PERS of 20.70% and Soc. Sec. of 6.2% instead of PARS of 1.3 %

RSCCD

2021-2022 Cost of Position

COST OF NEW POSITION - CLASSIFIED CONTRACT

POSITION TITLE	FT Intermediate Clerk (Darlene Gil)		
GRADE & STEP	MONTHLY RATE	NO OF MONTHS	ANNUAL COST
Grade 5, Step 3	\$ 3,853.260	12	\$ 46,239.07

SALARY RELATED TAX/BENEFITS	BENEFIT RATE	BENEFIT COST	
PERS	23.000%	10,634.99	
SOCIAL SECURITY	6.200%	2,866.82	
MEDICARE	1.450%	670.47	
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.050%	23.12	
WORKERS COMP	1.500%	693.59	
ACTIVE RET. INS. COST	2.000%	924.78	
TOTAL TAX & BENEFIT COST	34.200%	\$ 15,813.77	\$ 15,813.77
TOTAL SALARY & BENEFIT COST			\$ 62,052.84

FRINGE BENEFITS COST	BENEFIT RATE	BENEFIT COST	
FRINGE BENEFITS (CSEA only)		1,500.00	
SOCIAL SECURITY	6.200%	93.00	
MEDICARE	1.450%	21.75	
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.050%	0.75	
WORKERS COMP	1.500%	22.50	
ACTIVE RET. INS. COST	2.000%	30.00	
TOTAL FRINGE BENEFIT COST	11.200%	\$ 1,668.00	\$ 1,668.00

INSURANCE BENEFITS			
LIFE INSURANCE (ANNUAL OR \$50,000 minimum)			
(Annual Life Insurance X \$0.075/1000 X 12 Months) \$	50,000.00	45.00	
MEDICAL INSURANCE (see below)		19,566.15	
TOTAL INSURANCE COST		19,611.15	\$ 19,611.15

TOTAL COST OF POSITION	\$ 83,331.99
-------------------------------	---------------------

BENEFITS =	\$ 37,092.92
BENEFIT COST AS A PERCENT OF CONTRACT =	80.22%

Admn., Superv/Mang. & Conf. (including Fringe amount)	Max	40,345.56	22,218.75	AVERAGE
CSEA	Max	35,228.16	19,566.15	AVERAGE

NOTE: WHEN CALCULATING A VACANT POSITION PLEASE USE AVERAGE \$\$ FOR H&W

**RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
UNRESTRICTED GENERAL FUND
2019-20 Adopted Budget Assumptions
August 12, 2019**

III. Appropriations and Expenditures

- A. As the District's budget model is a revenue allocation model, revenues flow through the model to the colleges as earned. The colleges have the responsibility, within their earned revenue, to budget for ALL necessary expenditures including but not limited to all full time and part time employees, utilities, instructional services agreements, multi-year maintenance and other contracts, supplies, equipment and other operating costs.
- B. The state is providing a Cost of Living Allowance (COLA) of 3.26%. Any collectively bargained increased costs will be added to the budget. The estimated cost of a 1% salary increase is \$1.68 million for all funds. The estimated cost of a 1% salary increase is \$1.33 million for the unrestricted general fund.
- C. Step and column movement is budgeted at an additional cost of approximately \$2.06 million including benefits for FD 11 & 13 (FARSCCD approximate cost \$577,291 CSEA approximate cost \$664,861, Management/Other approximate cost \$815,172) For all funds, it is estimated to = \$2.57 million (FARSCCD = \$657,895, CSEA = \$859,802, Management = \$1,052,246) In addition, the colleges would need to budget for step/column increases for P/T faculty.
- D. Health and Welfare benefit premium cost increase as of 1/1/20 is estimated at 3.5% for an additional cost of approximately \$520,088 for active employees and an additional cost of \$682,766 for retirees, for a combined increase of \$1,202,854 for unrestricted general fund. The additional cost increase for all funds is estimated to = \$1,355,259. State Unemployment Insurance local experience charges are estimated at \$250,000 (2018/19 budgeted amount). Unchanged. The District will decrease the Worker's Compensation Insurance (WCI) rate from 2.25% to 1.5% of total salaries. CalSTRS employer contribution rate will increase in 2019/20 from 16.28% to 17.10% for an increase of \$792,125. The reduction from 18.13% originally estimated to 17.10% reduced the required CalSTRS contribution by \$763,779. (Note: The cost of each 1% increase in the STRS rate is approximately \$740,000.) CalPERS employer contribution rate will increase in 2019/20 from 18.062% to 19.721% for an increase of \$886,322. (Note: The cost of each 1% increase in the PERS rate is approximately \$390,000.)
- E. The full-time faculty obligation (FON) for Fall 2019 is estimated at 381. The District will recruit to replace 18 faculty vacancies and recruit 15 new faculty. SAC is recruiting for 24 positions (14 replacement, 10 new). SCC is recruiting for 9 positions (4 replacement, 5 new). Assuming all are successful recruitments, the District expects to meet its obligation. The current cost for a new position is budgeted at Class VI, Step 12 at approximately \$144,808. Penalties for not meeting the obligation amount to approximately \$77,063 per FTE not filled.
- F. The current rate per Lecture Hour Equivalent (LHE) effective 7/1/18 for hourly faculty is \$1,345. Increase of \$70 per LHE.
- G. Retiree Health Benefit Fund (OPEB/GASB 75 Obligation) - The District will decrease the employer payroll contribution rate of 3.63% to 2.75% of total salaries to fund the total actuarially determined Annual Required Contribution (ARC). The calculated ARC as of July 1, 2018 was reduced to \$12,698,406. The net effect is an unrestricted general fund saving of \$764,258.
- H. Capital Outlay Fund - The District will continue to budget \$1.5 million for capital outlay needs.
- I. Utilities cost increases of 2.5%, estimated at \$100,000.
- J. Information Technology licensing contract escalation cost of 7%, estimated at \$125,000.
- K. Property and Liability Insurance transfer estimated at \$1,970,000. Unchanged.
- L. Other additional DS/Institutional Cost expenses:
- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 2 Part-time District Safety Officers (armed) | \$44,776 |
| HR Requests One-time \$188,303 Ongoing \$308,751 | (See attachment) |
- M. Child Development Fund - The District will continue to budget \$250,000 as an interfund transfer from the unrestricted general fund as a contingency plan. (\$140,000 each year was transferred since 2014/15 and expected again in 2018/19)
- N. Estimated annual cost of Santiago Canyon College ADA Settlement expenses of \$2 million from available funds.

