

## CREDIT OPINION

30 November 2017

New Issue

Rate this Research



#### Contacts

Michael Wertz +1.212.553.3830 VP-Senior Analyst

michael.wertz@moodys.com

Leonard Jones +1.212.553.3806

MD-Public Finance leonard.jones@moodys.com

Alexandra J. +1.415.274.1754

**Cimmiyotti** VP-Senior Analyst

alexandra.cimmiyotti@moodys.com

#### **CLIENT SERVICES**

Americas 1-212-553-1653 Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077 Japan 81-3-5408-4100

EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

# Rancho Santiago Community College District, CA

New Issue - Moody's assigns Aa2 to Rancho Santiago CCD's (CA) Santa Ana College Imp. Dist. No. 1 GO Bonds, Outlook STA

# **Summary Rating Rationale**

Moody's Investors Service has assigned an Aa2 rating to the Santa Ana College Improvement District No. 1 (improvement district), CA's General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2012, Series B issued by Rancho Santiago Community College District, CA. The bonds have an expected par value of \$71 million. The bonds are secured by an unlimited ad valorem property tax pledge levied on properties within the boundaries of the improvement district only, and is on parity with the district-wide general obligation bonds but not an obligation of the college district as a whole. The outlook is stable.

The Aa2 rating incorporates the district's sizeable assessed valuation, adequate financial position and below-average debt profile, which we expect will remain manageable. The rating also captures the below-average socioeconomic profile of the residents within the improvement district boundaries.

The general obligation bonds of are secured by the district's voter-approved unlimited property tax pledge. The County of Orange rather than the district will levy, collect, and disburse the district's property taxes, including the portion constitutionally restricted to pay debt service on general obligation bonds. County of Orange has approved implementation of the Teeter Plan and thus apportions full ad valorem property taxes levied to pay the bonds irrespective of delinquencies.

# **Credit Strengths**

- » Large, growing tax base
- » Strong management team

# **Credit Challenges**

- » Potential decreases in student population
- » Weaker socioeconomic profile of improvement district

## **Rating Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the district will retain a stable fiscal position and sizeable assessed valuation that will continue steady growth.

# Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Trend of significant growth in assessed valuation
- » Significant improvement in socioeconomic measures
- » Trend of significant improvement in the district's financial position

# Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Significant deterioration in the district's financial position
- » Protracted decline in district's assessed valuation

# **Key Indicators**

Exhibit 1

Santa Ana Imp. Dist. 1

Santa Ana Imp. Dist. 1	2012	2013		2014	2015	2016
Economy/Tax Base						
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 30,071,000	\$ 30,509,000	\$	31,377,000	\$ 32,932,000	\$ 34,529,000
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 77,703	\$ 78,835	\$	81,078	\$ 85,096	\$ 89,222
Median Family Income (% of USMedian)	N/A	78.9%		77.2%	76.4%	77.0%
Finances						
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$ 160,693	\$ 181,934	\$	191,461	\$ 214,663	\$ 262,086
Fund Balance as a % of Pevenues	27.8%	21.5%		15.5%	13.4%	25.6%
Cash Balance as a % of Pevenues	20.7%	28.1%		24.6%	41.9%	44.1%
Debt/Pensions						
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ -	\$ -	9	-	\$ 70,565	\$ 50,795
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.0x	0.0x		0.0x	0.3x	0.2x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.2%	0.1%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	1.7x	1.9x		2.1x	1.9x	1.7x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	0.4%	0.5%		0.6%	0.6%	0.6%

Source: Moody's Investors Service

# **Detailed Rating Considerations**

## **Economy and Tax Base: Well Sized Tax Base that Continues to Expand**

The district's assessed valuation grew as expected for 2018 and remains well sized for a California community college district. The tax base will continue to expand and be consistent with the rating category.

The overall district assessed valuation for 2018 is \$73.4 billion. The SFID is \$38.5 billion, which even as a subset of the larger whole, is still comparable to the median for Aa2-rated California community college districts.

The district grew by 5.9% for 2018 to record its strongest growth rate of the last eight years. The expansion was driven by the district's location within the strong Orange County area economy, which helps to fuel a strong housing market and a stable commercial base. The SFID is 51% residential and benefits as homes turnover and capture higher market values relative to the current assessed value.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

The district serves cities of Santa Ana, Orange, Anaheim, Irvine, Garden Grove, Newport Beach, Villa Park, Costa Mesa, Fountain Valley and Yorba Linda. The City of Santa Ana is the largest portion of the SFID's assessed valuation and accounts for 56.3%. The city has a below-average socioeconomic that includes a median family income that is only 77% of the US median. However, unemployment is only 4.2%, which is in-line with the national level.

## Financial Operations and Reserves: Satisfactory Fiscal Position that will Remain Solid

The district has produced steady operating results over the last three years and we expect this trend to continue despite the projection of a moderate deficit in fiscal 2018.