Human Resources

Augmentation Request
2019/2020

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
		REQUESTED AUGMENTATION	ONE TIME COST	ON GOING COST	OFF SET SAVINGS	NET ONGOING	
1	VENDOR						
2							
3	Neogov	\$47,200	x	\$41,749	\$36,167	\$5,582	GreenTree Applicant tracking, onboarding
4	recruitment, onboarding, position control, performance management						
5	Ferrilli	\$150,000	X				
6	staff augmentation in technology (John Birk's position salary savings)and consultation in Colleague HR module configuration , partner with ITS	(\$118,315)					
7	Net	\$31,685					
8	Ferrilli	\$15,000	X				
9	consultation HR & payroll functional and technical systems						
10	Staff augmentation	\$53,169		\$75,739	\$22,571	\$53,169	currently part time position
11	increase per time Intermediate Clerk to Full time; HR to manage the form 700, support new HR requirements in I-9, onboarding and clerical support,partner with ITS						
12	District classification study (tentative)	\$100,000	X				
13							
14	District Professional Development	\$75,000		X		\$75,000	
15							
16	Legal - Current Budget \$50,000 Current need is \$225,000	\$175,000		X		\$175,000	
17							
18		\$497,054	\$188,303			\$308,751	

RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

PLANNING

DESIGN

MANUAL

2013-2023



RANCHO SANTIAGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PLANNING DESIGN MANUAL

Board Approved: February 19, 2013

POE Approved Revision: March 24, 2021

District Services Office

2323 N. Broadway
Santa Ana, CA 92706-1606
www.rsccd.edu

Santa Ana College

1530 West 17th Street
Santa Ana, CA 92706
www.sac.edu

Santiago Canyon College

8045 East Chapman Avenue
Orange, CA 92869
www.sccollege.edu

RSCCD MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Rancho Santiago Community College District is to provide quality educational programs and services that address the needs of our diverse students and communities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction 2

Overview of the Planning Design 3

RSCCD Planning Committees 5

RSCCD Mission Statement 6

Process for Reviewing the Mission Statement 7

RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan 8

Process for Developing the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan 9

RSCCD Strategic Plan 10

Process for Developing the RSCCD Strategic Plan 11

Resource Allocation 12

Process for Allocating Resources 14

Plan Implementation 16

Progress Report on the Comprehensive Master Plan 17

Process for Assessing Progress on RSCCD Goals 18

Assessment of Planning and Decision-Making Processes 19

Process for Assessing Planning and Decision-Making Processes 20

Appendix 1: Timeline for Key Planning and Assessment Activities 21

Appendix 2: District Services Planning Portfolio 22

Process for Preparing District Services Planning Portfolios 24

Appendix 3: District-level Participatory Governance Committees 25

INTRODUCTION

The Rancho Santiago Community College District 2013-2023 Planning Design Manual is a guide to integrated institutional planning at the district level. The planning processes ensure broad participation in district-level planning and to ensure compliance with the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges Standards on institutional planning.

The processes described in this document identify the ways that constituent groups participate in and contribute to student success through district-level long-term and short-term planning. This document begins with a description of the Rancho Santiago Community College District (RSCCD) Planning Design. Following this overview is a description of the purpose, process, and timeline for each component in the Planning Design.

Both of the RSCCD colleges, Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College, have independent cycles of integrated planning in which the components are linked to one another, as well as to district-level planning. The college planning processes link to district planning in two ways:


- The RSCCD Goals are the foundation to planning at the two colleges. Each college develops site- specific goals, objectives, and action plans that collectively contribute to the achievement of the RSCCD Goals.
- The annual Progress Report details progress on RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives as well as the colleges' goals and objectives.

The Rancho Santiago Community College District 2013-2023 Planning Design Manual is reviewed to maintain credibility as a valuable resource. This annual update prepared by POE Committee should reflect minor changes, such as in descriptions, timelines, membership, or processes. At any time, substantive changes can be recommended through the governance processes. In addition to this annual review of content, the planning processes described in this document are evaluated at the end of the planning 10-year cycle. This assessment occurs as part of RSCCD's assessment of its decision-making processes. This timeline and assessment process is described in the "Assessment of Planning and Decision-Making Processes" section of this document. Through these two review processes, one completed on an annual basis and one completed every ten years, this document is maintained to reflect the inevitable changes in planning processes that are to be expected as part of RSCCD's cycle of continuous quality improvement.



OVERVIEW OF THE PLANNING DESIGN

The Planning Design depicts how the components of district-level planning link to one another in a cycle of evaluation, development of goals and objectives, resource allocation, plan implementation, and re-evaluation. The district demonstrates institutional effectiveness and practices a cycle of continuous quality improvement through the systematic and routine implementation of the following planning processes. In this graphic and throughout this document, RSCCD refers to the entire district collectively as an institution, encompassing the two colleges and District Services. The graphic below is followed by a narrative explanation of the district-level planning processes.



- ▶ The **RSCCD Mission Statement** is the foundation of all planning processes because it describes the intended student population and the services that RSCCD provides to the community.
- ▶ The **RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan** is informed by an analysis of effectiveness in which RSCCD compares its current status to the RSCCD Mission Statement (internal scans) and studies projected demographics changes in order to identify challenges and opportunities (external scans).

- ▶ Based on this analysis, the district develops and refines the 10-year **RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan**. This plan includes summaries of all institutional plans (educational and facilities master plans), developed by the two colleges and the districtwide data. Through the process of developing and refining the comprehensive master plan, the district collaboratively develops RSCCD Goals to describe how it intends to address the identified current and anticipated challenges. As depicted in the Planning Design, these RSCCD Goals are part of the foundation for planning at the two colleges.
- ▶ The RSCCD Goals are also used to develop RSCCD Objectives presented in the tri-annual **RSCCD Strategic Plan**. RSCCD Objectives describe specific initiatives that require the collaboration and coordination of administrators, faculty, and staff across the district in order to move toward achievement of the RSCCD Goals. In addition to the RSCCD Objectives, each site also develops initiatives that contribute to the achievement of RSCCD Goals. These initiatives are documented in the colleges' Education Master Plans and in the District Services Planning Portfolios.
- ▶ Annually, all general funds coming into the district are dispersed to the colleges and District Services based on formulas that reflect the Student Center Success Center Formula. Following that allocation, each college and District Service uses independent processes for **Resource Allocation** to ensure that the initiatives identified in their respective plans are appropriately funded to the extent possible.
- ▶ Upon annual resource allocation, the next step in the Planning Design **is Plan Implementation**, which refers to work by the responsible parties to complete the RSCCD Objectives outlined in the RSCCD Strategic Plan.
- ▶ The **Assessment of RSCCD Strategic Plan Implementation** is consolidated and documented in the annual report on Planned Activities. This document both summarizes the current achievements and informs the district's planning efforts.

The components of the Planning Design summarized in this overview and described in this manual are evaluated on a ten-year cycle along with the evaluation of the collaborative decision-making processes at the district level.



RSCCD PLANNING COMMITTEES

There are six participatory governance committees involved in planning at the district level.

The District Council serves as the primary participatory governance body that is responsible for district-wide planning activities including developing planning and budgetary recommendations that are submitted to the Chancellor and Board of Trustees.

The following five district-level participatory governance committees support the work of the District Council:

- ▶ Planning and Organizational Effectiveness
- ▶ Fiscal Resources
- ▶ Human Resources
- ▶ Physical Resources
- ▶ Technology Advisory Group

The responsibilities and membership for each of these committees is described in Appendix 3 of this document. When necessary, appointing of appropriate designee is allowed.

RSCCD MISSION STATEMENT

The RSCCD Mission Statement is the touchstone for planning processes across the district because it describes the intended student population and the services that RSCCD provides to the community

RSCCD established its first district-wide mission statement in fall 2012.

The mission of the Rancho Santiago Community College District is to provide quality educational programs and services that address the needs of our diverse students and communities.

The cycle for reviewing and possibly revising the district-wide mission statement is every three years.



PROCESS FOR REVIEWING THE MISSION STATEMENT

SEPTEMBER 2015, 2018, AND 2021

The Board of Trustees charges the Chancellor with developing and implementing a process for a district-wide review of the RSCCD Mission Statement.

In collaboration with the District Council, the Chancellor distributes a district-wide request asking faculty, staff, and students to suggest changes to the RSCCD Mission Statement along with a justification or rationale for the suggestion.



OCTOBER 2015, 2018, AND 2021

The District Council reviews the suggested changes and either recommends reaffirmation of or revisions to the RSCCD Mission Statement. If major revisions are warranted, District Council assigns a workgroup to evaluate the suggested revisions and prepare a single recommended revision to the RSCCD Mission Statement.



NOVEMBER 2015, 2018, AND 2021

The District Council solicits feedback district-wide regarding the reaffirmation of or recommended modifications to the RSCCD Mission Statement.



DECEMBER 2015, 2018, AND 2021

Based on the feedback, the District Council makes a recommendation to the Chancellor. The recommendation is either an affirmation of the current RSCCD Mission Statement or suggested revisions to the RSCCD Mission Statement.



JANUARY 2016, 2019, AND 2022

The Chancellor considers the District Council's recommendation. If approved, the revised or reaffirmed RSCCD Mission Statement is recommended to the Board of Trustees for approval.

If the Chancellor does not approve the recommended reaffirmation of or revision, collaboration and compromise with the District Council continues until approved. Once agreement is reached, the Chancellor recommends the reaffirmed or revised RSCCD Mission Statement to the Board of Trustees for approval.

RSCCD COMPREHENSIVE MASTER PLAN

The RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan is a long-term plan that describes the district's projections and goals for the coming decade.

The process for developing the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan begins with an analysis of current internal and external conditions. These data are the foundation for assessing RSCCD's effectiveness in advancing its mission and for identifying anticipated challenges. These conversations culminate in:

- ▶ The identification of challenges that RSCCD is facing or is likely to face in the coming decade;
- ▶ RSCCD Goals, which articulate how the district intends to address current and anticipated challenges; and
- ▶ A plan for the addition or remodeling of facilities and technology infrastructure to support RSCCD's programs and services.

The RSCCD Goals are collaboratively developed and reviewed through district-wide dialogue. Following this review and dialogue, the District Council recommends the RSCCD Goals to the Chancellor and the Board of Trustees for approval.

The RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan is linked to its mission statement. Assessment of the district's effectiveness in meeting its mission is the first step in the planning process. The RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan is central to the overall Planning Design. The RSCCD Goals included in this long-term plan are the basis for the RSCCD Objectives in the RSCCD Strategic Plan and progress toward achieving the RSCCD Goals as described in the annual progress report.

The *Rancho Santiago Community College District 2013 Comprehensive Master Plan* is the district's current long-term plan. It was developed during the 2012-13 academic year and presented to the Chancellor and the Board of Trustees for approval in May 2013. This plan includes the identification of current and anticipated challenges; RSCCD Goals; summaries of the colleges' educational and facilities master plans; the technology plan for centralized technology needs; and plans for other college and district facilities.



PROCESS FOR DEVELOPING THE NEXT RSCCD COMPREHENSIVE MASTER PLAN

APRIL 2021

The Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee calls for the development of the *Rancho Santiago Community College District 2023 Comprehensive Master Plan* and develops both a process for preparing the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan, an outline of what should be included in this plan, and a process that will include all district constituencies.



AUGUST 2021 – FEBRUARY 2022

The RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan is drafted following the process developed by the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee. The first step in the process is an analysis of current internal and external conditions to assess RSCCD's effectiveness in advancing its mission.

To promote broad district-wide participation, the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee distributes drafts of the document district-wide for review and comment at multiple points during this period.