The district's actual unrestricted reserves have typically been stronger than budgeted expectations including in fiscal 2017 when the unrestricted reserve was \$35.2 million based on unaudited actual results. This exceeded the budgeted expectation of \$29.1 million and resulted in a 20% reserve balance as a percentage of unrestricted revenues. This also reflects the district's practice of budgeting to fill every vacant position and then controlling costs over the course of the year.

For fiscal 2018, the district has budgeted for its unrestricted reserves to decline by \$4 million. However, even if realized, this would still result in a 17% balance, which is satisfactory for the rating though it would be the lowest level of the last three years. The district anticipates that it will produce nearly balanced operations at year-end that will place the reserve at approximately 20% though it appears that a small deficit is a possibility.

In fiscal 2017 the district received stabilization funding to offset modest enrollment declines. The district is working to generate 28,700 FTEs in 2018. The district is also in negotiations with three of its four bargaining groups. However, we do not anticipate that the resolution of these talks will materially weaken the district's financial profile.

#### LIQUIDITY

The district's cash position is stable and should remain healthy for the foreseeable future. The general fund ending balance for fiscal 2017 is a strong 46% of revenues. The district has ample internal resources including its self-insurance and retiree and building funds to manage any future cash flow issues. The district has not needed to rely on tax and revenue anticipation notes and does not have plans to in the near-term.

#### **Debt and Pensions**

The district-wide debt position (including improvement district debt) remains below-average and manageable. Net direct debt was a low 0.6% of AV in fiscal 2016 and we do not anticipate any debt increases in the next few fiscal years. Favorably, all of the district's voted debt consists of fixed-rate obligations.

#### **DEBT STRUCTURE**

The district has only fixed rate, general obligation debt outstanding

## **DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES**

The district has no debt-related derivatives.

#### PENSIONS AND OPEB

Pension-driven budgetary pressures for the overall district are stable, though pension rate increases expected through fiscal 2021 could prove to be a budgetary burden. Projected increases to both CalSTRS and CalPERS will apply budgetary pressure on the district with future contributions anticipated to rise by roughly \$9.4 million by fiscal year 2021.

Moody's 3-year average adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the overall district, under our methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is 1.76 times operating revenues but a low 0.6% of AV. Moody's ANPL reflects certain adjustments we make to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the district's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities.

The overall district has a relatively large estimated actuarial accrued liability at \$129.6 million as of the latest actuarial study dated July 7th 2016. This up from the \$82.1 million in fiscal year 2015, but the district is managing this liability. The annual required contribution (ARC) was \$11.7 million in fiscal 2016, of which the district contributed 100%. Further the district maintains an OPEB fund. The district has a large \$54 million cash balance in its OPEB fund as of June 30, 2017.

## **Management and Governance**

California community college districts have an institutional framework score of "A" or moderate. California community college districts have a low level of revenue raising ability. For most districts, revenues are primarily set by the state with revenue raising ability limited to fund raising or approval of a parcel tax requiring a two-thirds majority vote.

#### OPERATING HISTORY AND MANAGEMENT

The district's five-year average of operating revenues to expenditures in 2016 is stable at 1.00x, reflecting overall balanced budgeting of operating funds over the last five audited fiscal years.

# **Legal Security**

The bonds are general obligation bonds of the improvement district secure by a voter-approved unlimited property tax pledge of all taxable property within the improvement district.

## **Use of Proceeds**

Proceeds of the bonds will be used to finance the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of College facilities located within the Improvement District.

# **Obligor Profile**

Rancho Santiago Community College District is located in Orange County, California (Aa1 Stable) and serves the residents of the communities of Anaheim Hills, Orange, Santa Ana, Villa Park and portions of Anaheim, Costa Mesa (Aa2), Irvine, Fountain Valley, Garden Grove and Tustin. The district operates two colleges, Santa Ana College and Santiago Canyon College and currently serves over 27,500 FTEs.

# Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in December 2016. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

# Ratings

Exhibit 2

## Santa Ana College Improvement District 1, CA

Issue	Rating
General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2012, 2018	Aa2
Series B	
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$71,000,000
Expected Sale Date	12/05/2017
Rating Description	General Obligation
Source: Moody's Investors Service	

© 2017 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ON TO CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE. HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at <a href="https://www.moodys.com">www.moodys.com</a> under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1102651

30 November 2017

Contacts CLIENT SERVICES

leonard.jones@moodys.com

Michael Wertz +1.212.553.3830 VP-Senior Analyst

michael.wertz@moodys.com

Alexandra J. Cimmiyotti +1.415.274.1754

VP-Senior Analyst

alexandra.cimmiyotti@moodys.com

Leonard Jones +1.212.553.3806

MD-Public Finance

Americas 1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077
Japan 81-3-5408-4100
EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