MARCH 2022 – APRIL 2022

Feedback from these district-wide reviews is integrated into the document to create a final draft of the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan. The final draft is forwarded to the District Council for final recommendations.



MAY 2022 – NOVEMBER 2022

Members of the District Council distribute the final draft of the *RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan* to their constituents for review and comment. Once feedback is received and integrated into the draft as appropriate, the District Council makes a recommendation to the Chancellor.

The Chancellor considers the District Council's recommendation. If approved, the *Rancho Santiago Community College District 2023 Comprehensive Master Plan* is recommended to the Board of Trustees for approval.

If the Chancellor does not approve of the *Rancho Santiago Community College District 2023 Comprehensive Master Plan*, collaboration and compromise with the District Council continues until approval.

Once agreement is reached, the Chancellor recommends the *Rancho Santiago Community College District 2023 Comprehensive Master Plan* to the Board of Trustees for approval.

RSCCD STRATEGIC PLAN

The strategic plan is the district's short-term plan. This plan uses the **RSCCD Goals** as the basis for developing **RSCCD Objectives**.

The RSCCD Objectives describe specific initiatives intended to achieve the RSCCD Goals that require collaboration and coordination among District Services and college administrators, faculty, and staff. The initiatives developed at each site that contribute to the achievement of the RSCCD Goals are documented in college planning documents and in the District Services Planning Portfolios.

Since the term of the RSCCD Strategic Plan is three years, there will be three RSCCD Strategic Plans developed under the umbrella of the *Rancho Santiago Community College District 2013 Comprehensive Master Plan*:

RSCCD Strategic Plan 2013 – 2016

RSCCD Strategic Plan 2016 – 2019

RSCCD Strategic Plan 2019 – 2022

The primary components of the *RSCCD Strategic Plan* are described below.

- ▶ **RSCCD Goals** are broad statements that articulate how RSCCD intends to address current and anticipated challenges.
- ▶ **RSCCD Objectives** describe more specifically those initiatives intended to achieve the RSCCD Goals that require collaboration and coordination among District Services and college administrators, faculty, and staff.
- ▶ **Responsible Party** identifies the individual(s) assigned to launch, oversee, and complete one of the RSCCD Objectives. The responsible individual(s) may complete the RSCCD Objective or may collaborate with others to complete the RSCCD Objective. The assignment of a responsible party is essential for accountability.
- ▶ **Timeline** identifies the target date for the completion of the RSCCD Objective.



PROCESS FOR DEVELOPING THE RSCCD STRATEGIC PLAN

FEBRUARY 2013, 2016, 2019

The District Council appoints an RSCCD Strategic Plan Workgroup to prepare the RSCCD Strategic Plan 2013 - 2016 (or 2016 - 2019 or 2019 - 2022).



MARCH 2013, 2016, 2019

The RSCCD Strategic Plan Workgroup reviews the RSCCD Goals in the *Rancho Santiago Community College District 2013 Comprehensive Master Plan* and progress on the RSCCD Objectives in the most recent Progress Report on the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan. Based on this review, the RSCCD Strategic Plan Workgroup develops RSCCD Objectives and the corresponding Responsible Parties and Timelines for the next three years.



APRIL 2013, 2016, 2019

The RSCCD Strategic Plan Workgroup distributes the draft RSCCD Strategic Plan 2013 – 2016 (or 2016 - 2019 or 2019 - 2022) across the district for review and input.

The RSCCD Strategic Plan Workgroup uses the feedback from this district-wide review to prepare the final Rancho Santiago Community College District-wide Strategic Plan 2013 – 2016 (or 2016 – 2019 or 2019 - 2022) and forwards the final draft to the District Council.

Members of District Council distribute the final draft of the Rancho Santiago Community College Districtwide Strategic Plan 2013 - 2016 (or 2016 - 2019 or 2019 - 2022) to their constituents for review and input.

The District Council considers the constituents' recommendations, integrates feedback as warranted, and makes a recommendation to the Chancellor.

If the Chancellor approves of the final document, the *RSCCD Strategic Plan* 2013 - 2016 (or 2016 - 2019 or 2019 - 2022) is presented to the Board of Trustees. If the Chancellor does not approve, collaboration and compromise continues until approved.

The *RSCCD Strategic Plan* 2013 - 2016 (or 2016 - 2019 or 2019 - 2022) is implemented beginning in the subsequent fall semester.

RESOURCE ALLOCATION

Resource allocations align with the RSCCD Mission Statement and link RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives to the resources needed to accomplish these institutional goals.

Generally speaking, the goals and objectives at both district and college levels reflect the district's commitment to its mission. Therefore, the purpose of resource allocations is to fund the programs and services that both directly and indirectly promote student success.

The budget development process begins with the development of budget assumptions. The budget assumptions are the foundation for the budget development process and guide the allocation of resources. Information from a variety of sources is considered in the development of the budget assumptions, including but not limited to:

- ▶ RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives;
- ▶ Priorities identified by the district's participatory governance committees that have been vetted and approved by the District Council;
- ▶ A review of the effectiveness of the prior year's resource allocations;
- ▶ Maintenance of appropriate reserves for contingencies and economic uncertainties;
- ▶ Mandates from external agencies; and
- ▶ Plans for payment of liabilities and future obligations, such as retiree health benefits, STRS, and PERS.

Budget assumptions are categorized into the following three types: general, revenue, and expenditure. General assumptions describe broad agreements, such as the revenue allocation model and the level of the reserve. Revenue assumptions summarize the current status of anticipated revenue, such as cost-of-living adjustments, growth and state apportionment. Expenditure assumptions provide projected costs of contractual agreements and required budget reductions if any.

RSCCD's three budget centers are Santa Ana College, Santiago Canyon College, and District Services. These entities have the autonomy and responsibility to provide appropriate programs and services that support achievement of the RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives as well as their respective goals, objectives, and initiatives. In addition, RSCCD budgets for Institutional Costs that include districtwide expenses such as retiree health benefits, property, and liability insurance and interfund transfers.

The RSCCD Revenue Allocation Model is patterned after the community college funding protocols established in SB361. Revenue is allocated to the colleges based upon these parameters except for an allocation to support centralized services. Any proposed changes to the allocation for district-wide services is reviewed by the Fiscal Resources Committee and recommended to the District Council and Chancellor.



Beyond the expenditures determined through district-wide collaboration, each budget center develops individual budgets for expenditures from general fund and categorical revenue in the following categories:

- ▶ Salaries and benefits as determined by union contracts;
- ▶ Supplies and materials;
- ▶ Services and other operating expenses, such as travel;
- ▶ Capital outlay, such as equipment; and
- ▶ Maintenance.

Planning is linked to resource allocations in the following ways:

1. Each budget center (Santa Ana College, Santiago Canyon College, and District Services) has developed unique planning processes. Each set of these processes is designed so that RSCCD Goals are the basis for site planning and that the resulting plans are the basis for resource allocations within that budget center.
2. The five district committees (Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee, Fiscal Resources Committee, Human Resources Committee, Physical Resources Committee, and Technology Advisory Group) provide specific recommendations for resource allocations in the Budget Modification form. These budget recommendations describe initiatives that require additional, decreased, or reallocated funding and are submitted to District Council for consideration during development of the tentative budget. The recommendations included in the Budget Modification form must justify how the modification is aligned and will contribute to the achievement of RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives.
3. Once funding recommendations are received from the five district committees, District Council is responsible for ensuring that resources are aligned to overall planning and allocated to initiatives that contribute to the achievement of RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives. To make this link between planning and resource allocation transparent, District Council uses a Budget Modification Rubric to prioritize each Budget Modification Recommendation based on the extent to which it is aligned with current RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives and/or is justified by health or safety concerns. District Council then assigns the Chancellor's Cabinet to review and recommend the source and use of funds for the prioritized recommendations, including contributions from the other budget centers and/or the re-allocation of funds. District Council then reviews and acts on the proposal should funding not be available to meet the needs of all requests.
4. To provide the opportunity for Board oversight of the RSCCD Goals, when the tentative and final budgets are presented to the Board each June, the presentation includes a review of the RSCCD Mission Statement, the RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives as well as the identification of specific budget items that directly relate to the RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives where appropriate.
5. To ensure effective allocation of resources, this process shall be reviewed annually by POE.

PROCESS FOR ALLOCATING RESOURCES

OCTOBER

Board of Trustees' annual planning meeting includes a review and discussion of progress towards achieving RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives, as well as other data used to assess the current environment.



JANUARY

Board of Trustees, Fiscal Resources Committee and District Council review the Governor's proposed state budget.

Through the spring, the Fiscal Resources Committee monitors changes in the forecasts for state allocations and revises the general and revenue budget assumptions as warranted. Any changes are submitted to the District Council for review and input.



FEBRUARY

Fiscal Resources Committee drafts tentative general, revenue and expenditure budget assumptions and forwards these to the District Council for review and input.



MARCH – APRIL

District Council review the budget assumptions and the Board of Trustees adopts them.

Budget Centers receive tentative revenue allocations for the coming fiscal year based on the RSCCD Revenue Allocation Model and develop a tentative budget for that site.



APRIL

The five district committees (Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee, Fiscal Resources Committee, Human Resources Committee, Physical Resources Committee, and Technology Advisory Group) draft expenditure assumptions as well as complete Budget Modification forms for initiatives that require additional resources. The Budget Modification form requires the committee to justify the recommendation by describing how the modification is aligned and will contribute to the achievement of RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives.

The five district committees submit their Budget Modification recommendations to District Council.



▼

MAY

Fiscal Resources Committee Co-chairs revise the draft tentative budget assumptions, as needed based on changes to the proposed state budget and submit the revised tentative budget to District Council.

District Council revises the tentative budget as needed following their review of (i) the Governor's changes to the proposed state budget, (ii) revisions to the revenue budget assumptions if any, and (iii) the draft expenditure budget assumptions and (iv) Budget Modification recommendations.

District Council prioritizes the Budget Modification recommendations using the Budget Modification Rubric. Highest priority is given to Budget Modification recommendations that are linked to RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives. While developing recommendations for District Services, the committee should review the current budget and actual expenditures, past recommendations and organizational charts for outdated priorities that no longer need the same level of resources and make every effort to cover the cost of the recommendation with existing District Services revenue allocation.

▼

JUNE

The tentative budget is presented to the Board of Trustees for approval. The presentation includes a review of the RSCCD Mission Statement and the RSCCD Goals as well as the identification of specific budget items that directly relate RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives where appropriate.

▼

JULY – AUGUST

Fiscal Resources Committee reviews and updates the budget assumptions in July, reviews the draft of proposed adopted budget in August and then forwards it to District Council for review and input.

District Council reviews changes that impact the budget and recommends revisions to the proposed adopted budget as warranted.

▼

SEPTEMBER

The Vice Chancellor of Business Operations and Fiscal Services prepares the final proposed adopted budget as determined by District Council and directed by the Chancellor.

The final budget is presented to the Board of Trustees for approval. The presentation includes a review of the RSCCD Mission Statement and the RSCCD Goals as well as identifying specific budget items that directly relate to RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives.

PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

Through the development of the RSCCD Strategic Plan, an individual is assigned responsibility for completing or overseeing the completion of each RSCCD Objective. This responsible party may complete the RSCCD Objective or may collaborate with others to complete the RSCCD Objective.

To ensure implementation of the identified activities that will move RSCCD toward accomplishment of the RSCCD Goals, responsible parties shall:

- ▶ Manage the timelines for the District Objective(s);
- ▶ Develop appropriate processes to complete the RSCCD Objective(s);
- ▶ Identify and address funding needs by submitting the request and rationale to the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee;
- ▶ Provide data and other types of evidence to assess the levels of success following plan implementation; and
- ▶ Document the activities and outcomes to contribute to the preparation of the annual *Progress Report on the District Comprehensive Master Plan*.



PROGRESS REPORT ON THE COMPREHENSIVE MASTER PLAN

A progress report is produced annually by the POE Committee to inform the internal community about movement toward achievement of the RSCCD Goals and Objectives. The *Progress Report on the District Comprehensive Master Plan* is an essential accountability tool in the RSCCD Planning Design because it reinforces and sustains a district-wide dialogue on its long-term and short-term goals.

Three tasks will be accomplished through the development of this progress report:

- ▶ Consolidate information about the tasks that have been completed by all RSCCD entities related to RSCCD Goals and Objectives;
- ▶ Analyze those outcomes in terms of their effectiveness in moving RSCCD toward achievement of the RSCCD Goals; and
- ▶ Edit or augment RSCCD Objectives for the coming year as needed based on the outcomes of the current year's work.

The *Progress Report on the District Comprehensive Master Plan* is prepared in the fall semester of the second and third year of each Strategic Plan cycle to describe the prior year's activities related to the RSCCD Goals and distributed at the end of spring. This document is a key assessment tool in planning meetings including the annual Strategic Planning Update to the Board of Trustees.

PROCESS FOR ASSESSING PROGRESS ON RSCCD GOALS

APRIL

The Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee develop or revise the template for the annual Progress Report on the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan.



MAY

The Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee calls for:

- ▶ Responsible parties identified in the RSCCD Strategic Plan to report on their progress in completing the RSCCD Objectives assigned to them and
- ▶ Colleges to report and evaluate the outcomes of activities undertaken to contribute to achievement of the RSCCD Goals.



JUNE

The reports are consolidated by the Co-chairs of the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee to create a draft *Progress Report on the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan* that includes the reports of progress as well as an analysis of the effectiveness of the activities in fulfilling the RSCCD Goals.

The Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee reviews the draft *Progress Report on the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan* and provides input. The Co-chairs of the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee revise the document as warranted based on the input.



JULY – AUGUST

The Co-chairs of the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee present the draft *Progress Report on the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan* to District Council for review and input. Suggested changes are incorporated as warranted to finalize the document.

The Chancellor presents the final *Progress Report on the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan* to the Board of Trustees for information.

The annual *Progress Report on the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan* is distributed as appropriate to both internal and external constituencies online and/or in print.



ASSESSMENT OF PLANNING AND DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES

RSCCD routinely assesses its planning and decision-making processes and makes revisions in these processes in a cycle of continuous quality improvement.

A formal assessment of planning and decision-making processes is conducted by POE every three years. The assessment includes gathering district-wide input and using that feedback to prepare an assessment report that is submitted to the District Council. District Council reviews the assessment report and recommends revisions to planning and/or decision-making processes as warranted by the assessment. The Chancellor considers the recommendation and approved changes are documented with revisions to the *Rancho Santiago Community College District Planning Design Manual*.

In addition to this formal assessment, the current version of the *Rancho Santiago Community College District Planning Design Manual* is reviewed and updated annually by the Co-chairs of the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee to capture minor changes in descriptions, timelines, or processes.

PROCESS FOR ASSESSING PLANNING AND DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES

SEPTEMBER – OCTOBER 2015, 2018, AND 2022

POE develops a mechanism for soliciting feedback on the components of the district-level planning design and decision-making processes from the groups and individuals who are directly involved in implementing district-level planning and decision-making.



NOVEMBER – DECEMBER 2015, 2018, AND 2022

POE considers the feedback from the groups and individuals who are directly involved in implementing district-level planning and decision-making processes and prepares a Planning and Decision-making Processes Assessment Report. This report may include recommended changes to the planning and/or decision-making processes.

POE forwards the Planning and Decision-making Processes Assessment Report to District Council for review and input.



FEBRUARY 2016, 2019, AND 2022

The Chancellor reviews the Planning and Decision-making Processes Assessment Report with District Council and determines which changes, if any, will be made to district-level planning and/or decision-making processes.

The Chancellor prepares an information report describing this assessment and the resulting changes to planning and/or decision-making processes, if any, for the Board of Trustees. This report is also distributed districtwide.

The Co-chairs of the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee prepare an updated version of the *Rancho Santiago Community College District Planning Design Manual* to incorporate any approved changes to district-level planning and decision-making processes.



APPENDIX 1: TIMELINE FOR KEY PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

ACTIVITY	2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		
	Spr	Fall																			
Review Mission Statement																					
Develop Strategic Plan																					
Assess Progress on RSCCD Goals																					
Assess Planning & Decision-making Processes																					
Prepare District Services Planning Portfolios																					
Develop Comprehensive Master Plan																					



APPENDIX 2: DISTRICT SERVICES PLANNING PORTFOLIO

The District Services Planning Portfolio is the program review process for centralized services. The purpose of this process is to analyze and track the efforts of each District Service to continually improve the quality of the services provided to the colleges and to other District Services.

District Services Planning Portfolios are designed to serve these purposes:

- ▶ Document the unique service initiatives for each District Service;
- ▶ Align and document strategies for achieving RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives;
- ▶ Collect, analyze and distribute data on District Services performance;
- ▶ Provide an objective foundation for budget, staff, facilities, professional development, and other funding requests; and
- ▶ Demonstrate compliance with accreditation standards.

District Services Planning Portfolios are prepared every other year. To provide data for the analysis required by these planning portfolios, satisfaction surveys are distributed district-wide every other year requesting feedback on District Services. In the year between the preparations of comprehensive District Services Planning Portfolios, each District Service prepares an update of progress on the service initiatives undertaken to correct a weakness or work toward achievement of a RSCCD Goal or RSCCD Objective. Both the comprehensive District Services Planning Portfolios and the annual updates of progress are submitted to the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee for their review and input.

This program review process is designed to lead to continuous quality improvement and therefore includes a cycle of data collection, analysis of strengths and weaknesses, development, and implementation of strategies to remedy weaknesses, and re-evaluation. The steps are:

1. Describe the services provided by the District Service
 - ▶ Organizational Chart
 - ▶ Functions
 - ▶ Budget, including grants
2. Analysis of quantitative and qualitative data that reflect the services' strengths and weaknesses
 - ▶ Outcome of prior year's service initiatives
 - ▶ Results of the most recent satisfaction survey
 - ▶ Data recorded by the District Service, such snapshots of time to complete tasks, etc.
3. Develop Service Initiatives for the coming year to (a) sustain or improve the services provided, (b) address a weakness identified through the data analysis, and (c) contribute to the achievement of RSCCD Goals and RSCCD Objectives.
4. Implement the Services Initiatives.
5. Assess the impact of the strategies.



The final step of assessment is the starting point for the development of the next year's District Services Administrative Review.

The District Services that complete a planning portfolio every other year are:

- ▶ Chancellor's Office
- ▶ Child Development Services
- ▶ District Research, Planning & Institutional Effectiveness
- ▶ Diversity, Equity and Inclusion
- ▶ Facilities Planning Services
- ▶ Fiscal Services
- ▶ Human Resources/Risk Management
- ▶ Information Technology Services
- ▶ Public Affairs/Publications
- ▶ Purchasing
- ▶ Resource Development
- ▶ Security/Public Safety
- ▶ Title IX

Resources are allocated to District Services through the RSCCD Revenue Allocation Model that is patterned after the community college funding protocols established in SB 361. Revenue is allocated to the colleges based on these parameters included in SB 361 except for an allocation to support centralized services.

There are two processes for changes to the allocation for District Services.

- ▶ Proposed changes to the proportion of the revenue allocated for District Services is reviewed by the Fiscal Resources Committee and recommended to the District Council and Chancellor.
- ▶ Specific revenue requests related to a Services Initiative presented in a District Services Planning Portfolio are submitted to the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee. The Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee considers the request and the rationale presented in the District Services Planning Portfolio and prioritizes this request for consideration by the District Council. (See the "Process for Allocating Resources" timeline in this manual.)



PROCESS FOR PREPARING DISTRICT SERVICES PLANNING PORTFOLIOS

SEPTEMBER 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019, AND 2022

District Services gathers data as needed to document progress on the previous Service Initiatives as well as feedback from the satisfaction survey. This information will be compiled into a District Services Operational Review.

The appropriate district-level administrator drafts the District Services Planning Portfolio for the area(s) under his/her supervision. Refer to the accompanying narrative for the template of the District Services Planning Portfolio.



OCTOBER 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019, AND 2022

The administrator shares the draft District Services Planning Portfolio with other members of the unit and creates venues for discussion of the draft. The administrators revise the draft District Services Planning Portfolios based on the feedback as warranted.



NOVEMBER 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019, AND 2022

The District Services Planning Portfolios are submitted to the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee for review. Funding requests, if any, are prioritized by the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee and submitted to District Council.



APPENDIX 3: DISTRICT-LEVEL PARTICIPATORY GOVERNANCE COMMITTEES

The Rancho Santiago Community College District is committed to relying on the professional expertise and perspectives of employees across the district to build and maintain collaborative decision-making processes. These committee members are united by a shared ambition to provide students with excellent instructional programs and services.

Each member who serves on a RSCCD participatory governance committee represents a specific constituent group. Therefore, committee members are responsible for:

1. Voicing the perspectives of the constituent group in the discussions and
2. Providing feedback about the committees' deliberations to colleagues.

Co-chairs who serve on a RSCCD participatory governance committee are responsible for:

1. Setting the agenda
2. Distributing the minutes and other documents
3. Managing the meetings

The following table presents the responsibilities and membership of the six district-level participatory governance committees. Each committee will review its the membership, responsibilities, and mission annually and make recommendations to District Council.

Unless otherwise stated, members (including students) of the participatory governance committees have the right to vote on issues of discussion.

DISTRICT COUNCIL

The District Council serves as the primary participatory governance body that is responsible for district-wide planning activities, including developing planning and budgetary recommendations, that are submitted to the Chancellor and Board of Trustees.

Responsibilities	Membership
Provide advice to the Chancellor on district issues	▶ Chancellor (Chair)
Review and act on recommendations from the five district-level participatory governance committees including recommended funding priorities	▶ Vice Chancellor, Business Operations & Fiscal Services
Ensure district-wide involvement in the development of all district-level planning	▶ Vice Chancellor, Educational Services
Review and monitor budget assumptions and budget information	▶ Vice Chancellor, Human Resources
Review and recommend approval of the tentative and final budgets contingent on the alignment of tentative and final budgets with budget assumptions and RSCCD Goals	▶ President, Santa Ana College
Collaborate with the Chancellor to review the District Mission Statement, solicit district-wide input, and recommend revisions as warranted	▶ President, Santiago Canyon College
Oversee the work of the Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee to develop and monitor implementation of the <i>RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan</i> and the <i>RSCCD Strategic Plan</i>	▶ Academic Senate President, Santa Ana College
Review new and modified policies as recommended by the Board Policy Committee	▶ Academic Senate President, Santiago Canyon College
Review and adopt recommended revisions and new Administrative Regulations	▶ CSEA President
Review and approve District Services reorganizations	▶ Associated Student Government President, Santa Ana College
	▶ Associated Student Government President, Santiago Canyon College
	▶ Co-chairs, Technology Advisory Group (faculty and administrator)
	▶ Co-chair, Fiscal Resources Committee (faculty)
	▶ Co-chair, Human Resources Committee (classified)
	▶ Co-chair, Physical Resources Committee (classified)
	▶ Co-chair, Planning & Organizational Effectiveness Committee (faculty)



FISCAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

The Fiscal Resources Committee provides district-level fiscal review including annual evaluation of the District’s Budget Allocation Model, as well as develops and recommends tentative and adopted budget assumptions to District Council.

Responsibilities	Membership
Review and evaluate the RSCCD Budget Allocation Model	▶ Vice Chancellor, Business Operations & Fiscal Services (Co-chair)
Monitor state budget development and recommend mid-year adjustments	▶ Assistant Vice Chancellor, Fiscal Services
Develop assumptions for tentative and adopted budgets	▶ Administrator appointed by Santa Ana College President
Develop District budget process calendar	▶ Administrator appointed by Santiago Canyon College President
Assess effective use of financial resources	▶ Two faculty members appointed by each Academic Senate, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College
Review and evaluate financial management processes	▶ A faculty member appointed by FARSCCD
	▶ Three Classified representatives appointed by CSEA (District Office, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College)
	▶ One of the faculty representatives shall serve as committee Co-chair for two years (alternating each college)
	▶ Student representatives (Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College, when possible)

HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE

The Human Resources Committee is the participatory governance committee charged with the planning, evaluation and assessment of issues related to human resources.

Responsibilities	Membership
Evaluate the effective use of human resources	▶ Vice Chancellor, Human Resources (Co-chair)
Review human resources policies and procedures, compliance and recommends changes	▶ Assistant Vice Chancellor, Human Resources
Evaluate workplace safety and emergency preparedness plans and procedures	▶ District Services Manager appointed by Chancellor
Evaluate policies and procedures related to employment equity and compliance	▶ Administrator appointed by Santa Ana College President
Monitor and review annually the diversity of RSCCD employees	▶ Administrator appointed by Santiago Canyon College President
Review and recommend changes to the EEO Diversity Plan (3-year plan)	▶ Two faculty members appointed by each Academic Senate, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College
Monitor compliance using human resources metrics such as:	▶ A faculty member appointed by FARSCCD
▶ Full-time/Part-time Faculty Ratio	▶ Three Classified representatives appointed by CSEA (District Office, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College).
▶ Full-time Faculty Obligation	▶ One of the classified representatives shall serve as committee Co-chair
▶ Classified Staffing Ratios	▶ Student representatives (SAC, SCC, when possible)
▶ Turnover Ratios and Recruitment Activities	
Develop recommendations to ensure ongoing compliance with human resources requirements	
Plan and evaluate professional development activities	
Disseminate information from Human Resources Committee to the constituent groups	



PHYSICAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

The Physical Resources Committee supports the district and colleges by coordinating and overseeing capital outlay construction and scheduled maintenance projects that align with the facilities master plans to provide for a safe and sustainable environment and to protect district property.

Responsibilities	Membership
<p>Review plans related to district and college physical resources including facilities, equipment, land, and other assets</p> <p>Assess the effective use of physical resources</p> <p>Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Five-year facilities plan ▶ State capital outlay projects ▶ Local bond projects ▶ Scheduled maintenance plans and activities ▶ Hazardous mitigation programs ▶ Facility master plans ▶ Ancillary costs related to new construction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Vice Chancellor, Business Operations & Fiscal Services (Co-chair) ▶ Assistant Vice Chancellor, Facility Planning ▶ Administrator appointed by Santa Ana College President ▶ Administrator appointed by Santiago Canyon College President ▶ Two faculty members appointed by each Academic Senate, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College ▶ Three Classified representatives appointed by CSEA (District Office, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College) ▶ One of the classified representatives shall serve as committee Co-chair ▶ Student representatives (SAC, SCC, when possible)

PLANNING AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS COMMITTEE

The Planning and Organizational Effectiveness Committee is the district-level planning and accreditation oversight and coordinating committee that makes recommendations to District Council.

Responsibilities	Membership
<p>Coordinate the development and monitor implementation of the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan and the RSCCD Strategic Plan</p> <p>Ensure that District planning processes follow the processes and timelines outlined in the RSCCD Planning Design Manual</p> <p>Provide leadership for coordination of district and college planning activities</p> <p>Prepare the annual Progress Report on the RSCCD Comprehensive Master Plan</p> <p>Coordinate data to be presented at annual Board of Trustees planning activity</p> <p>Coordinate accreditation activities between colleges and District Services including the delineation of District/College Functions</p> <p>Review institutional research activities and results</p> <p>Review resource development initiatives</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Vice Chancellor Educational Services (Co-chair) ▶ Assistant Vice Chancellor, Educational Services ▶ Executive Director, District Research, Planning & Institutional Effectiveness ▶ Vice President, Academic Affairs, Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College ▶ Vice President, Student Services, Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College ▶ Vice President, Continuing Education (or designee) ▶ Dean of Academic Affairs, Santa Ana College (or designee) ▶ Dean of Institutional Effectiveness, Library & Learning Support Services, Santiago Canyon College ▶ President of Academic Senate, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College. One president shall serve as committee Co-chair for two-year term (alternating between the colleges) ▶ Two faculty members appointed by each Academic Senate, Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College ▶ A faculty member appointed by FARSCCD ▶ Three Classified representatives appointed by CSEA (District Office, Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College) ▶ Student representatives (Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College, when possible)



TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY GROUP

The Technology Advisory Group promotes student learning and institutional effectiveness through technology by strategically planning and developing technology policies and procedures in support of the mission of the colleges and the district.

Responsibilities	Membership
Develop and strategically align RSCCD and college technology plans	▶ Assistant Vice Chancellor of Information Technology Services (Co-chair)
Assess the effective use of technology resources	▶ Two Directors of Student Information Systems, Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College or Two Administrators appointed by each President, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College
Develop and evaluate districtwide hardware and software standards	▶ Three faculty members: Co-chair of SACTAC and co-chair of SCCTEC and either Santa Ana College or Santiago Canyon College Distance Education Coordinator or three faculty members appointed by each Academic Senate, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College
Review and evaluate hardware and software replacement cycles	▶ Three Classified representatives appointed by CSEA (District Office, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College)
Develop recommendations for equipment and software, resource allocation, and training needs related to the use of technology	▶ Two students appointed by each Associated Student Government President, Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College
Develop and evaluate technology policies	▶ One of the faculty representatives shall serve as committee co-chair
Communicate back to and advocate for represented constituencies regarding the use of technology	▶ Faculty co-chairs and third Faculty member shall rotate annually between Santa Ana College & Santiago Canyon College.
	▶ Two Faculty representatives shall represent the College not acting as co-chair.



Rancho Santiago Community College District 2021 - 2022 District Council Meetings

District Council meetings are generally held on the first Monday of the month from 1:30 to 3:30 p.m. Meeting participants include Chancellor's Cabinet members, Presidents of the district governance groups, and the Chairs and Co-Chairs of the district's five participatory governance committees. The Executive Assistant to the Chancellor provides administrative support to the committee.

Fall 2021

July 6 (Tuesday)

August 2

August 30

October 4

November 1

December 6

Spring 2022

January 31

March 7

April 4

May 2

June 6